UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL

+ + + + +

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

+ + + + +

ADVISORY BOARD ON RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

+ + + + +

76th MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY APRIL 20, 2011

+ + + + +

The meeting convened telephonically at 11:00 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, James M. Melius, Chairman, presiding.

PRESENT:

JAMES M. MELIUS, Chairman
HENRY ANDERSON, Member
JOSIE BEACH, Member
R. WILLIAM FIELD, Member
MICHAEL H. GIBSON, Member
RICHARD LEMEN, Member
JAMES E. LOCKEY, Member
WANDA I. MUNN, Member
ROBERT W. PRESLEY, Member
DAVID B. RICHARDSON, Member
GENEVIEVE S. ROESSLER, Member
PAUL L. ZIEMER, Member
TED KATZ, Designated Federal Official

REGISTERED AND/OR PUBLIC COMMENT PARTICIPANTS:

AL-NABULSI, ISAF, DOE
ANDERS, KAROLINE, DOL
BARRIE, TERRIE
BURGOS, ZAIDA, NIOSH
HINNEFELD, STU, DCAS
LIN, JENNY, HHS
MAKHIJANI, ARJUN, SC&A
MAURO, JOHN, SC&A
OSTROW, STEVE, SC&A
RUTHERFORD, LAVON, DCAS
THRASHER, CONNIE
WADE, LEW, NIOSH Contractor

T-A-B-L-E O-F C-O-N-T-E-N-T-S Roll Call Mr. Katz, DFO 4 Recording Absent Member Votes from February Meeting Mr. Katz, DFO 8 NIOSH 10-Year Program Review Update Special Exposure Cohort (SEC) Petition Status Update Update from Work Groups and Subcommittee WG/SC Chairs 18 Board Correspondence Dr. Melius, Chair 35 Future Plans/Suggestions for the May 2011 Board Meeting Agenda All Members 36

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	11:00 a.m.
3	MR. KATZ: We have Dr. Melius, but
4	why don't I run down the list. It's easier
5	than you guys trampling each other to get your
6	names in.
7	So we have Dr. Melius. Let me go
8	alphabetically then. Dr. Anderson?
9	MEMBER ANDERSON: Yes, I'm here.
10	MR. KATZ: Great. Ms. Beach?
11	MEMBER BEACH: I am here. Good
12	morning.
13	MR. KATZ: Mr. Clawson?
14	(Pause.)
15	Brad? Okay, let me carry on. Dr.
16	Field?
17	MEMBER FIELD: I'm here.
18	MR. KATZ: Mr. Gibson?
19	MEMBER GIBSON: I'm here, Ted.
20	MR. KATZ: Great. Mr. Griffon?
21	(Pause.)
22	I'll carry on. Dr. Lemen?
	NEAL D. CDOCC

1	(Pause.)
2	Dr. Lockey?
3	MS. THRASHER: Dr. Lockey will be
4	with you just in a few minutes. He's running
5	a few minutes late. This is Connie, his
6	assistant.
7	MR. KATZ: All right, Connie.
8	Thank you. And Ms. Munn we've heard from.
9	Dr. Poston?
LO	(Pause.)
L1	Okay, things are going on with Dr.
L2	Poston I think, too, maybe. But Mr. Presley
L3	we've heard from. Dr. Richardson?
L4	MEMBER RICHARDSON: I'm here.
L5	MR. KATZ: Great. Dr. Roessler?
L6	MEMBER ROESSLER: Here.
L7	MR. KATZ: Okay. And Phil I've
L8	heard from. Phil is stuck in Dallas and won't
L9	be on the line.
20	Dr. Ziemer?
21	MEMBER ZIEMER: I'm here.
22	MR. KATZ: Great. And let me just

- go back on the -- Brad, are you with us? Mr.
- 2 Clawson?
- Okay, I haven't heard from him. I
- 4 suspect he'll be with us unless he had travel
- 5 troubles as well. And let me check again --
- 6 Mark, Mr. Griffon?
- 7 Okay. And Dr. Lemen? I haven't
- 8 heard from him, so I would expect him.
- 9 Okay, Jim, do you want me to carry
- 10 on, or --
- 11 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, go on with
- the next item on the agenda, which is yours.
- 13 MR. KATZ: Sure. Before I do
- that, just let me remind everyone on the line,
- 15 let me just do the rest of the roll call
- 16 first.
- 17 NIOSH-ORAU team?
- 18 MR. HINNEFELD: This is Stu
- 19 Hinnefeld from NIOSH.
- 20 MR. RUTHERFORD: LaVon Rutherford,
- 21 NIOSH.
- MR. KATZ: Okay. Any others from

