U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

+ + + + +

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

+ + + + +

ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

117th MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY
JUNE 6, 2017

+ + + + +

The meeting convened via teleconference at 11:00 a.m. Eastern Time, James M. Melius, Chair, presiding.

PRESENT:

JAMES M. MELIUS, Chairman

JOSIE BEACH, Member

BRADLEY P. CLAWSON, Member
R. WILLIAM FIELD, Member

DAVID KOTELCHUCK, Member

RICHARD LEMEN, Member

JAMES E. LOCKEY, Member

WANDA I. MUNN, Member

DAVID B. RICHARDSON, Member

LORETTA R. VALERIO, Member

PAUL L. ZIEMER, Member

TED KATZ, Designated Federal Official

REGISTERED AND/OR PUBLIC COMMENT PARTICIPANTS:

ADAMS, NANCY, NIOSH Contractor
ALLEN, DAVE, DCAS
ANIGSTEIN, BOB, SC&A
BARRIE, TERRIE
HINNEFELD, STU, DCAS
KOTSCH, JEFF, DOL
LEWIS, GREG, DOE
MCKEEL, DAN
NETON, JIM, DCAS
OSTROW, STEVE, SC&A
RAFKY, MICHAEL, HHS
RAMSPOTT, JOHN
RUTHERFORD, LaVON, DCAS
STIVER, JOHN, SC&A

Contents

Roll Call
Welcome 5
March Board Meeting Final Vote Tallies 5
GSI Site Profile Review Follow-Up 6
Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) Petition Status 11
Update
Updates from Work Groups and Subcommittees (as
necessary)
Plans for the August 2017 Board Meeting 27
Adjourn 31

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	11:00 a.m.
3	Roll Call
4	MR. KATZ: Welcome, everyone. This is
5	the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health
6	teleconference meeting.
7	The materials for today's meeting,
8	which is just an agenda and one document related
9	to GSI, should be posted on the NIOSH website,
10	under this program's portion, schedule of
11	meetings, today's date. So people can see that
12	if they'd like, although the agenda is pretty
13	simple
14	Let me do roll call. Oh, I'll speak
15	to conflict of interest first. There are no Board
16	Members with any conflicts of interest related to
17	the only site the meeting is specifically related
18	to, which is GSI, General Steel Industries. So
19	no one needs to speak to conflict of interest.
20	And let me just run down roll call to
21	get going.
22	(Roll call.)
23	MR. KATZ: Okay. So we're missing four

1	members, but we still have a quorum. So, with
2	that, that takes care of all the preliminaries.
3	And Dr. Melius, it's your meeting.
4	Welcome
5	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. I have the hard
6	job of saying, welcome to everybody. It's our
7	Meeting 117 of the Advisory Board on Radiation
8	and Worker Health. And let me start by turning
9	it back to you, Ted, for the final vote tallies
10	from our March Board meeting.
11	March Board Meeting Final Vote Tallies
12	MR. KATZ: Right. Thanks, Jim.
13	So, we completed the votes from the
14	March Naperville meeting on May 2nd. There were
15	votes related to two SECs.
16	Carborundum. And Carborundum, the
17	final vote was unanimous, 14, with one
18	abstention, Dr. Poston, who had been absent.
19	The second vote that we completed is
20	Rocky Flats for the final period of an SEC that
21	we were addressing, which is 1984 to 2005. And
22	the final vote was eight-to-five in favor of
23	that, with two abstentions: Dr. Poston and Dr.

1	Richardson.
2	And so that takes care of the votes
3	from March.
4	GSI Site Profile Review Follow-Up
5	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. The next item or
6	our agenda is the GSI Site Profile Review Update.
7	I think this regards comments or
8	Appendix BB of Revision #3 on that. And at our
9	last meeting, we had discussed this. There was
10	one sort of outstanding issue that had not yet
11	been fully resolved, though it appeared to be
12	resolved at the time of our discussions.
13	And so what's been made available or
14	the website, sent out to all the Members, is the
15	NIOSH response on that particular issue, and then
16	some short comments from SC&A regarding that
17	response.
18	So, I don't know, Jim Neton or Dave
19	Allen, do you want to want to briefly summarize
20	this issue?
21	DR. NETON: Yeah, this is Jim. I think
22	Dave would be in a better position to do that
23	than me, if he's on the line.

