UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

+ + + + +

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

+ + + + +

ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

95th MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY
DECEMBER 17, 2013

+ + + + +

The meeting convened telephonically at 12:00 p.m., Eastern Standard Time, James M. Melius, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

JAMES M. MELIUS, Chairman
HENRY ANDERSON, Member
JOSIE BEACH, Member
BRADLEY P. CLAWSON, Member
R. WILLIAM FIELD, Member
DAVID KOTELCHUCK, Member
WANDA I. MUNN, Member
JOHN W. POSTON, SR., Member
DAVID B. RICHARDSON, Member
GENEVIEVE S. ROESSLER, Member
PHILLIP SCHOFIELD, Member
LORETTA R. VALERIO, Member
PAUL L. ZIEMER, Member
TED KATZ, Designated Federal Official

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

REGISTERED AND/OR PUBLIC COMMENT PARTICIPANTS

AL-NABULSI, ISAF, DOE
BATT, CRISTINA, CDC
CRAWFORD, CHRIS, DOL
HARTSFIELD, DEKEELY, HHS
HINNEFELD, STU, DCAS
MCKEEL, DAN
NETON, JIM, DCAS
RAMSPOTT, JOHN
RUTHERFORD, LAVON, DCAS
STIVER, JOHN, SC&A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

AGENDA
Roll-call5
Special Exposure Cohort (SEC)6 Petition Status Update - Mr. Rutherford, DCAS
<u>Updates from Work Groups and Subcommittees - WG/SC Chairs</u>
Mound Work Group7 Josie Beach, Chair
Dose Reconstruction Subcommittee11 David Kotelchuck, Ph.D., M.P.H.
Fernald Work Group
Procedures Review Subcommittee
TBD-6000 Work Group
Idaho National Laboratory Work Group18 Phillip Schofield, Chair
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Work Group
Plans for the January 2014 Board Meeting - All Members

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	12:00 p.m.
3	MR. KATZ: So let's just do
4	roll-call. We don't need to address conflict
5	of interest because we don't have any actions
6	today for this meeting. So I'm just going to
7	run down the line. And I'll name those that
8	I already know are on.
9	(Roll-call.)
10	MR. KATZ: Okay, then. Dr.
11	Melius, it's your agenda.
12	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thanks.
13	And I believe we have a relatively short
14	agenda today. So, first of all, welcome,
15	everybody. I will admit, Brad, that it is
16	snowing here in New York today.
17	MEMBER CLAWSON: That's nice, Jim,
18	because it's warm here, beautiful blue sky.
19	It's wonderful.
20	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Well,
21	you'll get yours.
22	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: I think

1	they've already gotten theirs.
2	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I know. I've
3	been watching that.
4	Okay. Anyway, the first item or
5	the agenda is SEC petition update from Lavor
6	Rutherford.
7	MR. RUTHERFORD: All right. Yes.
8	This is Lavon Rutherford. We have two SEC
9	reports that we plan to present at the
10	January meeting, first at the Kansas City
11	plant, and we have an Evaluation Report,
12	addendum, for Joslyn. The Kansas City Plan
13	Evaluation Report right now is in internal
14	review. We hope to have the report to the
15	Advisory Board and then out to the
16	petitioners by the end of December or early
17	January at the latest.
18	We also are working on an addendum
19	for Joslyn. The decision to do an addendum
20	for Joslyn recently came up. We made the

about six or seven months for Joslyn.

determination we needed to extend the Class

21

22

Again,

1	we are working on that addendum and are
2	trying to get it completed in time for a Work
3	Group meeting in January. I believe it is
4	January 16th.
5	Worst case, we will present our
6	findings from this addendum to the Work
7	Group. And the actual report will come out
8	shortly after the meeting. The schedule is
9	really tight right now. It's got it coming
10	out about the time of the meeting, but, like
11	I said, at worst case, we will present the
12	findings of that addendum to allow the Work
13	Group you know, I think it's pretty
14	straightforward. So I don't think it will be
15	a problem.
16	And that's all we have for the
17	January meeting, is two reports. And that's
18	all I have.
19	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Any
20	questions for Lavon?
21	(No response.)
22	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Let me

get the right agenda. I was just peeking ahead to the other one. Okay.

Okay. Next on our agenda is any updates from Subcommittees or Work Groups.

And I won't go through the list. So just if anybody has something they want to update, speak up.

MEMBER BEACH: Jim, this is Josie.

I have a brief one from Mound.

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Go ahead.