- the NIOSH-ORAU team?
- Okay, SC&A?
- DR. MAURO: John Mauro, SC&A.
- 4 Good morning.
- 5 MR. KATZ: Good morning.
- 6 DR. OSTROW: Steve Ostrow. Steve
- 7 Ostrow.
- 8 MR. KATZ: Hi, Steve.
- 9 DR. OSTROW: Hi.
- 10 MR. KATZ: Okay. Federal
- officials, HHS or other agencies?
- MS. LIN: Jenny Lin, HHS.
- MR. KATZ: Hello, Jenny.
- DR. WADE: This is Lew Wade with
- 15 NIOSH.
- MR. KATZ: Hi, Lou.
- 17 MS. ANDERS: From the Department
- 18 of Labor, Karoline Anders for Jeff Kotsch
- 19 today.
- MR. KATZ: Karoline.
- DR. AL-NABULSI: Isaf Al-Nabulsi,
- 22 DOE.

1	ME	R. KATZ	: Welc	come.				
2	Ar	nd the	ere's	no	publ	ic	comm	ent
3	session, but	any	membe	ers	of	the	pub	lic
4	listening in	n who	want	to	regi	ster	th	eir
5	attendance?							
6	MS	S. BARR	IE: T	his :	is Te	rrie	Bar	rie
7	with ANWAG.							
8	ME	R. KATZ	: Welc	come,	Terr	ie.		
9	MS	S. BARR	IE: Go	ood m	ornin	ıg.		
10	ME	R. KATZ	: Ver	.y go	od.	Now	let	m∈
11	just remind e	everyone	e on th	ne li	ne no	w to	ple	ase
12	mute your pho	one exc	ept wh	.en y	ou're	add	lress	ing
13	the group.							
14	Us	se *6	if you	ı dor	ı't h	ave	a m	ute
15	button to mu	te your	phone	e, an	d the	en *	6 ag	ain
16	will take you	off mu	ıte.					
17	Ar	nd I w	ill so	rt o	f go	rig	ht i	ntc
18	the next sec	cion, w	hich i	s re	cordi	ng a	.bsen	tee
19	Member votes.							
20	DI	R. MAKI	HIJANI:	7	Ted,	befo	ore	you
21	start that, t	this is	Arjun	. I	just	got	on	the
22	line, SC&A.							

1	MR. KATZ: Great. Welcome, Arjun.
2	DR. MAKHIJANI: Thank you. Thank
3	you.
4	MR. KATZ: Thank you.
5	Okay, Board votes. At the last
6	meeting in Augusta, we had some absences, and
7	the procedure of the Board is to collect all
8	votes before completing the action, and we
9	have done so. So let me run through those
10	votes as they came in.
11	There's someone on the line who's
12	not on mute. If you could just mute your
13	phone, *6, thank you.
14	So we have Dr. Lockey was absent
15	for a number of the votes, and I'll run down
16	his votes. All the votes came in by March
17	18th. And Dr. Lockey's, for those that he had
18	missed, were a yes on Chapman, making the
19	final vote 11 in favor, 5 against. A yes on
20	Dow, making the final vote 15 yes, 1 no. A
21	yes on Wah Chang, that's 16 or unanimous in
22	favor. A yes on Grand Junction, that's again

- 1 16 unanimous in favor. A yes on Bliss &
- 2 Laughlin, 16 unanimous. A yes on Norton,
- that's 15 in favor, unanimous, one recusal.
- 4 And yes on Vitro, 16 unanimous again.
- 5 Mr. Griffon also -- he missed two
- 6 votes. He missed Chapman, where he voted no,
- 7 and he voted yes for Wah Chang.
- 8 And then finally, Mr. Schofield
- 9 missed the vote on the last day for Vitro, and
- 10 he voted yes. And that concludes the votes
- 11 for the February Board meeting.
- 12 Thank you, Jim.
- MS. LIN: Ted?
- MR. KATZ: Yes.
- 15 MS. LIN: This is Jenny. There
- 16 were separate votes taken for Wah Chang? Can
- 17 you report those votes as well?
- 18 MR. KATZ: There were separate
- 19 votes taken for Wah Chang.
- 20 MS. LIN: There were two actions
- 21 taken for Wah Chang.
- MR. KATZ: Okay, let me --