1 MR. ALLEN: Yes, I'm on the line. 2 can do that quickly. The issue was, after the revision of 3 -- after the Rev 2 revision, there was a -- I'm 4 5 trying to recall exactly what this was -- but there was a couple of items noted by SC&A that 6 weren't corrected or that were still an issue. 7 We had a Work Group meeting to discuss 8 these after I had written a White Paper that 9 10 outlined exactly what needed to change revision, which would become Revision 3, and that 11 12 was spelled out in the last page of a short White 13 Paper. 14 The Work Group agreed and we revised the Appendix BB to form Revision 3. 15 And then 16 SC&A was tasked to make sure that we did actually 17 do what we said we were going to do. And SC&A 18 briefly looked at that, since it's only short, minor changes. 19 20 They looked at it, they said yes, but 21 they did come up with an issue of, we did not specify the exact -- I'm going to get this wrong 22 -- radiation type for the neutrons. 23

Τ	specify that it was H"(10) instead of any other
2	type, such as Hp(10).
3	We pointed out that it had not been
4	specified before. And SC&A's only review of PER-
5	57 showed that we were using $H^*(10,)$ and while it
6	would be better to put that in the TBD, we didn't
7	think it was a fatal flaw and it didn't really
8	need to be revised at this point just to add that,
9	since not every aspect of dose reconstruction is
10	included in every TBD. There is other documents
11	and policies, et cetera, that you have to rely on
12	from time to time.
13	And I essentially put that in a short
14	written response for the Board and submitted it.
15	I don't recall the date. And that's the summary
16	of it.
17	CHAIR MELIUS: Yeah. Submitted it
18	about a month ago, April 6.
19	MR. ALLEN: Yeah, April 6.
20	CHAIR MELIUS: Yeah. Thank you, Dave.
21	Dr. Ziemer, do you have any you're
22	the head of the Work Group, do you have any
23	comments?

1	MEMBER ZIEMER: Well, you may recall
2	that my motion at the last meeting was that we
3	ask NIOSH to put this response in writing, which
4	is what they did.
5	And the Board action was that, once
6	the Board saw it, they would decide whether that
7	was sufficient for the need to close it, or
8	whether the Board would want the Work Group to go
9	back and do any further actions.
10	So this particular response paper was
11	not an action that went through the Work Group at
12	all. Under the Board's direction, it was to come
13	to the Board for consideration at this meeting.
14	So the Work Group has no official
15	input on this document. At this point, it's to
16	the full Board, and it meets the request of the
17	Chair that we have the NIOSH response in writing.
18	It was given to us verbally at the meeting, but
19	I felt that we needed to have it in writing before
20	we closed anything out.
21	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. And you're
22	personally satisfied with the response?
23	MEMBER ZIEMER: I'm personally

1	satisfied with it. And in saying and I want
2	to make it clear that I'm not speaking on behalf
3	of the Work Group at that point, but as a Member
4	of the Board.
5	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. Thank you, Paul.
6	Any Board Members have any questions on this?
7	MEMBER MUNN: This is Wanda. I'm a
8	member of that Work Group. I agree with Dr.
9	Ziemer.
10	MEMBER BEACH: Yeah, Jim, this is
11	Josie. I also agree with Dr. Ziemer. And I am
12	a member of that Work Group also.
13	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. If there are no
14	other questions, I think then we would, I guess,
15	entertain a motion to accept this response. And
16	that would then complete the review of this
17	Appendix, this particular revision.
18	MEMBER BEACH: Jim, this is Josie.
19	I'll make that motion.
20	CHAIR MELIUS: Do I hear a second for
21	that?
22	MEMBER MUNN: Second.
23	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. Any further

