THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

convenes

WORKING GROUP

ADVISORY BOARD ON

RADIATION AND WORKER HEALTH

PROCEDURES REVIEW

The verbatim transcript of the Working Group

Meeting of the Advisory Board on Radiation and

Worker Health held in Las Vegas, Nevada, on Jan. 7,

2008.

STEVEN RAY GREEN AND ASSOCIATES NATIONALLY CERTIFIED COURT REPORTERS 404/733-6070

CONTENTS

Jan. 7, 2008

OPENING REMARKS DR. LEW WADE, DFO	6
INTRODUCTION BY CHAIR MS. WANDA MUNN	10
DATABASE DISCUSSION:	10
SC&A, REVISE TITLE OF DATA BASE	11
SC&A, MATRIX REVISIONS AND UPDATES	17
SC&A, PAGE NUMBER DETAIL	56
SC&A AND NIOSH, DATA BASE CHANGES	60
NIOSH, RESPONSE TO OTIB-0017 SC&A WHITE PAPER	63
NIOSH, CLARIFY WHEN OTIB-0019-01 IS USED	63
SC&A, RE-EVALUATE EQUATION USED IN OTIB-0025-01	76
NIOSH, CONTINUE REVIEW OF OTIB-0012	78
PROC-0092	78
NIOSH, PROC-0090 MATRIX ITEMS	85
NIOSH AND SC&A, INCORPORATE CONTEXT OF OTIB 0023 INTO IG-01	85
FUTURE PLANS	89
COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	105

TRANSCRIPT LEGEND

The following transcript contains quoted material. Such material is reproduced as read or spoken.

In the following transcript: a dash (--) indicates an unintentional or purposeful interruption of a sentence. An ellipsis (. . .) indicates halting speech or an unfinished sentence in dialogue or omission(s) of word(s) when reading written material.

- -- (sic) denotes an incorrect usage or pronunciation of a word which is transcribed in its original form as reported.
- -- (phonetically) indicates a phonetic spelling of the word if no confirmation of the correct spelling is available.
- -- "uh-huh" represents an affirmative response, and "uh-uh" represents a negative response.
- -- "*" denotes a spelling based on phonetics, without reference available.
- -- (inaudible)/ (unintelligible) signifies speaker failure, usually failure to use a microphone.

PARTICIPANTS

(By Group, in Alphabetical Order)

DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICIAL

WADE, Lewis, Ph.D. Senior Science Advisor National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Washington, DC

MEMBERSHIP

GIBSON, Michael H.
President
Paper, Allied-Industrial, Chemical, and Energy Union
Local 5-4200
Miamisburg, Ohio

GRIFFON, Mark A.
President
Creative Pollution Solutions, Inc.
Salem, New Hampshire

MUNN, Wanda I. Senior Nuclear Engineer (Retired) Richland, Washington

PRESLEY, Robert W. Special Projects Engineer BWXT Y12 National Security Complex Clinton, Tennessee

ZIEMER, Paul L., Ph.D.
Professor Emeritus
School of Health Sciences
Purdue University
Lafayette, Indiana

IDENTIFIED PARTICIPANTS

ADAMS, NANCY, NIOSH
BEHLING, HANS, SC&A
BEHLING, KATHY, SC&A
CHANG, CHIA-CHIA, NIOSH
ELLIOTT, LARRY, NIOSH
HINNEFELD, STUART, NIOSH
HOMOKI-TITUS, LIZ, HHS
HOWELL, EMILY, HHS
LOOMIS, DON, SC&A
MAKHIJANI, ARJUN, SC&A
MARSCHKE, STEVE, SC&A
NAURO, JOHN, SC&A
NETON, JIM, NIOSH
SMITH, MATTHEW, ORAU
THOMAS, ELYSE, ORAU

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 JAN. 7, 2008 3 (1:15 p.m.)4 OPENING REMARKS 5 This is Lew Wade, and I have the DR. WADE: 6 privilege of serving as the Designated Federal 7 Official for the Advisory Board. And this is 8 a meeting of the subcommittee on Procedures, 9 work group on Procedures -- I'm sorry -- for 10 the Advisory Board. It's chaired by Ms. Munn, 11 members: Gibson, Griffon, Ziemer, Robert 12 Presley is an alternate. All of those Board 13 members mentioned with the exception of 14 Presley are present in the room. 15 Is Robert Presley on the phone? 16 DR. ZIEMER: Presley's flying in this 17 morning, and he thought he would be at the 18 airport around 11:30. 19 DR. WADE: Well, he might join us. 20 DR. NETON: I saw him at the elevator. 21 MS. MUNN: I think he's in the building. 22 DR. WADE: Are there other Board members 23 that are on the call right now other than 24 Munn, Gibson, Griffon and Ziemer? Other Board

members?

1	(no response)
2	DR. WADE: So we don't have a quorum. We're
3	free to begin. We'll go around the room here
4	and identify. I guess I'd ask the people on
5	the flanks to shout out your information, and
6	then we'll hear from the people on the
7	telephone. We'll do a little bit of telephone
8	etiquette discussion, and then we'll begin the
9	important work of this work group.
10	Again, I'm Lew Wade. I work for NIOSH
11	and serve the Advisory Board.
12	MR. GIBSON: Mike Gibson, Advisory Board.
13	DR. MAURO: John Mauro, SC&A.
14	MR. GRIFFON: Mark Griffon, Advisory Board.
15	DR. ZIEMER: Paul Ziemer, Advisory Board.
16	MS. MUNN: Wanda Munn, Chair of this group.
17	MR. HINNEFELD: Stu Hinnefeld, NIOSH.
18	MR. ELLIOTT: Larry Elliott, NIOSH.
19	DR. NETON: Jim Neton, NIOSH.
20	DR. MAKHIJANI: Arjun Makhijani, SC&A.
21	MS. ADAMS: Nancy Adams, contractor to
22	NIOSH.
23	MS. CHANG: Chia-Chia Chang, NIOSH.
24	MS. HOWELL: Emily Howell, HHS.
25	MS. HOMOKI-TITUS: Liz Homoki-Titus, HHS.

1	DR. WADE: That's the extent of those in the
2	room except for Ray, who's busily doing his
3	function.
4	Kathy, could you hear those
5	introductions?
6	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes, I could.
7	DR. WADE: Very good. Let's then ask for
8	other NIOSH or ORAU team members who are
9	connected to this call.
10	MR. SMITH: This is Matthew Smith of ORAU
11	team.
12	DR. WADE: Welcome, Matthew.
13	Other NIOSH/ORAU team members on the
14	call?
15	MS. THOMAS (by Telephone): Yes, this is
16	Elyse Thomas with the O-R-A-U team.
17	DR. WADE: Welcome.
18	Other NIOSH/ORAU team members on the
19	call?
20	(no response)
21	DR. WADE: Members of the SC&A team on the
22	call?
23	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): This is Kathy
24	Behling, and Hans Behling is here also.
25	DR. WADE: We're honored to have you both

1	with us.
2	MR. MARSCHKE (by Telephone): This is Steve
3	Marschke.
4	DR. WADE: Welcome.
5	MR. LOOMIS: Don Loomis with SC&A.
6	DR. WADE: Welcome, Don.
7	Other SC&A team members?
8	(no response)
9	DR. WADE: What about other federal
10	employees who are working on this call?
11	(no response)
12	DR. WADE: Other federal employees, the
13	Department of Labor, the Department of Health
14	and Human Services, Department of Energy?
15	(no response)
16	DR. WADE: Is there anyone else on the call
17	who would like to be identified for the record
18	as being on the call?
19	(no response)
20	DR. WADE: Again, this is a meeting of the
21	work group on procedures. Again, as always I
22	would ask if you're not speaking, then mute
23	the instrument that's close to you. Be
24	mindful of the need to maintain good phone
25	discipline so that this work group can be

productive but also share its deliberations with people on the phone.

Wanda.

INTRODUCTION BY CHAIR

MS. MUNN: I trust that most of you have a copy of my e-mail of January 3rd in which I indicated that we would use the action list as an agenda. If anyone does not have that perhaps you should have it before you because it's my intent to just go down these items one at a time until we encounter something that takes us off on another tangent. Hopefully, that won't happen.

DATABASE DISCUSSION

I'm assuming that Kathy's going to take the lead with respect to these first items relative to page number detail, titles and the presentation of the new matrix. Is that correct, Kathy?

- MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct.
- MS. MUNN: Very good. Why don't you start with telling us what we're going to do with the page number detail and just follow down those first three items?
 - MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Okay, Wanda.

1 If you don't mind, I'm going to cover the page 2 number issue a little bit later in the 3 presentation when it's a little bit more 4 appropriate if you don't mind. 5 MS. MUNN: That'll be fine. 6 SC&A, REVISE TITLE OF DATA BASE 7 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): I hope that 8 everyone received the presentation that I 9 prepared in Word. Initially, I thought that 10 it might be beneficial for all of us to have 11 the ACCESS data base in front of them so that 12 we could work real-time from that database. 13 However, after giving it some thought, I think 14 we might be better just using the presentation 15 that I sent to everyone on Saturday. 16 Does everyone have that, and did John 17 Mauro bring some extra copies with him? 18 DR. MAURO: I did not, and, Kathy, I have to 19 apologize. I did not download it. I have in 20 front of me your actual ACCESS program, not 21 the material you just described. 22 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Do the other --23 MR. GRIFFON: Kathy, is this called ACCESS 24 DB screen views? Is that --

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Let me see what

1	I called it, just one second.
2	DR. ZIEMER: Well, screen view, yes.
3	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yeah, I sent it
4	out on Saturday.
5	DR. ZIEMER: Kathy, you had one last month
6	with the same title. I assumed you have
7	revised it somewhat.
8	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yeah, here's
9	the name of the file. It's Task Three Matrix
10	Mod, M-O-D, pres-dot-doc. It's a Word file,
11	and it was sent on the 5 th .
12	DR. ZIEMER: I don't think that's the title
13	that it came to us on.
14	DR. NETON: Yeah, it is.
15	DR. ZIEMER: It is?
16	DR. NETON: Uh-huh.
17	MR. GRIFFON: I have another one called Task
18	Three Matrix in mod pres. Is that
19	MS. MUNN: May have to find it by date.
20	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yeah, can you
21	find it by date? Because I apologize that you
22	don't have this. Like I said, initially, I
23	thought we would work from the actual
24	database. But I think this will be easier.
25	MS. MUNN: Well, added to our difficulty,

1 Kathy, is the fact that the room in which we 2 are meeting apparently does not have wireless 3 So we are doubly hampered. access. 4 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Okay, so that 5 would not have worked anyway. Can we get copies of that made? 6 7 John, I had tried to call you earlier 8 to make sure you had --9 DR. MAURO: Kathy, I brought the ACCESS 10 program instead of the actual copies you sent 11 earlier, and I downloaded it, and I have it on 12 my machine, but not the Word version. 13 DR. ZIEMER: Does somebody need it? 14 got it. 15 Do you have it? 16 MR. GIBSON: I have it, uh-huh. 17 DR. ZIEMER: Mike has it. 18 MS. HOMOKI-TITUS: They didn't send it to 19 the attorneys so if we could just get a copy 20 of it at some point, I can just take it maybe 21 to the Business Center. Not right now. We'll 22 do it afterwards. 23 DR. WADE: Who around the table of the 24 principals needs it? Wanda, do you have it? 25 I'm trying to see if I do. MS. MUNN:

1 not quite sure what I downloaded. 2 DR. ZIEMER: Paul, could you put it on a 3 flash drive and we'll... 4 MS. MUNN: It is new format mod 5 presentation? MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): It's Task Three 6 7 Matrix Mod Presentation, P-R-E-S for 8 presentation dot-doc. 9 MS. MUNN: I got it. 10 DR. WADE: But Stu needs it so, Paul, if you 11 could... Stu and Wanda are going to share for 12 now. 13 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Okay, tell me 14 when you are prepared for me to begin. 15 DR. WADE: I think we are prepared for you 16 to begin. 17 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): First of all 18 let me start by saying that we have also, SC&A 19 has also been working with Stu Hinnefeld and 20 ORAU team to actually get this database up on 21 the O drive, and that did happen today. 22 when you are in a position that you can log 23 onto the O drive, this document or the 24 database has been uploaded to the O drive, and 25 it's been put into a separate directory that's

entitled "Advisory Board-dash-SC&A" with a subdirectory that has the title of "Tracking System".