1 MEMBER LEMEN: Ted? 2 MR. KATZ: Yes? 3 MEMBER LEMEN: This is Dick. I'm 4 on. 5 MR. KATZ: Oh, welcome. Welcome, 6 Dick. 7 So, Jenny, I don't have that before me right now --8 9 MS. LIN: Okay, great. -- to address that. 10 MR. KATZ: 11 Thank you. 12 Okay. CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thanks, 13 Ted. 14 Lew, do you want to give us an update on the NIOSH 10-Year Program Review? 15 16 DR. WADE: Sure, Jim. I'll be 17 brief. 18 You know, we're proceeding 19 according to the plan that I shared with you at the February meeting although I'm a little 20 bit less certain of my ability to meet that 21

NEAL R. GROSS

plan, but we're still proceeding according to

-	
1	it.
_	エし・

- 2 If you remember, that plan was to
- 3 have before the Board, before its next face-
- 4 to-face meeting in May, updated copies of all
- five of the Phase 1 reports. They'll be as
- 6 updated as they've been by the authors and
- 7 approved by the system for release.
- 8 I'd also like to have before you a
- 9 list of all of the recommendations that have
- 10 resulted from those five reports. And I would
- 11 await, you know, the Board input on the
- 12 content of those five reports and the
- 13 recommendations.
- 14 The one issue that I've been --
- until we have a Board discussion, it was Dr.
- 16 Richardson's issue that started with the fact
- 17 that the science review did not look at the
- 18 science behind Probability of Causation.
- 19 Before we decide how to move on
- 20 that, I would be interested in a discussion
- 21 with the Board to get a sense of what the
- 22 Board's actions are likely to be on that

_	
	issue.

- 2 So we're on track. Again, the
- 3 meeting is approaching, and I don't have all
- 4 the pieces in hand, yet, but we're working to
- 5 have them. And then, Jim, we're also prepared
- to do anything you would like us to do in May
- 7 as the Board begins to delve into more detail
- 8 in some of the Phase 1 reports.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, thanks.
- 10 And, Lew, if you're going to stay on the line,
- 11 we'll sort of hold that discussion -- one of
- our last items is the May meeting agenda, and
- 13 we can cover it there because it also depends
- somewhat on how much time's available, so.
- DR. WADE: I'll be with you until
- 1:00, Jim. Do you have a sense -- I assume
- 17 you'll --
- 18 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I think we'll be
- 19 finished by 1:00.
- DR. WADE: Okay. Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Any Board
- 22 Members that have questions for Lew?

1	MEMBER MUNN: Yes. This is Wanda,
2	I have one.
3	Lew, is the report that we can
4	access online where you are right now, or is
5	there more extensive information? Is there
6	additional information or different
7	information than what we have on the screen
8	when we pull that up?
9	DR. WADE: Well, if you pull up
10	one of the five reports, Wanda, what you have
11	is the latest released version. The authors
12	are working on some of the comments that were
13	received at the website, and it's my hope to
14	have updated reports to you.
15	When we do update, I'll send an
16	email to each Board Member letting you know
17	that there's a later version available. So
18	what you see is what you've got until we have
19	more to share with you, and we'll let you know
20	of that occurrence.
21	MEMBER MUNN: All right. So that
22	what, about 34-page draft that we see, is the

bones and meat of what we're going to expect,

- 2 right?
- 3 DR. WADE: No, there are five
- 4 reports. There's a --
- 5 MEMBER MUNN: Yes, this is just
- 6 the first of the first one that I'm looking
- 7 at. I just wanted to make sure that those had
- 8 not been significantly revised and that I had
- 9 missed it. All right.
- DR. WADE: There's a report on
- individual dose reconstruction -- all of those
- 12 reports you have, there's a report on the
- 13 quality of science.
- 14 MEMBER MUNN: Yes.
- DR. WADE: You have the latest
- 16 version. They are being worked based upon
- 17 comments.
- 18 MEMBER MUNN: All right. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I would just add
- that, you know, individual Board Members, you
- 22 know, can do comments regarding those reports,

1	SO
2	DR. WADE: We have a number from
3	some Board Members, and you know, we're
4	working hard to include the suggestions made
5	by Board Members.
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Any other
7	Board Members with questions for Lew?
8	Okay. Next, we'll hear from LaVor
9	Rutherford, the Special Exposure Cohort
LO	Petition status update.
11	MR. RUTHERFORD: All right. Thank
L2	you, Dr. Melius.
13	We have a pretty light load for
L4	the SEC presentation schedule for the May
L5	Board meeting. We had hoped to get an 83.14
L6	completed from our review of the SEC Class
L7	Definitions in time for the meeting, but we
L8	had to push that back a little. We will be
L9	presenting those 83.14s at the August meeting.
20	As for the May meeting, we will be
21	presenting evaluations for Sandia National Lab

The Sandia National Lab is an

22

and Hanford.