So the Mound Work MEMBER BEACH: Group held a brief phone call on November 26th to discuss some very specific concerns that were raised by the Mound petitioners regarding the MESH data and whether NIOSH had adequately justified its decision not to use t.he MESH tritium entries as evidence of monitoring and whether NIOSH had independently validated the tritium logbooks as being adequate and sufficiently complete to be used in the intended way for the 1959 to 1980 SEC.

NEAL R. GROSS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	The petitioner brought it up
2	before the Board at our last two meetings.
3	Some of the concerns were and they were
4	are the names sufficiently legible, complete,
5	identifiable to be used to identify which
6	workers ought to be included in the SEC? And
7	the worker concerns would be whether that
8	validation was adequate under the
9	circumstances.
10	We held the meeting. NIOSH agreed
11	to look into how the validation was handled
12	for the logbooks. We got that report from
13	NIOSH on Friday. And the Work Group Members,
14	it was very brief. Did anybody have a
15	chance to review that?
16	MEMBER CLAWSON: I am sorry,
17	Josie. This is Brad. I didn't have a chance
18	to look at that yet.
19	MEMBER BEACH: Okay. How about
20	you, Paul? Did you get a chance to look at
21	it?
22	MEMBER ZIEMER: No. We have been

1	out of town, and I have not had a chance to
2	look at that.
3	MEMBER BEACH: Okay. Well, then I
4	won't close out other than I looked at it.
5	It seemed pretty basic. And it also seemed
6	complete to me.
7	I checked in with Joe. And SC&A
8	agreed that it was pretty standard and
9	complete. And they had no issues for it.
10	So that's my report. Let me also
11	say that I did talk to Deb. She indicated at
12	the meeting that she was happy with the phone
13	call and the information that was presented.
14	And she agrees that the meeting met her
15	concerns.
16	So I don't know, Ted, if we need
17	to report out more officially than that or,
18	of course, wait for the Work Group Members to
19	take a look at that. And maybe I can update
20	again at the next meeting.
21	MR. KATZ: Yes. I think that will
22	work.

1	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. I think
2	that would be fine, Josie. I think maybe do
3	a quick Work Group call to go over
4	everybody's review of the report.
5	MEMBER BEACH: Yes. It's pretty
6	brief. I think we can probably do it via
7	email if everybody agrees with that. But we
8	could also do a call, too. So I'll leave
9	that up to your discretion, Ted.
10	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: This is Jim.
11	And, again, I haven't seen the report and
12	don't know how brief it is. But it might be
13	just better to have something on the record
14	with a call or we could do it at a or, you
15	know, you could just report back at a Board
16	Meeting after
17	MEMBER BEACH: Okay.
18	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: you talk to
19	the other, heard from the other Work Group
20	Members.
21	MEMBER BEACH: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Either one would

1	be fine.
2	MEMBER BEACH: Yes.
3	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Just as long as
4	we get something on the record.
5	MEMBER BEACH: Okay. Sounds
6	perfect. Thanks.
7	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
8	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Jim, on the
9	Dose Reconstruction Subcommittee, we met last
10	on November 20th. And we're going to meet
11	next on February 6th. We couldn't schedule
12	anything for December or January among us.
13	I can give you a report now or, if
14	you'd like, maybe I should wait for the
15	Kansas City meeting, Board meeting. And then
16	it would be the same report now or later. So
17	if you
18	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: We have time in
19	Kansas City. And I think more Board Members
20	hopefully will be on. So why don't we wait
21	until
22	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: That sounds

1	fine.
2	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Thanks.
3	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Suffice it to
4	say progress is being made.
5	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Great.
6	Any other Work Group or Subcommittee reports?
7	MEMBER CLAWSON: Yes, Jim. This
8	is Brad. I just wanted to kind of report on
9	Fernald and Nevada Test Site. I've been in
10	contact with Ted and also with DCAS. And
11	we're trying to finish up these two sites,
12	mainly Site Profile issues, DCAS due to their
13	work schedule and stuff, it's going to be
14	later in January or February, but we're
15	working to finish up these Site Profile
16	issues and close these sites out.
17	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good. Anybody
18	else?
19	MEMBER MUNN: I can give you a
20	quick brief on what we've been doing in
21	Procedures if you'd like.
22	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Go ahead, Wanda.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

MEMBER MUNN: As you probably know, we tend to focus pretty clearly on the PERs. We have a total of 46 now done. Twenty-three of them have been assigned. And so we have a significant body of work involved in those alone.

Among the PERs that we are looking at are 31, which is the Y-12 TBD revision. There are thorium issues there that we are still dealing with, PER-14, construction trade workers with adjustment factors that are still under discussion; PER-20. At Blockson there's an inhalation tool issue. Everything else is closed out there long since.