And then you will open up the database by going into Tracking System and there will be two files there. One file, the file name ends with data, D-A-T-A. That is not the file that you use to access the database. You use the other file. It has a long name to it, but you want to open the file that does not have the data in the file name. And then you will be able -- this is considered a read-only version of the database, but you'll actually be able to go in and look at this data and work with the database yourself. So we were pleased to have that up there.

We're also working with ORAU that there'll be a select number of people from SC&A that will have privileges to write to this particular file. Now, NIOSH -- and Stu can maybe add to this -- NIOSH will also be updating this file, but apparently they use a different system. They don't necessarily get onto the O drive, and so we're working out details as to how NIOSH will update the

database.

Is that correct, Stu?

MR. HINNEFELD: Yeah, we don't actually see the O drive. Things get replicated back and forth between the ORAU system and our system. And I've been talking to Jack Gibson who's the manager of the IT function for ORAU about some delicacy, I guess, in terms of having a database like this with multiple users trying to write to it. You have a chance to corrupt it. So for the time being I just don't plan to, we don't plan to replicate anything back over to ORAU.

If it comes to me, and I enter something in it, then I would probably have to e-mail it to SC&A to be updated. Or we can work out some other administrative approach around. I'll just have to talk to Jack Gibson about what would be a good way to do this. Chances are we may want to think about going past ACCESS to some other system that will replicate back and forth and keeps track of everything so you can replicate and make changes on both sides.

We have a number of programs that do

So

that now. We have a number of applications that do now between us and ORAU. We can write to it. They can write to it, and it gets replicated and keeps track of everything. we may think about moving it to something like SQL, that's a SQL-based system that does that

or some system like that.

SC&A, MATRIX REVISIONS AND UPDATES

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): And before I get on to page one of this presentation, you heard earlier Don Loomis is on the line with He's the one that has developed this ACCESS database, and I have asked him to please interrupt me anytime I say something that's not accurate because he is the designer of this database.

Okay, now I'm going to prepare everybody to be wowed because this is really very nice. We're going to start off on page one of what I've sent to you, and this is just the opening screen. And let me also tell you, when I went onto the O drive today to open up this database, one thing you have to remember is to be a little bit patient because when the ACCESS database opens up, before you actually

25

1

2

see this screen, it sits there awhile and looks around or whatever it is doing. But it takes a little while until this screen will open up so you just have to be a bit patient.

This screen looks very similar to the initial database that I had presented to you during our last meeting. But as you'll see on the very top of the screen in the yellow section, we did change the title of this database, and that title is reflected on anything that is printed. And you'll now see A-B-R-W-H Procedures Issues Tracking, and underneath there it indicates the last time that this database was updated. And we'll talk a little bit more about that later. Also in the room if anyone has any questions along the way, just please stop me.

This first screen I printed a screen that shows everything that currently exists in the database which is 376 records. Now that's the same number of records that was in there the last time. I had hoped to update and add some records to this, but we were not able to do that. We just finished putting all of the finishing touches on the database at the end

of last week.

The other thing that you don't see at the top of this screen is we previously had a button that said, I believe it said includes closed items or includes closed. We no longer have that button, but we are able to do that with our filter sort button which I will discuss in the next page. Does anybody have any questions so far?

MS. MUNN: I have only one, Kathy. Looking at the buttons, trying to identify how I'll use this when I do use it, under your headings you have summary details, procedures --

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes, I should have mentioned that. What you're looking at here is the summary sheet. If you take notice, that's white. The other two are gray. So we're actually looking at the summary sheet, just the first page of that summary sheet or what appears on the screen. If you kept scrolling down, you'd be able to see all 376 records.

MS. MUNN: Excellent, all right, so the one highlighted is what I'm looking at. That's what you're saying?

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct. Yes, and we had gone through those tabs last time, and we'll do that again this time to show you some of the differences. But I should have mentioned that this is the summary screen.

MS. MUNN: Thank you.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): As I said we've added a filter sort data button. And if you turn to page two of the presentation, you will see what shows on your screen when you select that button. And in fact, I took notice today when I was on the O drive. I believe -- on the left-hand side of the screen are the ways that we can sort any of the data that we're looking at.

And as you can see Don gave us lots of options here. We have a first, second and third level sorting mechanism. And that's actually written in now. I believe that was just added, and that's what's showing on, above each of those buttons it will say first, second and third, and so you can sort on three different levels.

On the right-hand side of the screen,

this is where it gets fancy. One of the things that we talked about during the last meeting was we wanted an option to be able to go in and search on a certain word or a phrase. Well, under the filter on section, the very first field is, contains phrase.

And for an example here I typed in the word ingestion because that's one of our global issues, and I wanted to see if based on the information that we have in this current database, and like I said, it is by no means complete at this point, how many records will show up putting the word ingestion in that contains phrase.

And if you move on to page three, you will see the results of that filter of ingestion. It's only showing five records, and it is showing those records where ingestion is part of any of the major text fields. In other words if the very first item on there is the OCAS-IG-001, that should have been actually 002 or 01 or in our finding number, but IG-001-07.

You don't see in the procedure title, ingestion, but if you look on page four of the

1 data that I sent to you, you can see under 2 NIOSH's initial response the term ingestion is located there. And that's why this record was 3 4 identified among these five records that have 5 ingestion somewhere in the major portion of 6 the text in the detailed report. 7 The other thing I'll point out to you 8 if we can go back to page three which is the 9 result screen for the ingestion search, is you 10 take notice under the title of this database 11 there's now in red it says, "Filter is on." 12 This is indicating that we're not looking at the entire database. We are looking at 13 14 whatever filter selection we have made from 15 the previous sort filter screen. 16 That is nice. MS. MUNN: 17 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yeah, that was 18 something I was surprised we were going to be 19 able to do. 20 MS. MUNN: Very good. 21 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): We can move on 22 now. If we move on to page five --23 DR. ZIEMER: One question --24 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes. 25 DR. ZIEMER: Kathy, clarify for me the sort

levels. There's three sort levels. Is that
right?
MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct.
The sort level means let's say we decide we're
going to sort on, as we have here, procedure
number.
DR. ZIEMER: Oh, okay, it's the thing to the
MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes, whatever
is to the right of that. So what's been
selected in this example it will first sort by
procedure number. The second sort will be by
finding date, and the third sort will be by
the status in the work group process.
DR. ZIEMER: Got you.
MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): If we move on
to page five
DR. ZIEMER: Now the only thing that may not
be clear is what, I guess you're just assuming
everybody works from left to right.
MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Okay, and as I
said, when you get into the database, there
has been, on the O drive, it is now marked
that that left side, that very first column on
the left, is now marked first, and there's a

1 second and a third above each of the columns. 2 That has been added. 3 MS. MUNN: Oh, good, that will help. 4 DR. ZIEMER: Once it gets the first sort, 5 then it goes to the second. 6 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct. 7 Probably more sorts in there than we needed, 8 but we wanted to cover all bases. 9 MS. MUNN: I gather. 10 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Can we move on 11 to page five? 12 MS. MUNN: Please do. MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): This again I've 13 14 pulled up the filter and sort box that we can 15 select from. And if you take notice here, the 16 second item under the filter is status of work 17 group process. Here we have the option of 18 selecting how we want a filter on that status 19 column alone. We can select all of the 20 status, and then as you saw in the first 21 screen, we get the 376 records. Or we can pick and choose any of these, and we will get, 22 23 the database will then eliminate anything that 24 is not checked here. 25 And in this example I unchecked the

1 closed box, and if you look at page six, this 2 is the result of un-clicking that closed box. 3 So you can now see that in your right-hand 4 column under the status of work group process, 5 there should be no closed items that appear 6 here, and it appears now that there are about 7 213 records of those. If you look at the 8 bottom of that screen, there are 213 records 9 of those initial 376. 10 So we have a lot of options here with 11 this sort that we can look at only open items. 12 If we want to look at only items that have 13 been transferred, we can do that. We can look 14 at any combination of this six status items 15 that we agreed upon. Any questions? 16 MS. MUNN: No, so we have 150 closed items 17 essentially. 18 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Based on the 19 information that I have in the database to 20 date, yes. 21 That's great. MS. MUNN: 22 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): And again, if 23 you look on page six, again you'll see in red 24 that filter is on, and so you know you're 25 dealing with a subgroup of the entire

database, the entire population.

All right, we'll move on to page seven. And here what I've done is open, the very first item on page six is in the summary, I've now opened up the details page. And as you can see the highlighted item under the tabs is the details. And we're looking at, I want to point out some of the modifications that we've made to this detailed screen.

The first modification that you'll see up in the gray portion of the screen is called related link. And here is where we indicated that during our previous meeting that we would like to have the option of linking our white papers to this database. And this is where we're going to do that.

I typed in an example here of the SC&A web page or home page because initially I was not sure if we were going to be able to upload this information onto the O drive, and perhaps we could make, we were initially thinking we would make a location on SC&A's website for our white papers and the same thing with NIOSH.

However, since we're all going to be

1 using this database on the O drive, ORAU has 2 indicated to us that they should be able to 3 set aside another directory with those white 4 papers in there. And we can link those white 5 papers directly to the ACCESS database. 6 So when you type in the location --7 we're still working out the details of this --8 but when you type in the location of where 9 those white papers will exist, or the actual 10 file name, it most likely will be a PDF file, 11 we will type that in there. And once you 12 leave this field and you come back and click 13 on that field -- it's like opening a website -14 - you will open up that white paper. 15 DR. WADE: Just for the record, Robert 16 Presley has joined us at the table. 17 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Hello, Mr. 18 Presley. 19 MS. MUNN: He's grimacing. He's still 20 catching his breath. 21 And, Kathy, I think you either lost me 22 or I got off on the wrong page when you 23 started talking to us about that, yeah, the 24 modified detail screen. 25 DR. ZIEMER: She said go to seven, page

seven.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct, page seven, the modified detail screen page.