1	83.13. The petitioner had proposed a Class
2	for all employees who worked within the
3	reactor division of Sandia National Lab from
4	January 1, 1957, through December 31st of
5	1962.
6	Recognize that if a Class is
7	recommended, we do not necessarily recommend
8	the Class proposed by the petitioner. We
9	adjust the Class based on our feasibility
10	findings. So, just, I know the Board knows
11	that, but any of the public listening.
12	We anticipate getting this report
13	to the Advisory Board late next week.
14	Hanford, this is an 83.13 as well.
15	This petition actually is within the time
16	period which is under the Hanford Work Group
17	review now. However, the issue associated
18	with this petition was not addressed under the
19	previous petition, nor has the Work Group
20	really looked at this issue.

all personnel who were internally monitored

The petitioner proposed Class is

21

1	and who worked at the plutonium finishing
2	plant in the 200 area at the Hanford site from
3	January 1, 1987, through December 31st, 1989.
4	Again, we anticipate getting this
5	report to the Advisory Board late next week.
6	Those are the only new petitions we will be
7	presenting.
8	We will be providing the SEC Work
9	Group and the rest of the Board a revised
10	petition evaluation for GE Evendale. This is
11	an 83.13 or 83.14, excuse me. We
12	originally presented our Evaluation Report at
13	the Advisory Board meeting in February of
14	2010.
15	At that time, the Advisory Board
16	requested that we pursue additional
17	information to determine whether it would
18	feasible to limit the Class based on specific
19	buildings, or if we could identify the
20	specific work force.
21	Since that time, we have uncovered
22	enough additional information and

1	documentation and data that we felt we should
2	revise our original evaluation to include this
3	information. However, our revision does not
4	change our original conclusion. I want to
5	point that out.
6	We anticipate having this revision
7	to the Advisory Board or to the Work Group
8	and Advisory Board late next week as well.
9	And that's about it for the May meeting.
10	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Questions
11	for LaVon from Board Members?
12	MEMBER LOCKEY: Hey, Jim. Jim
13	Lockey, I was able to join you.
14	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Welcome,
15	Jim. Thanks.
16	Any questions for LaVon?
17	Okay. Next item on our agenda is
18	the Work Group and Subcommittee update. And
19	let me just start off by saying that the SEC
20	Evaluation Work Group will currently in the
21	process of scheduling a meeting to review the
22	updated General Electric report, so we will -

Т	- Evaluation Report.
2	So we expect that we'll have that
3	meeting before our May meeting, and we'll be
4	able to report back as part of the
5	presentation discussion on the new Evaluation
6	Report at the May meeting.
7	Any other Work Group or
8	Subcommittee Chairs that wish to provide
9	updates?
LO	MEMBER MUNN: This is Wanda. I
L1	can give you a report on Procedures, if you'd
L2	like.
L3	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
L4	MEMBER MUNN: The Subcommittee on
L5	Procedures met last March 22nd in Cincinnati.
L6	We had, as usual, a very full agenda,
L7	including the first 14 of the two-page
L8	summaries for our archive that SC&A had
L9	provided for us and which we covered in
20	considerable detail.
21	Those will be complete with only

now.

few

Α

minor

22

revisions

grammatical

1	changes will be made, and then they'll be
2	provided to NIOSH for them to arrange a spot
3	on the web so that we can get those that
4	entire file loaded and available for public
5	perusal.
6	We were able to close several
7	items, a couple from OTIB-21, and we had a few
8	items that as always, we have carryover
9	items that are still being worked on. There -
10	- we expect at least half of those carryover
11	items to be addressed at our next meeting.
12	We continue to move forward with
13	issues on our database. As you know, this
14	most recent change and expansion of our
15	capability is providing some software
16	challenges, I think.
17	But we and as a result, we were
18	not able to use the database in its electronic
19	form as we have been accustomed to in the past
20	during that meeting.
21	But we see progress being made,
22	additional information being incorporated.