1	discussion? If not, then I think we just do this
2	verbally. All in favor, say aye.
3	(Chorus of ayes.)
4	CHAIR MELIUS: Opposed? Anybody wish
5	to abstain?
6	Okay. I think that settles that,
7	then. Thank you, everybody. And thank you for
8	a response and a concise one. It was helpful.
9	Great.
LO	Next item on our agenda is the Special
L1	Exposure Petition Status Update.
L2	MR. RUTHERFORD: Alright.
L3	CHAIR MELIUS: LaVon. We've been
L4	waiting for this.
L5	(Laughter.)
L6	Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) Petition Status
L7	Update
L8	MR. RUTHERFORD: Alright. This is
L9	LaVon Rutherford.
20	We have four SEC petition Evaluations
21	that we'll be presenting at the August Advisory
22	Board meeting.
23	We have an 83.14 petition for the

1 Idaho National Lab associated with CPP. We 2 expect this report to be out to the Board mid- to late-July time frame. 3 three 83.13 4 We have petition 5 evaluations. One is the Los Alamos National Lab 6 That addendum addresses the remaining 7 addendum. years of that petition and it has been sent to 8 9 the Board and the petitioner. We have an Evaluation Report for Santa 10 Susana Field Lab. This report's been approved 11 12 and sent to the Board and the petitioner. have an evaluation for the residual period for 13 14 metals and controls. That too has been sent to the Board and the petitioner. 15 We had tentatively scheduled an 83.14 16 17 evaluation for Ames. However, I believe that 18 it's highly unlikely. We're still trying to iron 19 out the Class definition. We had a data capture scheduled for the middle of June. 20 And based on 21 the time DOE will need to review and release the 22 documents, and the time necessary for our team to review the documents, I think that it's highly 23

1	unlikely that we'll be able to get the report to
2	the Advisory Board in a reasonable time period
3	for them to review it before the August meeting.
4	If something comes up that changes
5	that, I will let Ted and Dr. Melius know, but I
6	really doubt that that one will make it.
7	So, again, we've got Los Alamos
8	National Lab addendum. We have the Idaho
9	National Lab CPP 83.14. We have Santa Susana
10	Field Lab. And we have metals and controls
11	residual period.
12	So it's a little more than we have
13	been having at the Board meeting. So, that's it.
14	Any questions?
15	MEMBER BEACH: Yeah, Lavon, this is
16	Josie. You said, Ames, you're going to do a data
17	capture in June. Is that a combined one with
18	SC&A and NIOSH or just NIOSH?
19	MR. RUTHERFORD: Right now, it's just
20	NIOSH. I mean, we certainly can have SC&A attend
21	with us if they'd like.
22	It's basically, what we're trying to
23	do, Josie, is we're trying to come up with a good

1	end date for the 83.14. We've been working back
2	and forth, doing some interviews, doing some data
3	capture, and we have one more scheduled for mid-
4	June.
5	MEMBER BEACH: Okay. Thanks.
6	CHAIR MELIUS: Any other questions for
7	LaVon?
8	You don't need to answer this, but,
9	LaVon, I'd be curious what your definition of a
LO	reasonable time period before the meeting is.
L1	(Laughter.)
L2	CHAIR MELIUS: Is that right before?
L3	The day before? The week?
L4	MR. RUTHERFORD: Well, that's what I
L5	was trying to prevent, getting that message from
L6	you that I'm not giving you enough time.
L7 L8	Updates from Work Groups and Subcommittees (as necessary)
L9	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. No, we appreciate
20	that. So we'll wait and see after the site visit.
21	Anybody else have questions for LaVon?
22	Okay. Updates from Work Groups and
) 3	Subcommittees Anybody want to volunteer? We