MS. MUNN: Yeah, okay, I was not following all that you were saying there. So links, links, links.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): On the lefthand side in the gray box, about midway down on that screen, you'll see a title of a field called "Related Link".

MS. MUNN: Yeah.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Inside the field or the box associated with that I have typed in www-dot-S-C-A-I-N-C-dot-com for SC&A's web page. That was just an example that I had used at the time. Since preparing this and talking with ORAU, it appeared -- they're going to be working on this for us -- that we will be able to type a location in here with the, and we will have an understanding of where these white papers will exist and the name of that white paper. And it'll be linked directly to the database so that if you're in this ACCESS database, and you go to that field, you should be able to

1	click on that field, and it will open up that
2	white paper.
3	MS. MUNN: So I can actually go from the
4	database to your database?
5	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yeah, and it
6	actually I've confused things a little bit
7	here it's not going to be our database
8	anymore. It is, everything is going to reside
9	on the O drive. And so when we enter this
10	data or when NIOSH enters their data, they
11	will be able to put in the location of where
12	that white paper exists in the exact location
13	and then white paper name. So that when you
14	as a Board member looks at that, you'll be
15	able to click on that field, and it will open
16	up automatically on the O drive while you're
17	in this ACCESS database. I know that Jack has
18	indicated we're working on the details of
19	that.
20	And, Don, do you have anything more to
21	add to clarify this?
22	MR. LOOMIS: Yeah, I do, just a little bit.
23	What that does presume, however, if
24	we're going to the
25	MS. MUNN: Can you speak just a little

louder, Don?

MR. LOOMIS: Yeah, I'll do my best.

If we're going to use the O drive that way, that means that that's presuming that the people on term serve to access it because it's looking at O which is the map drive on the term server, the terminal server, to get to that data.

We set it up so it can work that way or it can work off of an internet U-R-L. This is more a configuration question that has to be resolved. But if we do use the O drive with a folder on the terminal server, then to have that feature work, the person will have to be using terminal server.

MS. MUNN: Yeah, that makes sense to me. I was a little surprised to see the U-R-L. I think that's what was confusing me. I was trying to figure out how you could reasonably access that from the O drive. It didn't sound like a good idea to me.

DR. MAURO: As I understand it then once this is transferred to the O drive it sounds like there's also a SQL trans. In other words you wouldn't work from ACCESS. You'd work

from SQL.

MR. HINNEFELD: Right now I would not because I don't have this front end in Sequel. Right now we'll just have to work out an administrative process where, for making sure that SC&A is completely off of it, and then I could use the update to the database as it was replicated over, but it would be in ACCESS. And then replicate that back over to ORAU, and it would go back on the O drive while no one else is using it. Only one person is using it. So there would have to be an administrative process right now.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): From the Board's perspective you will be on the O drive to access this database so you will simply, once we have these details worked out, there will be something in there that will allow you, some words in there that will allow you to click on that field, and it will open up that PDF file or that report for you.

MS. MUNN: Okay, we'll have to have some idea of where it is we want to go.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Actually, it will be between SC&A and NIOSH that we would

most likely enter this information. And so once you're looking at this detail screen, and there's been a white paper associated with a particular finding, that information should exist there, and you should be in a position just to click and that file will open.

MS. MUNN: Oh, okay.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): So it won't be anything where you will have to know where the file location is. It'll just be the appropriate people from NIOSH and SC&A that will need to do that, enter that data.

MS. MUNN: Paul?

DR. ZIEMER: I have a question, and it's for the work group and maybe Kathy to give us some input. But if indeed this procedure and this methodology is extended to our other work groups as part of the matrix resolution process, then I can anticipate that petitioners or people from sites are going to want to have access to this matrix in this form. If it's on the O drive, does that present some problems?

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes.

DR. ZIEMER: In other words we, is there

1 anything in this database as it stands that 2 would require it to be on the O drive? 3 think this is all public information except 4 for these links perhaps, but I just want us to 5 think about that as we go forward. If this is 6 exclusive for the O drive, how do the 7 petitioners get at those issues? 8 DR. MAURO: And if it wasn't, then it 9 becomes a question is there any material in 10 there that may be sensitive from a PA point of 11 view? MR. HINNEFELD: Well, the comment I was 12 13 going to make not so much as it relates to 14 procedures but with respect to dose 15 reconstruction review, expand it to that. 16 Very frequently the discussion and resolution 17 of the dose reconstruction review findings 18 would not be acceptable from Privacy Act 19 standards because it just provides too much 20 information about the EE, energy employee. 21 And so it wouldn't be acceptable to just put 22 the DR part in the open. 23 DR. MAURO: I think we have a problem. 24 DR. ZIEMER: What about the other matrices? 25 MS. HOWELL: I wanted to just add to what

you're saying here. You're also talking about, you're talking about an electronic version that's going to be in draft form. And while we've made matrices available to members of the public in the past, these have always been previously reviewed by either OGC or NIOSH staff for Privacy Act. And they're also at a point where, while it may still be a kind of draft, it's not -- Larry, maybe you can help me out on this -- but you can't be giving out information that is not finalized at least somewhat yet --

DR. ZIEMER: I realize that.

MS. HOWELL: -- because you're waiving all sorts of protections that way. So if you guys want to try and think about coming up with a way where you have specific dates where you have meetings, and you have kind of a screen shot of what is in the matrix at that time and that can be passed along to the correct people for Privacy Act review and then be made public, that's possible.

But I don't see how making available an actual database, that's just going to create a wealth of problems. So I think

1 you're going to have to kind of go back to 2 this whole paper matrix idea when you talk 3 about getting it available to the public. And 4 it will still have to be reviewed. There is 5 going to be a delay. Those problems are going to be significant. 6 7 DR. ZIEMER: So at the time of a particular 8 meeting, for example, you can say, okay, here's how the database is as of some date. 9 10 MS. HOWELL: Right, and you know what you 11 would need to do would be to pick a date a 12 week ahead of time or something and say that 13 this is the date that we're cutting it off 14 because it's going to take time to get the 15 right people to review it. 16 MR. ELLIOTT: When you say database, Dr. 17 Ziemer, I assume you're talking about 18 everything that's in, that's captured into 19 your system. If that's what you're saying you 20 want a snapshot of, that's going to take a lot 21 of effort to --MS. HOWELL: Because it's different than 22 23 what we had on the matrix. 24 DR. ZIEMER: I raise the issue because we've 25 had this already in our work groups and the

petitioners in terms of issue resolutions they
have --

MR. ELLIOTT: The work groups on special exposure code work and site profiles, those matrices have been treated as a work in They've been treated as preprogress. decisional. They've been treated as if a person wants to see them, they have to give us a request in that case. We treat it as a FOIA request and pass, pass what they request in a Privacy Act review, and then we turn that around. And we do that as timely as we can, and in some instances we make the deadlines for a meeting, and others we're pushing against it so we don't have it. That's where this is all at.

MS. MUNN: Let me articulate for just a moment what I believe the thinking of most of this group was when we undertook this. I believe, at least what I was thinking was, we needed to have more detail in our matrix and be able to follow where we had gone with each individual item with an end expectation of closing the item and putting it in a different box and dropping it off the active matrix. It

21

22

23

24

was never the original intent I believe for the entire matrix, the operating matrix, to be out there. It was intended as a working instrument in my mind for this group.

Now if we talk about we now are in a situation where we can filter the closed items out from the entire set of procedures that we've looked at, if we want to talk about the possibility of having closed matrix items available some place other than on the O drive, then in my view there's probably no major obstacle to that but individually.

MR. ELLIOTT: Post that on the website.

MS. MUNN: Yeah, individually I would be very reluctant to consider the matrix material that we are working with at this time as being fully available.

DR. ZIEMER: That's helpful. I think Emily caught the idea then that a summary matrix with just the page without the details which tells you which items are in progress and which are closed and so on probably is fairly easy to review. You wouldn't be putting all the details on something like that.

DR. MAURO: Or at least in this case, the

summary level, certainly Emily and Liz could take a look at what kind of information is there, in other words these one-liners on the summary level. Now whether or not the summary level for, let's say a case for close out, dose reconstruction close-out matrix would contain that material.

But I have to say when we initially, as you said, started the process it was really to serve the working group process. This is the first time, frankly, when you start to think about it in a practical sense, how do we open this up and can we open this up. And my initial reaction is there's so much material that's going in, perhaps not so much under Procedures but certainly under dose reconstructions.

It's going to be a monumental undertaking to go from a living interactive machine that we're building right now to something that then could be made readily available in an open session. I think that is going to be a challenge.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Excuse me, let me just, I didn't hear all of the discussion

about the Privacy information, but let me interject this with regard to let's say we do want to make some portion of the database or some summary information available to a petitioner or to some member of the public if the Legal team feels that that's appropriate.

It's very easy to do that with this database because, remember, we're now sitting here looking at the ACCESS database, but we can print, we can filter this data in all of the ways that we've talked about so far, and then we can print that filtered data to either in a summary form with all of the detailed sheets behind it or simply the summary form to a PDF file which could be made available on the NIOSH website or associate it with the agenda or anything that exists that says we have, let's say we do -- well, I guess that would be too many people. But if we wanted to give a petitioner access to the, let's say the open items so that if they attend a meeting and we allow them to participate at that level, we could certainly do that by just giving them or sending them a PDF file that's filtered in whatever way meets the Legal

25

1

team's permission.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DR. WADE: Arjun has a comment.

Arjun, maybe come closer to the table if you could, Arjun.

DR. MAKHIJANI: I do most of my work on SECs, as you all know. But I think for SC&A so much detail might not be useful because it's a more limited period of time and I've at least found -- Jim, you also do a lot of work in that area -- I've found a matrix format that we have comes in quite useful, and if you could just maybe, here with Procedures you have over 100 procedures each with many action items, and we're talking about hundreds and hundreds of items.

Whereas with SECs I would at least want to think a lot before recommending that we go to this level of detail because where we close-out items or one close-out item a lot faster, you can have an index maybe as to what white papers we're preparing because right now it's not systematized. We might come back to you if you wish with some procedure on that. I don't have an opinion about the dose reconstruction.

DR. MAURO: There is a big break between the level of detail from the procedures and for the dose reconstructions where we're dealing with hundreds. And each one of those ^ a ten as opposed to a site profile SECs where we're really dealing with ten or 12. So I think that maybe we separate those at least for the time being.

DR. NETON: The only thing I would offer -this is Jim Neton -- is that the SEC matrices
is really subsets of the site profile review
matrices. And so I would segregate that out
separately. I don't know how you would do it
on a practical basis. They do have a shorter
lifespan, you're right, because they get
closed out.

DR. MAKHIJANI: What we're doing right now was I think with Hanford the first time is, I've sent a draft to Jim Melius, because it's not on the agenda, he's not circulating it yet and maybe that's a comment for you if you want to circulate it. But what he asked us to do was to compare an SEC specific matrix using the site profile matrix ^ of the evaluation report and make a matrix for the SEC review

itself.