1	And our primary concern right now is that the
2	database be able to link back to original
3	documents, so that anyone who is trying to
4	attempt the full history of what has
5	transpired with an issue will be able to move
6	from one document to the other without total
7	confusion.
8	We anticipate spending the first
9	part of our next upcoming meeting with active
10	training on how to use the new aspects of the
11	database that should be available to us by
12	that time.
13	We were unable to schedule a
14	meeting in the usual period that we would
15	have. Normally, we like to meet every six
16	weeks to two months, but because of the press
17	of other meetings and staff restraints that we
18	have currently, the next meeting will not
19	occur until July.
20	So we will have one or two items
21	that will be transferred from our purview into
22	other Work Groups. There's a PER that needs

1	to go to the Los Alamos Group, and we'll be
2	doing that in the next week or so.
3	In the interim, we had a
4	considerable discussion about how to address
5	the PER database, whether to be separate, or
6	whether to incorporate it into this one.
7	Currently probably a separate
8	kind of database, since that is a different
9	type of activity than the kinds of
LO	programmatic issues that we address in our
L1	standard Subcommittee meeting.
L2	That is about it for our March
L3	22nd meeting.
L4	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thank
L5	you, Wanda. Any Board Members that have
L6	questions for Wanda?
L7	Okay. Any other Board Members
L8	that would like to report on their
L9	Subcommittees or Work Groups?
20	MEMBER ANDERSON: This is Andy. I
21	would just say the 6001 Committee or Work
22	Group is going to meet on May 16th and it

1 looks like we've got the -- we will have the

- information we need, so we're good to go.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Oh, excellent.
- 4 Good. Yes, I understand a couple of meetings
- 5 got postponed because of the threatened
- 6 shutdown?
- 7 MEMBER ANDERSON: Yes, exactly.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Having gone
- 9 through that in the past --
- 10 MEMBER ROESSLER: Jim, this is
- 11 Gen.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, go ahead,
- 13 Gen.
- 14 MEMBER ROESSLER: An update on
- 15 Linde.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
- 17 MEMBER ROESSLER: We have a Work
- 18 Group meeting set for July 7th in Cincinnati
- 19 for the morning, 9:00 to 12:30.
- This will be to begin evaluation
- of SEC 154, which is the Linde period November
- 22 1st, 1947 through December 31st, 1953.

1	We have the Evaluation Report that
2	came out in November, and I have a note here
3	from SC&A, and Steve can update me if there
4	are any changes on this, saying that SC&A is
5	aiming to produce a report on the ER by the
6	end of May. And this will leave time for
7	NIOSH to comment and for the Work Group to
8	discuss the issues at our meeting in July.
9	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
LO	Excellent. Good. Any questions for Gen on
L1	Linde?
L2	I'd almost forgotten we had
L3	another petition there, so.
L4	Any other Work Group or
L5	Subcommittee Chairs that wish to report?
L6	MEMBER ZIEMER: Dr. Melius
L7	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
L8	MEMBER ZIEMER: Paul Ziemer
L9	here. I'll just report briefly. It's sort of
20	a non-report, but General Steel Industries and
21	really TBD-6000 and the General Steel
22	Industries petition, we have not yet scheduled

1	a Work Group meeting because of lack of work
2	product.
3	I know that NIOSH, in combination
4	with all the other priorities as well as the
5	threatened shutdown of the government, things
6	may be a little behind schedule. But we have
7	a promised work product called The Path
8	Forward, a work product that we're awaiting.
9	And as soon as that is available
10	and SC&A has an opportunity to review it, we
11	will schedule our next Work Group. But we've
12	been six months waiting for a product, so.
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
14	MEMBER ZIEMER: So just for the
15	record to let you know that we're concerned
16	about the priorities and ability to get
17	product available for Work Group actions, and
18	I think it's not just us, but there's many,
19	many groups have similar issues because it's a
20	lot of different sites that require action,
21	and it's a big effort.
22	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. Yes, I

1	don't know, Stu, if you have any comments on
2	that, or
3	MR. HINNEFELD: Well, this is Stu.
4	I would just comment that we are
5	reconfiguring priorities internally in order
6	to try to get somebody more time on GSI.
7	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thanks.
8	MEMBER BEACH: Jim, this is Josie.
9	I'll go ahead and report on Brookhaven
10	National Lab. We're going to share the July
11	7th work date. We're going to have our
12	meeting on half the day with Linde.
13	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
14	MEMBER BEACH: So, both of us,
15	since we only had a half a day scheduled.
16	And Mound, I'm waiting for work
17	product also. There are three pretty large
18	reports that I'm waiting for and working with

- 21 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
- 22 MEMBER BEACH: I hope to be able

NIOSH to see when those are going to be out,

so.

19

1	to schedule Mound before the August meeting,
2	but we'll see how that goes.
3	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
4	MEMBER RICHARDSON: Dr. Melius
5	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes?
6	MEMBER RICHARDSON: this is
7	David Richardson.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, Dave.
9	MEMBER RICHARDSON: Mark Griffon
LO	chaired the Dose Subcommittee meeting, but I
L1	think he's not on the line.
L2	Mark, are you?
L3	So I'd be happy to give a little
L4	summary of where we stand.
L5	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, that would
L6	be good. Thanks, Dave.
L7	MEMBER MUNN: Good. I was hoping
L8	you were going to do that, David.
L9	MEMBER RICHARDSON: We met on
20	Monday, April 18th. And if someone can help
21	me with the recollection, we proceeded through
22	I believe it was the 7th and 8th set of the

1	review	of	the	doses.