1 don't need to go through all of them, but if 2 there's anything, recent action or activities you think the Board Members should be 3 apprised of, can you speak up? 4 5 MEMBER MUNN: Well, this is Wanda. Ι will give a quick "no report" comment with 6 7 respect to Procedures. We are working in the background, both 8 the contractor and the Agency are working through 9 outstanding issues, which are diminishing in 10 number as we go along, which accounts for our 11 difference in timing for our meeting. 12 At this time, we do not have what we 13 14 consider an adequate agenda for a schedule to go on the calendar right now. 15 We're anticipating probably late-August or mid-September for our 16 17 next meeting. 18 MR. KATZ: So, Wanda, I can update that a little bit for you, because Stu and I spoke 19 20 recently and he's having his folks sort of scour through old findings that were in progress or 21 22 whatever and try to pull together a bale of issues that could be put to bed. 23

1	MEMBER MUNN: Yeah, we're looking
2	forward to addressing those long-time small
3	issues, in most cases, which have just been on
4	the shelf because of more pressing activities.
5	I'm glad to see that underway.
6	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Right. Dave
7	Kotelchuck. Can you hear me?
8	CHAIR MELIUS: Yes, we can.
9	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Great. Dose
10	Reconstruction Reviews, we're having a meeting on
11	the 27th of this month, working on our major
12	backlog of cases. And nothing special to report.
13	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. Anybody else with
14	an update?
15	MEMBER CLAWSON: This is Brad. We
16	should be bringing Pantex to an end and taking
17	care of it.
18	CHAIR MELIUS: Is there a Work Group
19	meeting or what's
20	MEMBER CLAWSON: No, actually we're
21	just finishing it up. I believe that there was
22	a we received from Jim the neutron, and that
23	was, I believe, the last issue that we had with

1	Pantex, Site Profile issue.
2	MR. KATZ: Yeah, this is Ted. This
3	will be one that we're ready to present at the
4	Board meeting in August.
5	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. Good. Anybody
6	else with updates?
7	Okay. This Jim Melius, I'll provide
8	one, which is I guess more of a heads-up.
9	We do have some recent reports out
10	from NIOSH/ORAU on the coworker models at
11	Savannah River. And we have a review of those
12	ones that are out by SC&A.
13	And if you recall, where we had left,
14	we had worked on sort of plans or criteria for
15	reviewing coworker models. We had adopted those,
16	but those are pending, sort of looking at an
17	example or more than one example of coworker
18	models to evaluate before we fully reviewed and
19	implemented that document.
20	And so, both for the Savannah River
21	Work Group and for the SEC Review Work Group, we
22	are waiting for NIOSH's response to the SC&A
23	review.

1	But it's certainly possible we may be
2	meeting between now and the August Board meeting,
3	or sometime shortly thereafter, to try to bring
4	some closure on this issue with coworker model
5	reviews, as well as moving along with the
6	Savannah River SEC review.
7	So, that's more of an alert to say
8	that the SC&A report is out. And, as I said,
9	NIOSH has a review underway. They're on the final
LO	schedule for that.
L1	Any other Work Group reports? Okay.
L2	MR. KATZ: Jim, I could just add to
L3	that, if you want, because I've just been keeping
L4	track of some items. You may have Work Group
L5	meetings between now and August.
L6	And one I think you're aware of, INL
L7	ANL-West, we're planning to schedule a meeting
L8	for late June. So, pretty sure we'll have one of
L9	those meetings prior to the Board meeting.
20	I'm not sure about Hanford.
21	Fernald, SC&A has a deliverable that's
22	coming soon. I expect we'll have a Fernald Work
23	Group meeting. And, as you'll see. I have it on