DR. NETON: That's my concern then they'll be, because that has to be fed back into the site profile issue which we make. I mean, they're pulled out, but they were issues that were raised, and they have to be somehow documented that lead to closure.

DR. ZIEMER: Right, and we've done that in other site profiles. We indicated SEC issues over ^ SC&A address that --

DR. WADE: We did it at Rocky Flats.

Let's just pause for a minute and sort of take stock of where we are on this issue of making public materials through this process.

What you have here is two very laudable values that sometimes come in conflict. I mean, we all believe in the value of transparency in what we do, and Lord knows this Board and this working groups have lived consistent with that.

We also realize that for the Board to be productive, its work groups have to be able to work at a fairly rapid pace, and sometimes those two issues come in conflict. We have the protection for a member of the public that

if at any point in time a member of the public would like access to the work in progress, then as Larry said, they could make a request under the FOIA Act for information, and it would be dealt with in a timely way. So I think that protection is there.

What you folks can consider at some point now or in the future is if you would like to do a moment in time print screen kind of version of the material prior to a work group meeting and get that cleared through the Privacy Act and make it available, you could consider that as a vehicle. Again, I'm not advocating that.

I think you should consider that. I think being able to let the work groups work and continue to make progress is the dominant value here, and I would ask you to keep that in mind. Again, looking at mechanisms to allow the public to have access to what you're doing would be a good thing. But again, I don't think you want to do that and put in jeopardy the ability of the work groups to make progress.

We do have the protection if someone

wants information, they can request it under FOIA, and we'll deal with that. If you want to do the added courtesy to the public of saying a week before our work group meeting we'll try and do a print of the summary view, make that available for Privacy Act review, and then make that available, we could consider that. But I wouldn't hold hostage your progress to that.

MS. MUNN: Well, then too is the fact that nothing goes into these matrices that has not been aired in one of the public work group meetings. Any member of the public who is deeply involved to the point that the need for detailed information is great for them has access to these telephone calls and has access to the minutes of the meeting. The data is there. It's just our intent with these matrices to have them in a much more effective, but more efficient manner for us to deal with on a regular basis.

DR. MAURO: On this particular product it would seem to me, not to over-generalize though, but in general the summary level and the detail level are really not going to have

Privacy Act information. But what might have Privacy Act information is when you click on the related link where a special analysis was done where we dive into some literature, some cases because very often we do case studies as part of an analysis. And that's where I think the real danger lies of possibly having as part of this PA material. But that's certainly something that General Counsel can determine being familiar with this matrix. I really don't often see the kinds of information in this particular Procedures matrix, that we run into that situation, but we can on the links. I can see it happening there.

MS. MUNN: I could, too, and that's one of the reasons why I tried to go back over again where we were in this, and exactly what that related link material was likely to be. It's, I think, a legitimate reason for concern. But by the same token for our purposes here in this working group looking only at procedures, it's quite valid. If it goes off the O drive then it appears to me there's a concern. We may have to address that.

1 DR. ZIEMER: I'm satisfied the O drive is 2 the place for it to reside. I just wanted to 3 clarify what the public did and did not have access to. And it's clear that if there's a 4 5 need for a snapshot in time of these or other 6 matrices resolution process, a snapshot in 7 time, that there's a mechanism to do that. So 8 I think we're fine. 9 MS. MUNN: I believe so, too. And from this 10 work group's point of view it's my feeling 11 that we appear to be going in the right 12 direction. If this turns out to be a pattern 13 for other work groups who want to adopt it, 14 then there are other issues which, depending 15 upon the material that they deal with, will be 16 more salient than they are for us in the 17 Procedures group. 18 I'm sorry, Kathy. That was a long 19 discussion right in the middle of your 20 presentation. 21 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): No, that was 22 worthwhile discussion, too. 23 All right, if we're ready, I'll 24 continue. We're still on page seven, and this 25 again is the modified detail screen.

And if you look to the right of this related link field, you'll see "Last Updated". And what this field is, any time, if I went into this particular record and started typing something into the section on SC&A follow up to the work group meeting that you see there on 1/15/2005 -- this is from our first set of procedures that were reviewed -- that date would automatically change. So that date represents the last time this record was updated, and it's an automatic stamp. It happens when you type something into this record. And we'll talk a little bit more about again this date field when we get further into our print screens.

I think that the only thing that has been added to our detail screen, and again, we can work with this related link item and get some further direction.

MS. MUNN: Paul has another question.

DR. ZIEMER: Kathy, on the updating is there any way to identify who did the updating or is there a need to know who did the last update on a certain date by SC&A or by Kathy or by Larry Elliott? Do we need, is there a need --

1	I'm not sure. It just occurred to me that
2	maybe we need to know who did the updating, or
3	do we?
4	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Currently, we
5	don't have that built in, and
6	DR. ZIEMER: I don't know if it's important
7	or not. I'm raising the question here.
8	DR. MAURO: Well, the reason we put that in
9	remember the last
10	DR. ZIEMER: Oh, I know
11	DR. MAURO: We want to make sure we're all
12	on the same page, remember? That was one of
13	the problems. But now this is another
14	DR. ZIEMER: I don't want to keep pursuing
15	this too far, but I guess there will only be
16	certain people authorized to do updates.
17	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct.
18	DR. ZIEMER: I'm not going to see, you know,
19	Bob Presley is not going to be updating it or
20	Paul Ziemer is not going to be. There'll be
21	somebody from SC&A authorized and NIOSH
22	authorized.
23	MR. PRESLEY: This is Bob Presley. Did we
24	not say though that on these updates that
25	there would be a central location like Larry

1 Elliott's office that would keep up with these 2 updates and make sure? Did we not do that? 3 There was somebody, did we not talk about 4 that? That there was going to be some central 5 area to keep up with these things? 6 MS. MUNN: We did talk about the fact that 7 there would be key personnel both at SC&A and 8 at NIOSH who would be the prime individuals 9 responsible for overseeing it. I don't know 10 that we indicated there would be a specific 11 I think we talked about -office. 12 MR. PRESLEY: We need to do that. If we set 13 that down, if we put one person or one person 14 in two groups, then if you've got a question, 15 that's who you call. 16 MS. MUNN: Yeah, if there's a go-to person 17 that each organization has identified. 18 DR. MAURO: You'll notice in the bottom half 19 of the details there's the, it's the blue-20 green color. Whenever there is new 21 information, let's say SC&A, in response to a 22 working group directive, SC&A's asked to do 23 certain things and those things are done. 24 Well, you'll notice on the left-hand side in 25 the blue area on the bottom left there's a

date, and then there would be the material, a summary of the SC&A material, and similarly there would be a date in the NIOSH material.

So I think it's all track-able back to that. In other words if you want to know who put what material in at what time, it should be there with the date. Now the last time that's updated, whenever that last action was, should be the date that's in the last update. So in other words if you want to get into the 'structure, it should be track-able.

DR. ZIEMER: Yeah, okay.

MS. MUNN: And we had discussed in our previous conversations about this that when this is up and running we would anticipate that Kathy would be the person who would be doing most of the actual data input into that blue area and that she'd run it by me as Chair of this particular group before it went to NIOSH for any final placement in the material.

And with regard to Bob Presley's question.

I'm not sure if you were in the room when I first introduced some information about the database, but it will be maintained on the O

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct.

drive, and the Board access will be read-only access, and there'll only be a select number of people from NIOSH and SC&A that will have the ability to write to this file. Now perhaps -- and Don Loomis can correct me or please add to this -- perhaps we can tie either an SC&A or NIOSH tag to that date. I'm not sure.

Don, can you help me out?

MR. LOOMIS: There's a couple different ways that we can do that. Right now there's no identification of the person using it so we would have to get that. Either having a sign on at the beginning or having a, just adding a field that let's somebody put in NIOSH, SC&A or their initials. To do it automatically you would have to have some sort of sign in so we know who the person is so that we can tag it with their --

DR. ZIEMER: Well, I don't know that it's necessary. I was really just asking the question. It looks like perhaps the material that John report to may take care of that. It shows what the changes are and when they're made.

"SC&A Follow up" with the date and then some words in there, SC&A is responsible for that. And if the words in there are inappropriate or, that's SC&A, you know, falls on us when putting in bad information. So I know if we have to actually name the person, we have to control our people to make sure that the right information gets into that box. And I'm held accountable for that.

I think in a similar way NIOSH would be populating that section, again someone at NIOSH that would be held accountable to make sure the correct information gets in there. So I mean, I don't know if we need, there may be several people working on the science in the background, but eventually it comes through you or it comes through Kathy or me and finds its way into this database. So in other words who you hold accountable is pretty self evident. It's the project manager.

MS. MUNN: And we just have to all know who the go-to person is.

DR. ZIEMER: One follow up though. Don or Kathy, if the authorized person opens the file

1 but doesn't make changes, does that date 2 change on this last update? 3 MR. LOOMIS: No. DR. ZIEMER: 4 They have to actually change 5 something. 6 That's correct. MR. LOOMIS: 7 DR. ZIEMER: Okay, thank you. 8 MS. MUNN: That's good. So just reviewing 9 it doesn't cause any data change, good. 10 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Okay, should we 11 move on? 12 MS. MUNN: Yeah, back to you, Kathy. 13 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): We're going to 14 go ahead to page eight now, and we're back to 15 this filter and sort screen so that I can just 16 show you a few more elements of the screen. 17 Under the filter on section on the right-hand 18 side, you'll see procedure number, below that 19 finding date and rating. 20 I opened up the drop-down box 21 associated with the finding date. The reason we put this in there is because, again as we 22 23 discussed previously, the finding date is 24 going to be associated with, for the first set 25 of findings all the finding dates should be

the same which was January 17th, 2005. So if we wanted to go into this database and sort, let's only look at what SC&A submitted to the Board during our first set of reviews or our second set or third set. We can do that with this particular field.

Above that field, the procedure number, again there's a drop-down box that lists all of the procedures that have been entered into the database so far. If one decides that they only want to look at ORAU OTIBs, they can type in just that portion, and then that drop-down box will list all of their OTIBs. Or if you only wanted to look at the PROCs, the procedures, that are out there, you can type in just ORAU-dash-PROC, hit the drop-down box and that will open up all of the procedures that have been entered into the database.

And then lastly, the rating, and again
I know we said we weren't going to include
that on the summary sheet; however, as we've
done in the past, Wanda, there have been times
where we had a working group meeting and you
said let's focus on those findings that are a

one or a two. We can sort on that field, or we can identify only those findings associated with, that have a specific rating with them, you know, a one as opposed to a five which we're not really concerned about.

And then lastly, again is updated on or after. This is again a field that if we wanted to look at only items that were updated since January 1st, 2008, we could put a date in there, and we can filter the database on that.

MS. MUNN: That is remarkable.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): It gets better.

MS. MUNN: That's really wonderful. I can imagine this saving all of us enormous amounts of time when we're trying to follow through the history of one of these items.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): If we move on to page nine, here again is our filter-sort page. And for an example, I just, I wanted to sort the database or filter the database using only on the ratings that were findings where we've identified a one, or we rated them as a one. So I'm showing you that screen to show you.