- 2 MEMBER MUNN: That's correct.
- 3 MEMBER RICHARDSON: And we made
- 4 good progress through those. We managed to
- 5 resolve a number of issues. And some of them
- 6 are still pending further work by either NIOSH
- 7 or SC&A.
- We've scheduled a next meeting,
- 9 very quickly, for May 6th, on Friday, and it
- 10 will be kind of a fact-finding mission, a walk
- 11 through of the ORAU contractor and also of
- 12 NIOSH to go through and walk through the
- process of how the dose reconstruction process
- 14 is operating, and partly to collect
- information on -- help some of the Committee
- 16 Members, including myself, get a better
- 17 understanding of the process and get some
- insights into some issues that might impact on
- 19 kind of quality assurance or quality control.
- 20 We had a discussion of the -- kind
- of finalizing the report for the first 100
- 22 dose records review, and there -- I think the

1	field trip kind of overlaps with kind of the
2	one outstanding issue there, which is to see
3	whether we can incorporate into that report
4	some suggestions for not simply documenting
5	kind of where we've identified problems, but
6	suggestions about kind of how processes might
7	be revised.
8	And we would like to do that by
9	getting kind of a really contemporary
10	understanding of what's happened, not how
11	some of the processes have changed since the
12	time that the dose reconstructions were done.
13	Some of these are very old cases.
14	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Right.
15	MEMBER RICHARDSON: So we want to
16	get kind of an up-to-date understanding, and
17	then hopefully finalize that report with
18	suggestions that would be useful today. So.
19	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good. Okay.
20	MEMBER GIBSON: Dr. Melius?
21	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
22	MEMBER GIBSON: This is Mike.

1	I've had some email and phone call
2	correspondence with Weldon Spring folks,
3	wondering when the next meeting's going to be.
4	So just for the record, DCAS has
5	committed to having a meeting May the 9th in
6	Cincinnati.
7	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
8	MEMBER GIBSON: So they'll have
9	that information. Thanks.
10	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay, great.
11	And obviously, that will be that update on
12	that is on the draft agenda for our May
13	meeting in St. Louis, and I expect we'll hear
14	from the petitioners and some of the claimants
15	from Weldon Spring at that meeting, so that's
16	timely. I'm glad you got that worked out,
17	Mike.
18	MEMBER GIBSON: Okay. Thanks.
19	MEMBER BEACH: And Jim, this is
20	Josie. I'll go ahead and report that LANL has
21	a Work Group meeting scheduled for May 2nd in

Cincinnati.

1	Our last Work Group meeting was
2	November 3rd, last year, and those updates
3	have come in from NIOSH for our Work Group
4	meeting.
5	And then Pantex, I believe, is
6	still scheduled for May 3rd, also in
7	Cincinnati.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. And I can
9	probably, since Phil's not on the line, I know
10	that the believe that the Idaho INL Work
11	Group is scheduled for, I believe it's the end
12	of June? Is that the 21st or 20th?
13	MEMBER BEACH: 21st.
14	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: The 21st, and I
15	believe the
16	MEMBER BEACH: Gaseous Diffusion?
17	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
18	MEMBER BEACH: It's July 6th.
19	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Good.
20	Thank you, Josie.
21	MEMBER ZIEMER: This is Ziemer.
22	Could I ask a question here?

1	Josie, did you say Mound was May
2	2nd?
3	MEMBER BEACH: No, no, no. LANL
4	is May 2nd.
5	MEMBER ZIEMER: Okay. Thank you.
6	MEMBER BEACH: Mound is Mound
7	we're still waiting for
8	MEMBER ZIEMER: Yes, okay.
9	MEMBER BEACH: NIOSH's report.
10	MEMBER ZIEMER: Yes, I didn't
11	quite I had a little break in the reception
12	here. I didn't quite catch what was May 2nd.
13	Thanks.
14	MEMBER ANDERSON: Do we have a new
15	date for BNL, or no?
16	MEMBER BEACH: BNL is July 7th at
17	this time in the afternoon.
18	MEMBER ANDERSON: Okay. That's
19	right. Got it.
20	MEMBER BEACH: Although, there is
21	I don't know if Ted, there are some
22	issues with hotels there. I don't know if