1	the agenda as a possibility for the Board
2	meeting, because we're pretty close to wrapped up
3	with Fernald.
4	DR Methods, we may have a DR Methods
5	Work Group meeting. We're awaiting a report for
6	that, in particular, on another item.
7	Jim covered the SEC issues and the SRS
8	Group. We also have some NIOSH reports on SRS we
9	might look at.
10	Grand Junction, I have a question mark
11	about that, because I think there's a NIOSH
12	deliverable at some point soon.
13	MEMBER FIELD: Yeah, I think we're
14	ready to schedule a meeting to wrap that up.
15	MR. KATZ: Okay. So, we received the
16	NIOSH, whatever it was, the NIOSH report?
17	MEMBER FIELD: Right.
18	MR. KATZ: Okay. So we can have a Work
19	Group on that probably, then.
20	Mound, also, we're waiting an external
21	TBD from NIOSH that may be coming in.
22	NTS, we're pretty close, too. We've
23	had some email exchanges to get clarifications on

1	matters with respect to some very technical
2	issues, but there's a possibility of having a
3	Work Group meeting on NTS and wrapping up things
4	there.
5	Portsmouth, Paducah, K-25, this is
6	another of Phil's, he's not here. There's a NIOSH
7	White Paper, I think, was scheduled for June, I
8	don't know if that will come in. Does anyone
9	know on the line whether that White Paper's
LO	coming in June?
L1	Okay. I've caught you unawares.
L2	And then Science Work Group is, David,
L3	you have a report on the DDREF. Do you have a
L4	sense of the path forward for the Science Work
L5	Group and that report?
L6	MEMBER RICHARDSON: Yes, and I was
L7	going to follow-up with you. I've taken a look
L8	at it. It's really a substantial report. Would
L9	it be possible for you to circulate it to the
20	rest of the Members? It's a large document.
21	MR. KATZ: Sure.
22	MEMBER RICHARDSON: And then I think we
23	should my thought was, take a bit of time to

1	look at it, and then we'll convene a meeting to
2	kind of partition it out, I think, and have some
3	discussions about it. There's a lot there.
4	MR. KATZ: It's enormous, yes. In
5	fact, it's so enormous that I think Jim Neton, I
6	think he did circulate it, at least partially, or
7	make it available, but it was so large he had to
8	take special measures to do that.
9	So, yes, anyway, I'll check back with
10	Jim, and if it hasn't gone to the rest of that
11	large Work Group's membership or made available
12	to them, I'll make sure that happens. Thanks.
13	CHAIR MELIUS: Given the length of that
14	report, could you circulate it to the entire
15	Board?
16	MR. KATZ: Yes, absolutely.
17	CHAIR MELIUS: I mean, we're going to
18	need time to wade through it, just based on the
19	number of pages.
20	MR. KATZ: No, I know, and I think
21	about half the Board is on the Work Group.
22	CHAIR MELIUS: Yes.
23	MR. KATZ: So, absolutely.

1	MEMBER RICHARDSON: It still sounds
2	daunting.
3	CHAIR MELIUS: Yes.
4	MEMBER BEACH: So, Ted, this is Josie.
5	I know Los Alamos, the 83.13 came out, and SC&A
6	is currently reviewing that. They did ask for
7	some additional information from NIOSH. And I,
8	as the Work Group Chair, don't know where that's
9	at. So I hesitate to say if we're going to be
10	able to meet before the meeting in August.
11	MR. KATZ: Well, what I was hoping for
12	Reynolds, Josie, was that we would meet in any
13	event because we'll get an update on how the
14	review's going at SC&A. And I think one of our
15	hopes was to identify some issues that we might
16	want to solicit information from the public on at
17	that meeting, since they're going to be there,
18	right?
19	MEMBER BEACH: So it sounds like we
20	need to schedule a meeting, then.
21	MR. KATZ: I think it would be good to
22	have a meeting, unless SC&A runs into sort of
23	trouble in their progress and doesn't make enough