Then on page ten, this is the results

of just the information in the database currently. And again, this database has not been updated with any of some of the newer information on the newer procedures we've been working with. But as you see on page ten, the results of that filtering for items that were rated a one identified 15 records.

Then in some cases we have multiple ratings associated with one finding. If one is in any of, if the one is a rating in anything there under that rating file, that will show on this particular screen. In fact the last two items are PROC-92 items. If you could read the entire ratings there is a one listed later on in that rating box. So that's why that was identified on this screen. But I'm just trying to show you how this filtering works.

MS. MUNN: You're right. It did get better.

SC&A, PAGE NUMBER DETAIL

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Now what I did for the, what we're going to view on page 11. I took just a subset of data, these 15 items, and I said, I hit the print summary button as you see at the top. And the result of hitting

on page 11. This is at least the first page of two pages, and this is where our page number comes into play. The fourth column on that summary sheet identifies the page number of the details or the detail record that will follow this summary. Don was able to -- and if we sort this database, if we went back to the original screen that I showed you, and I were to say let's print a summary of that particular screen, it would have 376 detailed records behind it, and it would renumber this column accordingly. That's impressive to me.

MS. MUNN: That is really impressive.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): I was amazed that he was able to do that.

Now you'll see in the third column we still do have, we have included the SC&A page number, but that page number is associated with our hard copy report that was sent to you.

MS. MUNN: Right.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): But this fourth column is the page number of the detailed records behind this summary.

1 MS. MUNN: That's incredible. 2 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): And then just 3 to show you how that works, on the very last 4 page I actually printed the detail for the 5 very first record so that you can see this is 6 page one of 15. If you went to page ten 7 again, and you put your cursor on, let's say, 8 the last item or any of the findings here, and 9 you were to hit on the top right-hand button, 10 "Details for Current Procedure Number," it 11 would open up that detail page and you'd see, 12 "This is page 15 of 15," for that last record. 13 I think that that hopefully resolves our page 14 number issue. 15 MS. MUNN: It does indeed, very nicely. 16 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yeah, I was 17 amazed that Don was able to do that. 18 But that's the modified database in a 19 nutshell here. I don't know if there's any 20 other questions or changes that you'd like to 21 see introduced. 22 MS. MUNN: It looks wonderful. 23 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Thank Don for 24 that. 25 MS. MUNN: Does anyone else have issues,

1	questions, problems?
2	(no response)
3	MS. MUNN: If not, even though we have only
4	been at this for an hour, in view of the fact
5	that we are, all of us are in a kind of
6	tentative state here this afternoon, I think
7	it's a good opportunity for us to take a ten-
8	or 15-minute break so that we can be ready to
9	take up our, move away from the database and
10	go on to our next items when we get back. If
11	that's agreeable with everyone here?
12	DR. WADE: We're not going to break the
13	line. We'll just mute the phone, and we'll be
14	back on in ten or five, ten or 15 minutes,
15	depends on the whim.
16	MS. MUNN: Fifteen with any luck at all.
17	DR. WADE: Okay.
18	MS. MUNN: Thank you.
19	(Whereupon, a break was taken from 2:20 p.m.
20	until 2:35 p.m.)
21	DR. WADE: We're going to go back into
22	session in the work group.
23	Kathy, can you hear us?
24	(no response)
25	DR. WADE: Kathy, are you with us?

1 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes, I am. 2 can hear you. 3 DR. WADE: Wanda, please? 4 SC&A AND NIOSH, DATA BASE CHANGES 5 MS. MUNN: Let's continue going down our action item list, the next one being item 6 7 four, SC&A and NIOSH work out changes to the 8 databases. 9 MR. GRIFFON: Wanda, (unintelligible). 10 MS. MUNN: We're looking at --11 MR. GRIFFON: Oh, this is good, the e-mail 12 agenda? 13 MS. MUNN: Yes, the e-mail agenda, item 14 four. I'm not at all sure that there's 15 16 anything to be reported on that. Is anyone 17 aware of any specifics that we were concerned 18 about when we were discussing this last time? 19 I think we were just concerned about what we 20 discussed earlier. That is primarily who was 21 going to be the key individual with respect to 22 how changes were going to be made. 23 MR. HINNEFELD: Kathy, and I have talked 24 about, and Don has helped me out. And as far

as I know there's nothing more to worry about.

25

We kind of both understand how it's going to work. It has to be loaded, and so, you know, because a lot of the information hasn't been loaded into it yet that we're supposed to load. Maybe everything you're supposed to load is in there, but not everything we're supposed to load is in there now. So that's all I know.

DR. MAURO: Kathy, am I correct -- this is

John -- when you go into the database and get

to the details, like for example, we're having

the working group meeting right now. I'm

assuming that we're going to be adding in a

date. I'm looking at the details first page

for the very first issue. Well, loading that

in should be intuitive.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct. We will just be putting another section under the working group that, another working group meeting and identifying discussion, what went on and any directives from the work group and so on.

DR. MAURO: Now that would be a continuation of the very first page. I'm looking at the very first line item, OCAS-IG-001, the very

1 first line item, and that would just be a 2 continuation of that page. 3 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That's correct, 4 a continuation of the detailed screen. 5 MS. MUNN: So I'm prepared to drop item four 6 off of our list on the assumption that NIOSH 7 and SC&A have agreed on who's going to be the 8 key people and how those process that's going 9 to take place and make the changes to the 10 We have that now, right? database. 11 MR. HINNEFELD: We can work it out. I mean, 12 the process of changing it while it's still 13 accessed is something that we need to agree 14 But I think if it can be a simple matter 15 of just SC&A essentially suspending working in 16 it for a particular part of time, a particular 17 day or whatever, we could make our changes on 18 that day, replicate and send it to ORAU, and 19 have them load it up. Yeah, we definitely can 20 do it administratively. 21 DR. MAURO: How will this conversation that 22 we're having right now be captured in this 23 database? In other words from a practical 24 standpoint you're going to have another set of 25 number, date, this meeting, and there'll be a

1	section NIOSH-SC&A discussion. Is that right?
2	In other words in this discussion that we're
3	having will be captured here.
4	MR. HINNEFELD: You think so? What detail
5	page do you capture it on? See, it's a sort
6	of meta, meta discussion. It pertains to all
7	of the findings, and it's an administrative
8	process.
9	DR. MAURO: So discussions relevant to this
10	machine that we're building really are, is a
11	meta issue as opposed to outside good,
12	okay.
13	MS. MUNN: Yes, and administrative issues,
14	to be resolved?
15	DR. ZIEMER: It's all here in our
16	transcript.
17	NIOSH, RESPONSE TO OTIB-0017 SC&A WHITE PAPER
18	MS. MUNN: Then we'll move on to item five.
19	I don't believe, Stu, there's nothing to, is
20	there anything to report in the way of
21	progress on this? We don't really and truly
22	anticipate anything until March.
23	MR. HINNEFELD: Right, March, we, should be
24	a good date.
25	NIOSH, CLARIFY WHEN OTIB-0019-01 IS USED

1 MS. MUNN: Item six, look at clarifying OTIB 2 0019, item one. 3 MR. HINNEFELD: I did do another response to 4 19-01 and our view of the, what's being done 5 by that R-squared test when you test data, you know, coworker data. And I submitted that 6 7 back in December. 8 DR. MAURO: Is it in your latest procedure 9 package that you sent out? 10 MR. HINNEFELD: It's in the last matrix I sent which was either December 11th or December 11 17th. It has under Finding 19-01, that's OTIB 12 13 0019-01. There's an addition dated December 11th in NIOSH response. So I provided that. 14 15 Now, it occurs to me that our discussion at 16 the last meeting was in the event that we're going to use the 95th percentile in dose 17 18 reconstructions at that point, then at that 19 point we would want to worry a bit about whether the parametric 95th percent that we 20 21 normally generate is sufficiently favorable or 22 whether we should use a nonparametric method. 23 DR. MAURO: That was the discussion. 24 MR. HINNEFELD: That was the discussion we 25 had last time. We've not made a revision to

OTIB-0019 then to reflect that. It's going to be essentially a one or two sentence revision, but that part has not been made.

But our take on the R-squared just, you know, we come to these, we come to the situation of coworker data with a sort of an a priori assumption that the data is lognormally distributed. And so the R-squared just makes us feel good about our a priori assumption. It's not a true test as the comment points out.

It's not a valid test to prove lognormality. It would just identify a serious deviation from lognormality, a significant deviation from lognormality. So that's the point, that's why we use it. And as we talked about in our last discussion, very often for coworker datasets we use the distribution. And if you use the distribution, you have to make some assumption about the shape of the distribution. So you're kind of driven to a parametric that would be very close to what the data says anyway. So that's the nature of our discussion last time.

And then in our discussion we said in the event that you're going to use the 95th though, you need to make a check, and make sure that whether it's parametric or nonparametric is more favorable or if there's a particular reason to choose one over the other.

DR. MAURO: And we agree with that. That was during a previous meeting when you suggested that strategy the response was, yes, that's a reasonable way to go. Because when the fit deviates from the ranking there is a concern. And it sounds like you folks are going to look into that.

MR. HINNEFELD: That would be our approach just look in that situation where it's going to be, where you're going to use the 95th or the 84th, whatever you're going to use. In that situation then you have to worry about is it the appropriate one. You want to use the appropriate one.

DR. MAURO: And, Wanda, all I was going to say, that response is acceptable to SC&A. So from our perspective as long as Wanda and the rest of you agree, we find that issue closed.

1 MS. MUNN: The second part of the issue was 2 whether, talk to Jim Neton about whether a 3 page change is necessary. DR. NETON: Yeah, I think it would certainly 4 5 do that. MR. GRIFFON: 6 I guess I was going to ask how 7 does the decision outline how you 8 quantitatively define deviates from the 9 ranking? When you say that it deviates from 10 the ranking, how are you going to, because 11 that seems, the interesting ones that are 12 pretty far apart or at least very low R-13 squared. 14 DR. NETON: It's not so much the R-squared as if it's fitted 95th percentile value is 15 16 lower than parametric, not parametric, but, 17 you know, the value, you go with that. 18 often than not with large datasets those tend 19 to be a tail off. The fitted value 95th percentile's higher than the actual rank order 20 21 number. And if there were large deviations, we've done that in the past. Chapman Valve's 22 23 a good example. 24 MS. MUNN: So the question's still hanging 25 at do we need a page change.

1 MR. HINNEFELD: Mark, in response to your 2 question, remember, OTIB-0019 is the general 3 OTIB about using, about coworker models. 4 coworker model there's a site specific OTIB 5 written, and so the description of why did we 6 identify a significant or which, whether we use parametric or nonparametric, that would be 7 8 documented after we documented in the site 9 specific document. I mean, there may be some 10 actually some review of those, look back and 11 make sure they're all copasetic the way they 12 are or should we adjust them based on this 13 issue. There may be some discussion about 14 that. 15 DR. NETON: It's not as trivial as it 16 sounds. 17 MR. HINNEFELD: No. 18 DR. NETON: You've got a basis for like 19 urine data at Oak Ridge, for example, we had 20 There are a lot of distributions decades. 21 that have been fitted, but we're prepared to 22 do that. 23 DR. MAURO: In light of this just for this 24 particular OTIB, is this something that's in 25 abeyance or is this closed?