1	Zaida	had	talked	to	you	about	that	or	not,	so

- 2 I was a little leery.
- MR. KATZ: No, I haven't heard a
- 4 thing about a problem with hotels.
- 5 MEMBER BEACH: Okay. It was just
- 6 -- they were booked up and couldn't give me a
- 7 room in July for those two meetings, so.
- 8 MS. BURGOS: Josie, this is Zaida.
- 9 I'm still working with my point of contact at
- 10 the hotel.
- 11 MEMBER BEACH: Oh, okay.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Good. Any other
- Work Group updates?
- 14 I'll just add one thing because
- 15 Mark's not on, is that Stu and I have had some
- 16 correspondence about trying to sort of figure
- 17 out where the NIOSH schedule is for reports on
- 18 Savannah River.
- 19 So this correspondence was early
- 20 this week, so Stu's going to get back to me
- 21 and said that he would follow up. So
- 22 hopefully we'll be able to pin down our

1	aahadula	020	Savannah	D 1 77070	2122
_	Schedule	OII	Savailliali	KIVEL	aiso.

- DR. MAKHIJANI: Dr. Melius, this
- 3 is Arjun. May I give an update since Mark is
- 4 not on the line?
- 5 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, go ahead.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: We sent our review
- 7 -- SC&A sent our review of the 1965 to `71
- 8 thorium dose reconstruction report of NIOSH to
- 9 the Work Group, I think about a week or ten
- 10 days ago.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
- DR. MAKHIJANI: And so that has
- 13 been done. It's a pretty major item, and we
- had promised a revision 1 of our review of the
- 15 addendum to the Evaluation Report.
- 16 And that has been completed, and
- 17 it is now -- I believe at DOE for review or in
- 18 typesetting. But it should soon be delivered
- 19 to the Work Group, probably in less than two
- weeks.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Thank
- 22 you, Arjun.

1	DR. MAKHIJANI: You're welcome.
2	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: And then, I know
3	Brad isn't on, but Ted, is there a Fernald
4	Work Group meeting scheduled, or
5	MR. KATZ: This is Ted. There's
6	not another Work Group meeting scheduled. We
7	just had one.
8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Oh, okay.
9	MR. KATZ: We just had one on
10	Tuesday, and the Work Group will be reporting
11	to the Board at this May meeting on Fernald.
12	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Good. I
13	knew something was happening on Fernald.
14	We've got a lot of Work Groups, so it's hard
15	to keep track of them all.
16	Any other Work Group updates?
17	And then, John Mauro, do you have
18	any information you wish to share with the
19	Board regarding your activities or anything
20	else, updates that might be useful? You're
21	not required to, so.
22	DR. MAURO: No. I really don't. I

i cittin cital att of cite work Group suilliartes,	think that all of the Work Group summaries	s,	1
--	--	----	---

- basically -- we're very much involved in all
- of these activities, and trying to keep our
- 4 work products -- you know before the Work
- 5 Groups as timely as we can. So I really have
- 6 nothing new to offer.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Good.
- 8 Okay. Thanks.
- 9 Next item on our agenda is Board
- 10 correspondence, and I don't believe we have
- 11 anything under this.
- 12 Ted, am I missing something? Ted,
- 13 are you there?
- 14 MR. KATZ: I'm sorry. Am I on
- 15 now, or am I on mute?
- 16 CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes, you are
- 17 now. You must have been on mute.
- MR. KATZ: Sorry. No, we don't --
- 19 we had correspondence on -- from [identifying
- information redacted] on GSI, but it was sent
- to the Board and to the TBD-6000 Work Group,
- 22 and Paul responded to it, so I think we're

1	clear.
2	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Good.
3	Last item on our agenda then is the May Board
4	meeting agenda.
5	And Ted, I think, circulated a
6	draft agenda to the Board, either earlier this
7	week or over the weekend. And I don't know if
8	there were any comments or questions from
9	Board Members?
10	MEMBER MUNN: No, not from here.
11	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Anybody
12	I have one suggestion. I've actually
13	talked to Ted about and emailed to Lew also,
14	in since we already have some discussion on
15	the 10-Year Review, NIOSH review of the
16	program on the agenda, one of the reports that
17	I became actually aware of at our last meeting
18	and have reviewed since that time is the
19	quality of science report, and the quality of
20	science report basically focuses on two areas.
21	One is the surrogate use of

surrogate data, and the second is the coworker

1	models used in the program for dose
2	reconstruction. And the surrogate data, I
3	think we sort of caught up with the people
4	doing the review during the time period,
5	during the time period of the review, so I
6	don't think it has as many implications.
7	But the recommendations and
8	conclusions on the coworker section do have
9	some implications in terms of the current
10	practices and so forth.
11	And one thing I thought would be
12	helpful would be to have a if it's possible
13	to get a presentation to the Board of that, at
14	least that part of the quality of science
15	report so that we can have discussion.
16	Remember, from our last meeting,
17	Jim Neton did a presentation on sort of what
18	the current status of NIOSH's coworker model
19	approach was. But at that time, I don't think
20	Jim in fact I know Jim was not aware of
21	this review. It had just literally been
22	posted on the website and made available.