1	progress to feel like they can make that
2	worthwhile. But that was another one where I
3	thought we'd check later in June with SC&A and
4	see how that's going and what their view is.
5	MR. RUTHERFORD: Ted, this is LaVon.
6	MR. KATZ: Yes.
7	MR. RUTHERFORD: I was wondering what
8	additional information SC&A has asked from NIOSH.
9	I know they asked for DOE Germantown or DOE to
10	provide reviews, 10 CFR 835 and things like that,
11	but I don't think they've asked us for anything.
12	MR. KATZ: I think that's probably what
13	Josie's thinking about, right?
14	MEMBER BEACH: That is, yes.
15	MR. KATZ: Yeah, that is. So, you know
16	about that, I shared that, I think Joe shared
17	that with you and that's the only issue.
18	MEMBER BEACH: And I know Joe's on
19	vacation until mid-June.
20	MR. KATZ: Right. So, anyway, that's
21	in hand and
22	MR. RUTHERFORD: Hey, Ted?
23	MR. KATZ: Yes?

1	MR. RUTHERFORD: One more thing. That
2	report 60 on the neutron HEU that you were asking
3	about, it is in comment resolution right now and
4	expected to be back to us today or tomorrow. And
5	so I would expect it to be out later this month.
6	MR. KATZ: Okay. Which site is that?
7	MR. RUTHERFORD: That's the HEU, that
8	neutron dose report you were talking about.
9	MR. KATZ: For?
10	MR. RUTHERFORD: It's for the Gaseous
11	Diffusion Plant.
12	MR. KATZ: Oh, thank you. Okay.
13	MR. RUTHERFORD: Yeah.
14	MR. KATZ: Sorry. Thanks. Alright.
15	So, that's great.
16	So then we possibly would be having a
17	Work Group meeting before August, depending or
18	how much meat there is for SC&A to get through.
19	Okay.
20	MEMBER CLAWSON: Hey, Ted, before you
21	go on, this is Brad.
22	On the Fernald Work Group, we've been
23	involved in the back and forth and stuff, but at

1	this time, we still don't have a date, correct?
2	MR. KATZ: No, Brad, that's correct.
3	So, SC&A is later this month going to be
4	delivering it's review, which it's in its final
5	stages of putting together. And then we'll have
6	NIOSH will have an opportunity to look at that
7	review and we'll have a Work Group meeting,
8	right?
9	MEMBER CLAWSON: Yeah, I'm just
LO	there have been several different Work Groups, I
L1	just wanted to make sure I didn't miss it there.
L2	MR. KATZ: Yeah, so, I've been keeping
L3	track of that for you, Brad. And so I'm thinking
L4	sometime in July we should be able to have a Work
L5	Group meeting.
L6	MEMBER CLAWSON: Okay.
L7	MR. KATZ: And then we'll see from
L8	there. Okay. The only other thing to remind is
L9	that at some point we need a surrogate data
20	meeting on the Allied Chemicals.
21	CHAIR MELIUS: Right.
22	MR. KATZ: Oh, and, last, we just got
23	in a report on Weldon Springs from SC&A. So we

1	need to give NIOSH some time to look at that
2	report. But it's not a such hefty thing and it
3	has a couple issues, so I've asked the NIOSH lead
4	to take a look at that and see when we might have
5	a Work Group meeting. It's possible we could be
6	wrapping things up on Weldon Springs.
7	Okay. That covers all the notes I
8	had. Thanks. Dr. Melius?
9	CHAIR MELIUS: So, based on all those
10	Work Group meetings, if any Board Members plan to
11	take vacation this summer, cancel.
12	(Laughter.)
13	CHAIR MELIUS: And same for SC&A and
14	NIOSH. No fishing trips, LaVon. Or are we past
15	that already?
16	MR. RUTHERFORD: I had one. I still
17	have my August fishing trip.
18	CHAIR MELIUS: Yeah, that's what I
19	thought. I couldn't remember.
20	(Simultaneous speaking.)
21	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. Thanks, everybody
22	for that.
23	Next topic follows from that, the