1 MS. MUNN: Well, that's my question, too. 2 Do we need to somehow document what we've just 3 said here in the OTIB document itself? 4 DR. NETON: I think we should. 5 DR. ZIEMER: I think last time we talked 6 about just putting some words in 19 that clarify this very issue and how 19 is used to 7 8 do the other site specific cases just 9 basically explaining what we just said which 10 is not a change but simply a clarification in 11 the procedure so that it sort of eliminates 12 the original question. 13 MS. MUNN: Could we --14 DR. ZIEMER: In that sense it's closed but 15 maybe kind of a commitment to make a minor 16 wording change. 17 MR. HINNEFELD: Well, normally, when there's a document to be changed it's in abeyance. 18 19 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): This is Kathy. 20 I would consider that in abeyance just because 21 we're waiting on NIOSH to make a change. And 22 so it's something that we will want to just 23 follow up on, and once that change is made, we can turn this into a closed item. 24 25 MS. MUNN: The technical issue is closed.

1 The administrative issue is... 2 DR. MAURO: I think it's important to point 3 out that in abeyance means really we're on the 4 one-yard line, at least in this case. My 5 guess is there are some in abeyances where there's a commitment to make a change, a 6 7 substantive that is not analysis. For 8 example, we were talking high-fired plutonium, 9 and there was commitment. We've got this new 10 methodology and a commitment to put out a new 11 And that's a big abeyance. But this 12 one is a little one. 13 MS. MUNN: Possibly one we might be able to 14 clear up by April meeting? 15 MR. HINNEFELD: We might. 16 MR. GRIFFON: Well, you modify the language 17 in OTIB-0019, but you --18 MR. HINNEFELD: -- I think we can do it, but 19 I think we can do it --20 MR. GRIFFON: -- but the bigger piece, as 21 Jim said going back and looking at all of 22 these may take a little more, that may be your 23 bigger --24 DR. NETON: I think it could be closed 25 before that happened and where the commitment

1 (inaudible). 2 DR. ZIEMER: And you're not talking about 3 changing what you're actually doing 4 procedurally. 5 MS. MUNN: No. 6 DR. ZIEMER: You're talking about clarify 7 the procedure --8 DR. NETON: In a sense that is a slight 9 change what we're doing procedurally because 10 if we have not to my knowledge been looking 11 strictly at the nonparametric versus 12 parametric fit. And we have done that. 13 I said Chapman Valve comes to mind where it 14 was obvious that there was a discrepancy using 15 a fit. But there may be something that we 16 use, one or the other, without a conscious 17 thought process. 18 DR. ZIEMER: More intuitive or judgmental. 19 DR. NETON: Well, I think since the language 20 was pretty straightforward it fitted the 95th 21 percentile; usually there's one requirement 22 though to assure that it was more 23 conservative. 24 MR. GRIFFON: I'm pretty sure it wasn't Dr. 25 Bryce. I mean it must have been Joyce, we

were discussing that.

DR. NETON: We had the discussion, right.

MR. GRIFFON: Yeah.

DR. NETON: Well, let's think about this though. We expect that fitted data were truly not fit to be higher half the time and lower half the time. So in that sense it doesn't make good science.

MR. GRIFFON: Yeah, I don't know if --

DR. NETON: We might want to rethink that.

DR. MAURO: You know, we only brought this up because if it's an automated system where a dose reconstructor goes in, that'll give you the kind of thoughtfulness as an issue. The way we see it is that as long as they're thinking in those terms, that is, is this dataset and the way in which we fit it seem to work for this particular case. And that's on a case-by-case basis. But I think that judgment has to be made, made in a consistent way. I realize that in the end you really can't turn it into a, I don't know if you want to, you can't automate it. But your analyst, eventually it comes out to someone's judgment. That is, in this particular case it looks like

95th works or, no, there's only, let's say, a 1 2 very limited number of build up. I'm not sure 3 what to do in those cases. I'm not quite sure if the 95th really catches it. 4 5 DR. NETON: Like I said we go with the higher value. 6 DR. MAURO: And that's what you did at 7 8 Chapman? 9 MS. MUNN: Yeah. So I'm concerned about how 10 to word the action item so that we're all on 11 the same page the next time we meet. The page 12 change to OTIB-0019 is not a major problem. You can do that by (indiscernible). But my 13 14 concern is the action with respect to 15 reviewing and rethinking how this process was 16 used in the past in already closed cases. 17 It's an entirely different kind of action item 18 to me. And I'm not sure exactly how to word 19 that or what sort of time constraint to put it 20 in whether we are asking NIOSH to consider 21 establishing a process or how you look at 22 these things. 23 DR. ZIEMER: Are there particular procedures 24 that you would say we could go back and look 25 at these in light of this that come to mind?

1	Or you sort of implied that you needed to go
2	back and take a look at something. What is
3	that?
4	DR. NETON: Those coworker models have all
5	been fitted.
6	MS. MUNN: I think we've covered the site,
7	the individual site issues. The question is
8	now can you go back and take a look at those?
9	Is there an outstanding action item that we
10	need to be following other than your
11	rethinking whether do you see my problem?
12	I'm struggling with what the action item is.
13	DR. NETON: I think you have to go back and
14	rethink exactly what we would do if you looked
15	at them again. I'm not sure, again, like just
16	picking the ones higher is the most
17	appropriate.
18	MR. GRIFFON: That's why I was asking, how
19	do you respond? How do you plan to evaluate
20	this?
21	DR. NETON: I think that we're going to have
22	to go back and think through that approach. I
23	hadn't thought about it.
24	DR. ZIEMER: It's something along the lines
25	of thinking about the implications of this and
	1

not addressing particulars like this, but what are the implications of this.

DR. NETON: Well, there may be some instances, and I'm not aware of any where we fit the 95th, straight line through it with the 95th percentile and the data may be, and R squared could be something like 0.7 which is allowed. The data had an upward turn, some crazy turn to the upper end of the distribution so that we were inappropriately biasing the 95th. I mean, I don't know.

MS. MUNN: What I'd like to do with your agreement of the rest of the working group is bring this into two factors. The easy one is the page change. And the second one will be NIOSH's rethinking the approach and reporting to us. We'll have a discussion on it, not at our meeting with the Amarillo session, but at the following full Board meeting when the work group meets prior to that. Does that, that would probably be two, maybe three months out. Is that a reasonable way to approach this? Am I off on the wrong track? I see nodding heads, shaking heads.

MR. HINNEFELD: That seems doable.

1	DR. NETON: We may have a proposal at that
2	point because I'm thinking if the data are, if
3	there is a test that we can make that is
4	higher, then maybe one should just fit and
5	demonstrate there truly is lognormal
6	distributed and the distribution's valid.
7	We're going to have to look.
8	MS. MUNN: You'll think that through, and
9	we'll just make that, we'll talk about at
10	after you've had a chance to discuss it a
11	little bit.
12	DR. MAURO: We just make it into direction
13	by the working group into our matrix.
14	MS. MUNN: Yes.
15	SC&A, RE-EVALUATE EQUATION USED IN OTIB-0025-01
16	Number seven, SC&A re-evaluate
17	equation being used in OTIB 0025-01 and
18	provide comment by the next working group
19	meeting. If no significant comment arises,
20	this item is closed.
21	MR. GRIFFON: Wanda, that last one was TIB-
22	0019?
23	MS. MUNN: TIB-0019, yeah, 19, item one.
24	MR. HINNEFELD: John, is SC&A going to enter
25	that, that work group direction

Ι

1 DR. MAURO: Yeah, I guess, my thinking right 2 now is that Steve Marschke and Kathy are 3 sitting down and taking notes. And right now 4 the notes should say something to the effect, 5 directive provided by the working group. 6 this would be a directive that goes to NIOSH 7 responsibility. We're going to write that 8 down anyway. We should write it. You folks 9 will be doing the same. So eventually when we 10 start to populate this particular work group 11 meeting we're all on the same page. So I 12 don't think we should -- We should all just 13 take notes. 14 MS. MUNN: And now we're on OTIB-0025, item 15 Have I seen anything from SC&A? I don't think I have on --16 17 DR. MAURO: Yes, we put out a, we are, the issue had to do with radon breath analysis. 18 19 think the action item was, in fact, let me 20 open up so you can see how this thing serves 21 us. No, I'm looking at OTIB-0021, 21 you 22 said? 23 MS. MUNN: No, it's 25. 24 DR. MAURO: Twenty-five, I'm sorry, 25, and 25 it's closed. We did resolve it at the last

1 meeting. I have it here on my sheet as 2 closed. 3 MS. MUNN: Okay, because my wording was if 4 no significant comment has arisen --5 DR. MAURO: No significant comment has 6 arisen. 7 MS. MUNN: Very good, the item is closed. 8 DR. MAURO: So far it's serving us well. 9 MS. MUNN: Yes. 10 DR. MAURO: It's helping. 11 MS. MUNN: Yes, one step at a time. 12 NIOSH, CONTINUE REVIEW OF OTIB-0012 13 Item eight, NIOSH continue review of 14 OTIB-0012 with the expected report by the 15 March meeting. Nothing to do now, that's 16 still --17 MR. HINNEFELD: That should be a good date. 18 MS. MUNN: -- in progress, good. 19 PROC-0092 20 PROC-0092: SC&A assures the sense of 21 items four through eight is captured in items 22 one and two. And NIOSH review procedure 23 language indicated in item two and HP reviewer 24 terminology throughout. Provide suggested 25 changes that we talked about in item three.

1 MR. HINNEFELD: Well, from our standpoint we 2 don't have the revision of PROC-0092 available 3 yet which is there were a number of, as I 4 recall, there were a number of things that we 5 said this is, we agree, there are a number of things from the recommendations of PROC-0092 6 7 we agreed should be altered. And we don't 8 have that revision. 9 MS. MUNN: We were particularly concerned 10 about that identification of the health 11 physics interviewer. 12 MR. HINNEFELD: Health physics interviewer, 13 that's one of the items on the, I mean, there 14 are a number of things to go in there. I 15 mean, some of the other specific items were 16 criteria to ask when does a question from a 17 close-out interview go to a dose reconstructor's criteria for that. 18 So there 19 are a number of things that are going to take 20 some deliberation in order to get in there. 21 And so we don't have that revision ready yet. 22 DR. MAURO: I have a question for Kathy and 23 I noticed sitting at the meeting and I 24 have in front of me the summary of the 25 database. And I'm just scrolling down as

1 we're talking when a particular PROC or OTIB 2 comes up. I go down to summary and I go find 3 it on the sheet, which I can find very 4 quickly. And I see whether it's open or 5 closed, and question. I would sure like to be 6 able to click right here on the summary sheet 7 and go right to the details. Is there a way 8 to do that? 9 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes, you should 10 be able to do that when you're in the 11 database, John. 12 DR. MAURO: Okay, I'm in the database, and 13 I'm on PROC-0092 where it says, you know, 14 closed, closed, open, whatever. How do I 15 click on this to make it go to the full page for this one? 16 17 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Okay, make sure 18 that the cursor is on some place in that line 19 where PROC-0092 is, and then select the 20 detailed tab at the top. 21 DR. MAURO: Print detail. 22 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Not print 23 details, no, on the left-hand side. There's a 24 summary tab, and there's a details tab, and 25 then there's a procedures tab. Once you have

1 your cursor in a summary line, and then you 2 hit the details tab, that should open up the 3 details for that particular finding. 4 DR. MAURO: Thank you, I got it. 5 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Okay, good. 6 DR. MAURO: If it works for me, believe me -7 8 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Okay, great. 9 MS. MUNN: So we'll expect -- Stu, do you 10 think you'll have an opportunity to look at 11 that before our next Procedures meeting which is February 14th? 12 MR. HINNEFELD: Well, I hesitate to say 13 14 because this competes with every other ORAU 15 procedure change and OTIB change and the other 16 work that they're doing. So I hesitate to say 17 sitting here, but we can make an objective. 18 We can make an objective and try to have this 19 in place, you know, have a revision and have 20 it by then. But I don't know that I can 21 commit to it. I mean, since it's on the to-do list, I don't like to leave them hanging out 22 23 there so we'll work on it. But I hate to 24 commit, I hate to promise anything, but I will 25 promise that we will try to get it.