1	So I thought that might be helpful
2	to us, and at least, you know, I don't know if
3	we need to try to resolve anything, but I
4	think it is something that would be worthy of
5	discussion.
6	And there's also some broader
7	implications about the recommendations on the
8	science in the program, at least as related to
9	dose reconstruction that might be useful.
LO	So I don't know, Lew, if you've
11	had time to think about that, or
L2	DR. WADE: I have had time to
L3	think about it, Jim, and I personally think
L4	it's a very good idea. I sent an email to the
L5	principal author, Doug Daniels, asking as to
L6	his availability, and he said he would be back
L7	to me today.
L8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay.
L9	DR. WADE: I'm assuming given the
20	three-day meeting that it's a good possibility
21	he would be available, but I don't have that
22	confirmed as of this moment

1	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Good.
2	And I think we have room on the agenda for
3	this, and since LaVon is holding off until
4	August to load us down with 83.14 reviews.
5	MEMBER MUNN: Dr. Melius?
6	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
7	MEMBER MUNN: This is Wanda. On
8	our current agenda, we have that discussion of
9	coworker models after the 10-Year Program
10	Evaluation.
11	Would it be more reasonable for us
12	to have that order reversed, or do you see the
13	two so completely removed at this particular
14	juncture?
15	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: I think they
16	would be one agenda item. I don't
17	MEMBER MUNN: Yes, well
18	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: There would be
19	some other I think Lew would be giving us a
20	presentation and an update on the overall 10-
21	Year Review, and then we would focus on the
22	co-worker.

1	MEMBER MUNN: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: The quality of
3	science report, specifically.
4	MEMBER MUNN: Right.
5	MR. KATZ: Right. Wanda, I
6	drafted this and shared it with Jim, but that
7	was before we had our interactions with Lew
8	about this for Doug. So absolutely, what Jim
9	just said.
LO	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
L1	MEMBER MUNN: All righty.
L2	DR. WADE: I will let Ted know as
L3	soon as I hear from Doug, then.
L4	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Okay. Excellent.
L5	Any other comments or suggestions
L6	regarding the agenda?
L7	MR. KATZ: I have a question, Jim.
L8	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes.
L9	MR. KATZ: This is Ted. We had
20	talked about, at the Augusta meeting, perhaps
21	having a presentation to the Board.
22	Now, the Procedures Work Group is

1	working through it, but having a presentation
2	to the Board on OTIB-70, and I'm just curious,
3	we probably have the time to work that in, if
4	that's something we want, even though the
5	Procedures Work Group is dealing with it.
6	And I'll just remind everyone, the
7	Procedures Work Group actually had the Norton
8	SEC, the period that wasn't fully addressed,
9	that was referred to the Procedures Work Group
10	to consider because they're the ones who have
11	been tackling OTIB-70.
12	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Yes. This is
13	Jim. I would think it would be worthwhile
14	having that, keeping that on the agenda.
15	As much in follow-up, we had
16	considerable discussion of this, at least in
17	terms of examples and some presentation at the
18	last meeting, and I think it would be good to
19	try to bring some closure to that and some
20	further discussion.
21	MR. KATZ: So, Stu, is it possible
22	to arrange for a sort of full-blooded

1	presentation on OTIB-70 for the full Board?
2	MR. HINNEFELD: Well, I can always
3	say yes because it will fall to Dr. Neton to
4	do it.
5	(Laughter.)
6	MR. KATZ: Is he there to defend
7	himself?
8	MR. HINNEFELD: He introduced
9	himself. He's not storming in the door yet,
LO	so
L1	MR. KATZ: Okay. Well, keep it
L2	locked, and
L3	(Laughter.)
L 4	Any other questions about agenda?
L5	Any other business for the Board?
L6	Okay. Thank you all, and I guess
L7	we'll either see you at the Work Group
L8	meetings or Work Group calls or, if not, in
L9	St. Louis in May.
20	MEMBER MUNN: Very good.
21	CHAIRMAN MELIUS: Thanks.
22	(Whereupon, the above-entitled

1 matter went off the record at 11:40 a.m.)