2	Plans for the August 2017 Board Meeting
3	MR. KATZ: Yes, thanks. So I have a
4	draft with some very tentative items. And LaVor
5	noted that, actually, one of my items and I
6	discussed the others. But let me just run through
7	that.
8	So, for one, it's time for our annual
9	ethics training. We have a new ethics counselor,
10	which we'll welcome at this we won't welcome,
11	actually, in person but Ms. Leah Schomburg
12	from HHS is now handling this. So, we'll have
13	that at the end of the morning on the first day.
14	But the other items, substantive items
15	that day, other than the usuals: we have Pantex,
16	as Brad noted. We have that Site Profile review.
17	We have Pacific Proving Grounds, also a Site
18	Profile review, also wrapped up nicely.
19	And then I have Fernald. Of course,
20	that is contingent on it all going well at the
21	Work Group meeting sometime in July. So that's
22	speculative.
23	Then we have the INI. SEC netition

August Board meeting.

1

1	The addendum or 83.14 for CPP, the extension.
2	And we have Los Alamos, similarly presented. And
3	then public comment. That does the first day.
4	Second day, what we have is, Savannah
5	River Site. Now, I've only given it a half an
6	hour at this point, it's first thing in the
7	morning, but depending on how much substance gets
8	worked on at a Work Group meeting we'll have this
9	summer, that may expand. We'll see.
10	We have metals and controls, as you
11	heard from LaVon. And Area IV Santa Susana.
12	Then after lunch, we have another, now
13	highly speculative, as LaVon said, is Ames. And
14	then there's the Board work session, right now.
15	We also have, depending on these other
16	Work Groups that I just ran through, we might
17	have something else, even if something falls off,
18	to substitute another Site Profile review to plug
19	in.
20	So it looks like a very solid day-and-
21	a-half and possibly going into the afternoon
22	considerably on the second day.
23	And we have pinned down, we do have a

1	hotel. We don't have a contract yet, but we have
2	a hotel in Santa Fe. So it will be there, that's
3	for sure.
4	And that's it. Any questions or
5	discussions?
6	CHAIR MELIUS: This is Jim. I think
7	Ted and I talked about this yesterday, and so I
8	think it is a good possibility we'll stretch into
9	the afternoon of the second day.
10	So, maybe, Ted, when you, or you or
11	Zaida, send out the information on the hotel and
12	we start to make arrangements, travel
13	arrangements, around that time, you can sort of
14	give people a better idea of when we will how
15	long the meeting will go.
16	But I'm pretty sure it's going to
17	extend into the afternoon of the second day. So,
18	I guess, think of your itinerary accordingly and
19	your time commitment for this one.
20	MR. KATZ: Alright. Yes, thanks.
21	CHAIR MELIUS: Ted, in terms of being
22	able to notify when we get the hotel contract or

23

1	MR. KATZ: Yeah. So, I think that's
2	coming relatively soon. And we may not have
3	things firmed up in terms of the agenda, but I'll
4	definitely keep everybody up-to-date as the
5	agenda develops.
6	CHAIR MELIUS: Good. Okay. Any other
7	questions on the August Board meeting?
8	Okay. I think that's it. I don't
9	think we have any other business, so I think we
10	can have a motion to adjourn.
11	MEMBER MUNN: So moved.
12	CHAIR MELIUS: Okay. Wanda and
13	MEMBER LEMEN: Seconded.
14	MEMBER CLAWSON: Second.
15	CHAIR MELIUS: I missed the second, who
16	was the second?
17	MEMBER CLAWSON: Brad.
18	MEMBER LEMEN: This is Dick.
19	CHAIR MELIUS: Oh, Dr. Lemen. We'll
20	give Dr. Lemen a
21	MEMBER CLAWSON: What, he shows up once
22	or twice a year and you give him the credit? I
23	see.

1	(Laughter.)
2	Adjourn
3	MEMBER MUNN: And helps us adjourn.
4	CHAIR MELIUS: Yes. All in favor?
5	Let's j adjourn. Okay, see you all in August.
6	Thanks.
7	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
8	went off the record at 11:35 a.m.)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	