And 11 is K-25 TBDs and the TIB revisions. There are just some selection criterion issues with respect to selection criteria. We have had significant amounts of discussions in the Subcommittee recently on selection criteria for case reviews and different documents that we are dealing with.

And I think most of those are about to come to agreement. There doesn't seem to be too much of an issue. It's just a matter of working out the details.

PER-37, Ames, is in Work Group discussions. So that is postponed for us until those discussions are finished. And we still have some -- PER-38 came before us and created a little bit of a discussion for us with respect to how to handle the entries in our Board reports simply because that was one of several that we have looked at where there are no findings and we are set up, of course, to deal with findings. So we have I think agreed that we will issue a finding of no findings in those cases where we do find ourselves with a review that is completed.

We have had a technical call on the 3rd to talk about PER-9, the lymphoma issues. That was I think going to resolve itself very nicely. OTIB-54 is internal gross alpha and beta analyses. That was one

1 those procedures that had an number of findings. 2 Seventeen of them are ten of them still closed. We have in 3 4 progress. They're proceeding. We have also been looking at IG-5 001. The latest revisions, as you 6 7 remember, I think we have had at least three revisions of that very basic document. 8 are still some discussions going on 9 respect to the dose correction factors and 10 But, again, that's close 11 geometry. resolution. 12 We have just had released from 13 SC&A PER-25, subtask 4. It was a case rework 14 evaluation of shallow dose at the Huntington 15 plant. So that is on our plate. 16 And coming up soon is -- actually, 17 most of the work I think has been done on 18 OTIB-83 and 34, the latter being, both of 19 20 them, with respect to internal dosimetry, the

And our next meeting is scheduled

latter being X-10, I believe.

21

for February 13th.

TBD-6000 Work Group.

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Great. Thanks, 2 Wanda.

Anybody else, Work Group leaders? 4 MEMBER ZIEMER: 5 I can report on

CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Go ahead, Paul.

MEMBER ZIEMER: The Work Group has meeting scheduled for this week, Thursday, December 19th. And the focus of meeting will be on General Steel Industries. We will be looking at the skin dose calculations. We will be looking at the calculations for betatron exposures, MCNP the resuspension factor for also on residual period, trying to reach closure on all of these items. Also, we hope to deal with the findings matrix for Appendix BB, is the Industries which General Steel findings matrix, and to deal with all of the remaining open issues on that.

1	I'll report at the next full Board
2	meeting where we stand in terms of all of
3	these issues.
4	Also, the Work Group, as LaVon
5	Rutherford indicated, has a conference call
6	meeting scheduled for January 16th. The
7	focus of that will be the Joslyn SEC. And
8	whether or not we will have any formal
9	recommendation on that remains to be seen.
10	So that is very briefly what we are working
11	on.
12	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. That
13	explains the multiple meeting notices.
14	MEMBER ZIEMER: Right, right. The
15	Joslyn one will be touch and go as to whether
16	or not they actually have their
17	recommendation ready for the Work Group.
18	But, as LaVon indicated, it seems to be
19	fairly straightforward, but one is not always
20	certain on that.
21	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Well, we have
22	faith in LaVon. We know how hard he is going

1	to work over the holidays to get this done
2	for us.
3	MEMBER ZIEMER: Yes. That is what
4	worries us. Just kidding, LaVon. Okay.
5	That is my report.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thanks,
7	Paul.
8	Any other Work Group?
9	MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Yes. This is
10	Phil Schofield. This is for INL. On the 1st
11	of October, Pete Darnell issued a paper on
12	the Work Group issue summary. Then SC&A
13	issued another paper on the updated matrix
14	for the issues on the 23rd of October. Then
15	on March 25th, we're scheduled for a Work
16	Group meeting in Cincinnati.
17	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Good.
18	Thanks, Phil.
19	Anybody else? Mark I know isn't
20	on the phone. I know the Savannah River Work
21	Group has a meeting scheduled, I believe
22	sometime in February.