1 MS. MUNN: We'll request it for February. 2 MR. HINNEFELD: February, the beginning or 3 the end of February? A mid-term one? 4 MS. MUNN: Yeah, we said we would. 5 MR. ELLIOTT: We'll give you a status. 6 MR. HINNEFELD: Yeah, I can give you a 7 status in February. 8 That's fine. MS. MUNN: 9 And SC&A, my note had said assure the 10 sense of items four through eight, but I'm not 11 sure that I have four through eight for PROC-12 0092 in front of me, were captured in 102. 13 But you can't do anything until those changes 14 have been made, can you? That's in abeyance 15 for you. 16 Until we have those changes you can't 17 assure that because when we looked at PROC-18 0092 last time, we essentially had items one, 19 two and three that were broad enough that they 20 pretty much covered the items in, the 21 subsequent items. And what we had said at the 22 time was they can be addressed in items one, 23 two or three. So until the procedure rework 24 is done, you really can't address that, right?

DR. MAURO: I'm reading. Well, looking at

25

1 number three, the actual details, they had to 2 do with the current Health Physics review. 3 MS. MUNN: Yeah, and that's just one of the 4 three. 5 DR. MAURO: And that's one of the, is that 6 captured in, that was one, two -- bear with 7 So we have one, two and three were the 8 three ones that we felt were, and then when 9 you move onto four you're saying now, or 10 further down. 11 MS. MUNN: Yeah, four through eight we are 12 anticipating will be captured, will be taken 13 care of in the changes to one, two and three. 14 DR. MAURO: I see what you're saying. 15 MS. MUNN: That's what we said last time. 16 DR. MAURO: Okay, but did that particular, 17 is that one I'm looking at four right now to 18 see what we have, details, I'm looking at it. 19 And we do not cross it back to OTIB^. I 20 agree, that's -- I agree that's what we 21 discussed, and we agreed upon. That is it 22 collapsed back to one, two, three. However, 23 that does not appear to be captured in our 24 database. 25 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Excuse me,

John. The reason that we didn't capture everything in the database yet is because I had to turn the database over to Don Loomis while he was working on this. And so I couldn't update a lot of the information from the PROC-0092 discussion and from our last meeting. So unfortunately, you may not be, you're obviously not looking at the most updated information. What we were focusing on is just making the modifications that the work group had requested, and I wasn't able to get all of the new data into the database yet.

DR. MAURO: Okay, thanks, Kathy. I didn't, it sounds like that we're heading in that direction.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): We certainly are, and, in fact, I think we have a method. We've been collating a lot of this data even into an ACCESS database, and Don assures me that we should be able to potentially automate the process right from ACCESS or from Excel -- I'm sorry. We've been collecting this data in Excel.

So I have had people working on trying to put together the additional data that have

1	to go in there. And he indicated if we do it
2	into an Excel database, we should be able to
3	fairly quickly convert it into the ACCESS
4	database. But because it was Friday when we
5	were still testing the database and making
6	changes, I wasn't able to do that yet, and I
7	apologize.
8	DR. MAURO: That's fine. Thanks, Kathy.
9	MS. MUNN: So essentially, you can do
10	nothing more until the actual changes
11	DR. MAURO: And in our database we will
12	reflect that.
13	MS. MUNN: Right. We'll see in February
14	where we are with that.
15	NIOSH, PROC-0090 MATRIX ITEMS
16	And item ten, NIOSH is to write the
17	summary for each box of PROC-0090 matrix items
18	by the March meeting. Still in process, on
19	track.
20	MR. HINNEFELD: Yeah, that we can do. I
21	mean there was no point in, we couldn't
22	rewrite them until we had the database. When
23	we have the database
24	MS. MUNN: Very good. NIOSH AND SC&A, INCORPORATE CONTEXT OF

And the last item that I have is item 11.

NIOSH and SC&A working together to determine whether further wording is needed and incorporate -- excuse me -- whether further wording is needed and incorporate the context of OTIB-0023 into IG-01. I believe that Stu has provided us with all that. Does everyone have copies of what's been provided?

(no response)

MS. MUNN: Stu, do you have anything to say about that?

MR. HINNEFELD: I provided it in two pieces. The first piece I submitted was the page change for IG-01, and the second piece I provided very recently was the mark-up of OTIB-0023. Now I believe that those two, the modification to IG-01 and the modification to OTIB-0023, comply with the discussions, the offline discussions, I had with Hans and Kathy about rephrasing or rewriting these documents to remove some of the inconsistencies in the reading of the two of them and to reflect what's truly what OTIB-0023 was intended to direct people to do.

So the action that I had was to

provide the mark ups, actually, IG-01 is already revised and published. That was a pretty simple change. That was one single page. OTIB-0023 is not yet revised and published, but there's a mark up and it's even in track changes to show the revisions that were made.

And so barring something else, we

And so barring something else, we intend to proceed with the publication of essentially that marked up version. Now it still needs to go through our technical review so there may be some word-smithing that's done, but since I'll be involved in the technical review, I'll make sure they're smithed to the way we read in that calculation.

DR. MAURO: Now you had sent out, I saw in your correspondence, is the ball in our court to look at that or is there still more --

MR. HINNEFELD: I guess unless you, if you look at it and say, hey, this isn't what we agreed to. This isn't what we thought you were going to say, if you could let me know that, then we can go back through. I know you weren't the one --

1	DR. MAURO: I saw it come out on my desk,
2	but I did not
3	MR. HINNEFELD: Yeah, when I'm looking at
4	you, I'm talking SC&A.
5	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Excuse me, this
6	is Kathy again. I did look at that, both Hans
7	and I did review the track changes report that
8	Stu sent, and we believe that it does reflect
9	the conversation that we had, the technical
10	conference call that we had. And we're in
11	agreement with everything that Stu has done or
12	this issue.
13	DR. MAURO: It's always important to
14	recapture that in the database, and is this in
15	abeyance or is this closed?
16	MS. MUNN: This is closed.
17	DR. MAURO: This is closed, all right.
18	MS. MUNN: Because the only thing that is
19	still outstanding is just the routine process
20	at NIOSH. Items that we were concerned about
21	have been addressed, and it's just in process
22	in terms of administrative, no technical
23	action.
24	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes, this
25	should be closed.

1 DR. WADE: What a world. 2 MS. MUNN: Yes, what a world. And for those 3 of you who are on east coast time and for whom 4 it is now 6:15, you will be pleased to know 5 that I have no other items on my list. 6 DR. WADE: What about getting together 7 again? We need to. 8 FUTURE PLANS 9 MS. MUNN: When we get together again is our 10 next issue. We have agreed that we would try 11 to have an interim, at least, phone 12 conversation before the Amarillo meeting to see what we were going to need to do for 13 Amarillo. And my calendar says the 20th. 14 15 That's not a full Board meeting, is it? 16 MR. HINNEFELD: If you're talking about the 17 calendar for the next Advisory Board meeting 18 is the first week in April. 19 MS. MUNN: Yes. 20 MR. HINNEFELD: The seventh through the 21 ninth. 22 MS. MUNN: Yes, I know. And we had 23 discussed the possibility of having a face-to-24 face meeting in March for this working group. 25 MR. HINNEFELD: You're right. The Board has

a teleconference on February 20th. 1 2 MS. MUNN: And we were expected to have a 3 face-to-face meeting in Cincinnati of this group on the 13th of March originally. Is that 4 5 still a viable date for all of us? No? Where you going to be, Mr. 6 7 Presley? 8 (inaudible response) 9 MS. MUNN: Oh, dear, that's not a good one. 10 MR. PRESLEY: After the fourth of March, you 11 can count me out. I'll be on the telephone. 12 MS. MUNN: Okay. DR. WADE: A face-to-face March 13th in 13 14 Cincinnati airport is our --15 MS. MUNN: Yes, uh-huh, at which time we 16 anticipate having all kinds of progress on the 17 new database and with any luck at all have it 18 in condition so that we may be able to have it 19 on the full Board agenda in Amarillo. Is that 20 reasonable, Kathy? 21 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes, it is. 22 MS. MUNN: And then we were discussing the further out actions for NIOSH with respect to 23 some of the rethinking on the 95th percentile 24 25 question. Since the next Board meeting that I

1 have scheduled shows in June, that bill is 2 going to fit okay? Jim, are you still here? 3 DR. NETON: Yes, I am. 4 MS. MUNN: Does that sound reasonable? 5 DR. NETON: (Inaudible) 6 MS. MUNN: We were talking the next full 7 Board meeting is in June so by that time 8 you'll have an opportunity to rethink that. 9 Report to us before that time hopefully. 10 Any other outstanding issues? 11 Anything new? 12 MR. HINNEFELD: For agenda items for next 13 time, there are some procedures that NIOSH did 14 not provide initial responses on promptly. 15 And when we started working through the 16 matrix, there were no initial responses to 17 discuss. Some of those have since been filled 18 I think of OTIB-0010 in particular 19 because it's first on the matrix. 20 And I think there may be some others 21 where at first blush we did not have -- I can 22 come up with a list here. We don't have to 23 keep the whole group here. But I think it 24 might be worthwhile to send out notice and to 25 prepare for those discussions at a future

meeting, and to see do these initial responses fit the bill or what needs to be fleshed out on these initial responses.

DR. MAURO: On the database for each of the pages for every comment, now we were talking about all ones where there was no initial, like we have our findings in the database. Right below that is NIOSH's initial response. Some places there aren't any. You have to go back. But there's a whole bunch now in your third set of procedures ^ couple months ago. So I guess we're going to start to populate all of that. I guess that's the goal. That is, many of the NIOSH responses that we loaded up, because you know once they're loaded up, let's say we get to that point. Well then we're in the homestretch of closing out.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): John, this is

Kathy. Yeah, and Stu, I apologize because I

had sent Stu our database. I thought it was

the final database on Friday morning and then

I found some changes that I wanted to

introduce. And so Stu did attempt to put some

new data into that database; however, we

changed it thereafter, and I apologize. We

will take care of updating that, and, in fact, we will take care of updating all of the NIOSH responses that were provided at the last meeting and that you introduced into the old style matrix. In fact, Don is in the process of doing that.