1 MEMBER ZIEMER: Well, this is Ziemer again. I probably should give a brief 2 update on Lawrence Berkeley also. 3 4 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Go ahead. MEMBER ZIEMER: Yes. Just this 5 past week, we have received two White Papers 6 7 from DCAS dealing with some of the findings that SC&A had on the findings matrix. 8 uncertain -- and I just emailed Lara Hughes 9 to find out whether there were -- a couple of 10 additional White Papers I think are still 11 due, but we will be able to schedule a Work 12 Group meeting fairly soon. SC&A will need an 13 opportunity to review those White Papers, but 14 at least that seems to be moving ahead now. 15 So we'll keep you posted at the next meeting. 16 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: All right. 17 And I've got to schedule a conference call of our 18 Hanford Work Group to get -- we have some 19 20 updates on that that we need to discuss. So I will 21 22 MEMBER CLAWSON: Jim? Jim, the

1	Savannah River Work Group is I believe
2	February 6.
3	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. I
4	remember it was that week. I couldn't
5	remember the exact date.
6	MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Brad, is it the
7	6th? Is it the 6th?
8	MEMBER CLAWSON: It is either 5th
9	or 6th. I was just
10	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Oh, okay.
11	Because we have a Dose Reconstruction
12	Subcommittee meeting on the 6th.
13	MEMBER CLAWSON: Yes. So it is
14	the 5th because I
15	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Oh, okay.
16	MEMBER CLAWSON: I have the stuff
17	there until I get back.
18	MR. KATZ: It is the 5th.
19	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: No problem.
20	MEMBER SCHOFIELD: Okay. I just
21	wanted to make sure I hadn't screwed up.
22	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Well, make sure

1	we keep everybody awake. All right.
2	Anybody else with updates?
3	(No response.)
4	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. The next
5	item on the agenda is the agenda for our
6	January 14th meeting, which will be a one-day
7	meeting in Kansas City. I don't know if we
8	have a hotel yet. Do we or
9	MR. KATZ: Well, we almost do,
10	yes, almost.
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Are you going to
12	tell us that on the day before the meeting,
13	that we almost have a hotel?
14	MR. KATZ: Sooner than that. It
15	should be pinned down in a few days. I mean,
16	we have a tentative hotel, but it's not legal
17	yet.
18	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
19	MEMBER ANDERSON: Which day is it
20	going to be?
21	MR. KATZ: It is the 28th.
22	MEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. Because I

1	was holding the 29th and 30th as well.
2	MR. KATZ: Right. No. I think I
3	sent out a notice, but it's the 28th. And it
4	will be a very full day but just that day.
5	MEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. So we
6	should be able to leave the 28th?
7	MR. KATZ: Well, in the evening.
8	MEMBER ANDERSON: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: We are
10	scheduled. The draft schedule, we have
11	tentatively an update on General Steel, the
12	Joslyn petition
13	MEMBER ANDERSON: Yes.
14	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: and then the
15	Kansas City petition. And then we have a
16	public comment period on the
17	MEMBER ANDERSON: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: well, mostly
19	focus on the Kansas
20	MEMBER ANDERSON: So plan to leave
21	on the 29th?
22	MR. KATZ: Yes. Andy, I think

1	that would be safer
2	MEMBER ANDERSON: Okay.
3	MR. KATZ: because there may be
4	a lot of people from the local Kansas City
5	MEMBER ANDERSON: Yes.
6	MR. KATZ: that may come for
7	comment and we need
8	MEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. Great.
9	Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Or later in the
11	evening of the
12	MEMBER ANDERSON: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: 28th. You
14	Midwesterners can
15	MEMBER ANDERSON: It may work.
16	I'll have to check it out.
17	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
18	MEMBER MUNN: This is Wanda. I
19	would like a little guidance if you
20	anticipate some sort of Procedures
21	presentation in Kansas City.
22	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I don't think

1	we'll have a given how tight the schedule
2	is, we will not do a Procedures presentation
3	at this meeting.
4	MEMBER MUNN: That's why I asked.
5	It sounded like it was very tight, I mean.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Any
7	questions or comments on the agenda?
8	Anything else you think that we've missed?
9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Any other
11	Board business anybody would like to discuss?
12	(No response.)
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: If not, I'll
14	entertain a motion to adjourn.
15	MEMBER MUNN: So moved.
16	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Wanda.
17	Is there a second to that?
18	MEMBER BEACH: I'll second it.
19	This is Josie.
20	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Anybody
21	opposed?
22	(No response.)

1	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Everybody
2	have a happy holidays.
3	MEMBER MUNN: Same to you.
4	MEMBER BEACH: Thanks, Jim. You,
5	too.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I guess some of
7	you will be talking in a day or two with TBD
8	6000, but the rest of us I guess will be
9	talking to each other, seeing each other in
10	January. So, again, have a good holidays and
11	
12	MEMBER KOTELCHUCK: Same.
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thank you.
14	MR. KATZ: Have a great holidays,
15	everyone. Take care.
16	(Whereupon, the foregoing matter
17	was concluded at 12:24 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
2.2	

1

2

3

4

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701