The only thing that I do need from you, Stu, if you saw the e-mail that I sent out along with the database to the work group members, when you send me this file, Outlook will block any ACCESS database files, anything that ends with the md db. That's why we have to zip these things into a file and send them via e-mail because the information that you sent me I did not, I was not able to open anything because of restrictions in Outlook. So if you could just zip that information to me and resend it, we'll take care of updating the database.

MR. HINNEFELD: Okay, thank you.

MS. MUNN: Are you going to be able easily,

Kathy, to identify -- I can do it manually. I

clearly have the printout of the last whole,

old typed matrix that we have. But are we

going to easily be able to identify those for

1	which we do not yet have any response from
2	NIOSH?
3	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): That is a good
4	question. I don't believe Don is
5	MS. MUNN: Stu says he can do it manually.
6	DR. MAURO: Just as a question, Don, are you
7	on the line?
8	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): No, he's not.
9	I asked him to just stay on for the first
10	portion here. I, what I can do is go back to
11	our original matrix, and I can identify them
12	through that original matrix and provide you
13	with that information.
14	DR. MAURO: My question was, I know Don's a
15	magician with these. It sounds like one more
16	sort. And I don't know if that's a sort that
17	can be done easily. In other words please
18	list every finding that does not have a NIOSH
19	response.
20	MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): I'm sure he can
21	do that, no doubt in my mind, and I can have
22	him do that once we've loaded the database
23	also. That would probably be the quickest
24	method.
25	MS. MUNN: Well, I'm wondering whether

that's the correct sort or whether the correct sort is, are responses outstanding. Because when we get in a situation where NIOSH responds, we expect SC&A to review their response and get back to us, I guess what I'm trying to say is the response we're looking for is not always a NIOSH response. Sometimes it's an SC&A response.

DR. MAURO: We're layering. I'm looking at it from a singular, in other words when we put out, let's talk about our third set of procedures. They're not even on the table yet. What happened is we could populate this database which will have all the information and all there'll be in the first row will be SC&A's findings. And right below that where eventually there will be a NIOSH response, there'll be a blank. Appropriately so, you haven't gotten it yet.

So see that's like a pre-work group meeting. That's when you populate the database before you even have your first work group meeting on that, you know, pertaining to that. In reality until the NIOSH initial response to our initial finding finds its way

into the database, we really haven't triggered the dialogue yet.

And so I guess my understanding of the conversation was that you may find it desirable to know are there issues that may have been raised a year ago that NIOSH really hasn't had a chance to present its initial response. And if you wanted to, we could sort on that, and it'll make it a little easier for you.

MR. HINNEFELD: That would be very helpful for us.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): I'm sorry, I'm being a little bit slow here. I just realized we already have that sort capability because, we have that sort capability because we can go in. We have separated open items, which are items that are in the database where we've submitted our report to the Board but we have not had any discussion on those items yet --

DR. MAURO: Well, that's it. So it's there.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yeah, because the discussions that we have, that status is in progress. So I think we can easily sort on that.

Ι

1 MR. HINNEFELD: Now, Kathy, I want to make 2 sure I'm clear. The last database you sent 3 which was, was that Saturday? 4 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): No, I sent it 5 out late in the day Friday, and the only 6 change that we made to that in the filtering 7 screen, you'll know you have the correct 8 version when the filtering screen, the second 9 item where all of the status items, where you 10 have a check box for the status items, that 11 was added. That was not in there in the 12 previous version, and I asked for that, and 13 that's what was added. So if you're looking 14 at an ACCESS database that has those boxes 15 under the status filtering, then you have the 16 most recent version. 17 MR. HINNEFELD: So then I can do that. 18 can sort. I can do that filter on that 19 database for items that are open. 20 MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): Yes, but I'm 21 reluctant to say yes because, as I said, not 22 all of the data has been entered, and so you 23 can see based on the information that exists 24 out there and even some of the data that has 25 been entered, I went back and had Don take

some of our initial matrices that from the first set, let's say, and items such as the implementation guides. And he automatically entered that data into the database.

I have not had the opportunity to go in and add things such as rating or additional information because some of the findings have been resolved and through the third set now there were left findings. And so I haven't been able to go back and fine tune everything that's been put in so far. So I would be -- you can do that sort. It may give you more open items than actually exist.

MS. MUNN: So someone tell me what the exact action item is.

MS. BEHLING (by Telephone): I would suggest this. We can, as soon as we are able to load most of the data -- and like I said, some of it is just sitting in the wings waiting to be transferred over -- I can do the sort or I can make Stu aware that, okay, now if you want to go out and sort on the open items, this is a good time to do it if that seems appropriate to everyone.

DR. ZIEMER: Well, isn't the action for us,

1 the working group, we're going to need to go 2 back to look at the NIOSH responses. 3 MR. HINNEFELD: Well, the first item is 4 we've got to put them in. 5 DR. ZIEMER: Well, yeah, but that's a bit of an administrative thing. I think for the work 6 7 group we need to go back and say we haven't 8 looked at these. It's really the first round 9 on a number of those. 10 DR. MAURO: Exactly. 11 MS. MUNN: So I'm trying to get a feel for 12 our time here, and I'm getting the feeling 13 from Stu that a number of these items actually 14 are resolved or responded to in one way or 15 another. They're just no longer, they're not 16 on the --17 MR. HINNEFELD: They're not in the ACCESS 18 database. 19 Right. You know what goes in 20 there, but they're not there yet. And so the 21 first item is you're going to populate the old 22 matrix. 23 MR. HINNEFELD: No, that was --24 MS. MUNN: The open items from the old 25 matrix.

1 MR. HINNEFELD: From the last matrix I sent was December 17th. I will work from that once 2 3 the database is ready for me to work with. 4 I'll work from the matrix I sent on December 17th, and place all the initial responses that 5 are on that December 17th matrix but are not 6 7 yet in the ACCESS database, I will then put 8 those in the database. And I will identify at 9 that time which findings those are. So those 10 are presumably the open ones that now we would 11 click into in progress, and I will let you 12 know. These are ones that the work group has had no discussion about, and they now have a 13 14 NIOSH initial response. 15 MS. MUNN: So the work group will be getting 16 a set of new responses from NIOSH. 17 MR. HINNEFELD: Yes. 18 DR. ZIEMER: Before our next full meeting. 19 MS. MUNN: Before our next full meeting. 20 MR. HINNEFELD: Our next full meeting is in 21 March? 22 MS. MUNN: March. 23 MR. HINNEFELD: That should be doable. 24 presuming that the database, I'll get the, the 25 database will be ready for me to work on

1 relatively quickly because it's a cut and 2 paste because they're written on the matrix 3 now, and so it's strictly a cut and paste. 4 DR. MAURO: Will you be trying to add even 5 more? In other words --6 MR. HINNEFELD: Yeah, I may, yeah, there's 7 at least one that I don't have yet. At least 8 one I've not even written them on the matrix 9 yet. And it's possible I'll have those as 10 well. 11 DR. MAURO: And then once all that's 12 populated into the new matrix, just to point 13 out, I just went on the blue screen that says, 14 you know, the filter on screen? Do you have 15 And there's those check marks, open, in 16 progress? If you just leave the check mark 17 open, leave that check mark in, and take out 18 all the other check marks, all that will come 19 up is every issue that has a finding and that 20 has no response. 21 MS. MUNN: So we can anticipate for the 22 March meeting to have a significant number of 23 new items that we will need to review at that 24 meeting and make sure that we either can come 25 to a conclusion then or make a decision as to

what the status of that's going to be. That's good. We'll look forward to new items in March.

DR. MAURO: When we get into this process, will we begin everything that's in progress? Start from the top? Because previously we sort of jumped around a bit based on score. I have to say that tripped me up a little bit when we were jumping to 19 and then, but if we, let's say, once we have this machine in place where we just start with everything that's either open or in abeyance and we just start marching down the top of the list.

MS. MUNN: That is my hope, and it's one of the reasons why I wanted it in alphabetical, numeric order so that we could just click from one to the next. And also at this juncture I think we're in the position of having looked at most of the procedures that were really and truly giving us great grief and have resolved

DR. MAURO: The ones and the twos.

MS. MUNN: Yes, the ones and twos we've really done a decent job with. And now we need to see whether there are any demons

1	hiding in the details.
2	DR. MAURO: There is a third set that we
3	haven't looked at, and there are probably some
4	ones and twos in there. I don't know.
5	MS. MUNN: I suspect so. But we'll
6	hopefully be able to address that in March.
7	DR. WADE: So a March meeting is, do you
8	want a call before the March meeting or not
9	necessary?
10	MS. MUNN: We may need to call before the
11	March meeting just to make sure that everyone
12	has the material that they need in order to
13	get to that meeting.
14	DR. WADE: What's your pleasure?
15	MS. MUNN: Well, we're going to have a full
16	Board call on the 20^{th} of February. And the
17	only reason we would have a call I would think
18	is to make sure that both SC&A and NIOSH are
19	happy with the material that's at hand and
20	that there are no really crucial outstanding
21	issues we need to look at.
22	DR. WADE: What's happy, what's happy really
23	though, Wanda, when you come right down to it?
24	What's happy?
25	MS. MUNN: I think perhaps if there are

1	major issues we may be able to identify them
2	by e-mail. If we have any problem, then we
3	can try to establish a phone date later.
4	DR. WADE: Okay, a call we can do at a
5	moment's notice so we'll proceed with e-mail.
6	If that works, we'll next see you, this work
7	group, in March, the Board call on the $20^{ ext{th}}$.
8	Very good.
9	MS. MUNN: Everybody happy?
10	DR. WADE: Most productive.
11	MR. PRESLEY: Are we going to use this
12	meeting room here for the seven o'clock
13	meeting?
14	DR. WADE: Surely. You can just stay on if
15	you like and work through.
16	MS. MUNN: Is there anything from anyone on
17	the phone who has any final comments?
18	(no response)
19	MS. MUNN: Thank all of you for your very
20	kind attention and for your hard work, and
21	Kathy, you and Don have done a great job out
22	there. We really appreciate it, and now we'll
23	look forward to talking with you later.
24	(Whereupon, the working group meeting was
25	adjourned at 3:33 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER

STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF FULTON

I, Steven Ray Green, Certified Merit Court Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported the above and foregoing on the day of Jan. 7, 2008; and it is a true and accurate transcript of the testimony captioned herein.

I further certify that I am neither kin nor counsel to any of the parties herein, nor have any interest in the cause named herein.

WITNESS my hand and official seal this the 14th day of May, 2009.

STEVEN RAY GREEN, CCR, CVR-CM
CERTIFIED MERIT COURT REPORTER
CERTIFICATE NUMBER: A-2102

1