Long-Term Care Providers and Services Users in the United States, Residential Care Component: National Study of Long-Term Care Providers, 2015–2016

Weighted National Estimates and Standard Errors

The attached document provides the weighted estimates and associated standard errors¹ for selected variables from the residential care community (RCC) component of the 2015-2016 National Study of Long-Term Care Providers (NSLTCP). Data from the NSLTCP are restricted in order to maintain confidentiality of respondents, and can only be accessed through National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)'s Research Data Center. Among the many variables reported by the NSLTCP, here are a few key estimates: as of 2016, there were 28,900 residential care communities in the United States, with a total of 996,100 beds and 811,500 current residents. Readers are encouraged to look at the attached table for many other estimates from the NSLTCP.

Weighted estimates are provided for original variables based directly on the survey response categories as well as derived or new variables that are adaptations of the survey responses. While most of the estimates are at the residential care community level, in order to make the data more useful, we have converted residential care community-level variables to resident-level measures wherever applicable. For instance, the MEDPAID variable on page 1 is used to provide the following set of measures:

 Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents who had some or all of their long-term care services paid by Medicaid in the last 30 days. This residential care community-level measure was obtained directly from the question

"During the last 30 days, for how many of the residents currently living in this residential care community, did Medicaid pay for some or all of their services received at this community?"

¹ The standard errors presented here were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few variables that are identified in the table.

- 2. Total number of residents who had some or all of their long-term care services paid by Medicaid in the last 30 days. This resident-level measure was derived by adding the total number of residents who had some or all of their long-term care services paid by Medicaid in the last 30 days.
- 3. Percent of current residents across all residential care communities who had some or all of their long-term care services paid by Medicaid in the last 30 days. This resident-level measure is derived as a ratio of the total number of residents using Medicaid to pay for services to the total number of residents across all residential care settings.

All measures are coded as "-1" if they follow a skip pattern in the questionnaires and are therefore legitimately skipped. The 2016 RCC questionnaire can be accessed at:

https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nsltcp/nsltcp questionnaires.htm

For the majority of measures, a separate category coded as "-9" indicates data not ascertained or missing data; the only exception being ratios, where the missing cases are not included in the calculations.

Information about the design and methodology of the 2016 NSLTCP in general, and the RCC component in particular, can be accessed at: https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nsltcp/nsltcp 2016 survey methodology and documentation.pdf

Suggested citation

Sengupta M, Rome, V, Harris-Kojetin L, Caffrey, C. Long-Term Care Providers and Services Users in the United States—Residential care component: National Study of Long-Term Care Providers, 2015–2016: weighted national estimates and standard errors. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. 2017.

QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
BEDS	BEDS	At this residential care community, what is the number of licensed, registered, or certified residential care beds? Include both occupied and unoccupied beds. If this residential care community is licensed, registered, or certified by apartment or unit, please count the number of single-resident apartments or units as one bed each, two-bedroom apartments or units as two beds each and so forth. If none, enter "0."	Percent of residential care communities, by bed size categories (total number of beds in the community)	1 = 4-10 beds 2= 11 - 25 beds	45.6 15.3	0.1	All	Total number of residential care communities: 28,858. Collected as a continuous variable; recoded th variable to provide estimates in categories.
		Number of beds		3 = 26 - 100 beds 4 = >100 beds	31.5 7.7	0.3		
			Total number of beds [®]		996,102	8,787		
	TOTRES	What is the total number of residents currently living at this residential care community? Please include residents for whom a bed is being held while in the hospitals. If you have respite care residents, please include them. If none, enter "0." Number of residents	Percent of residential care communities, by resident-size categories (total number of residents in the community)	1 = 1-25 residents 2 = 26 - 100 residents 3 = >100 residents	65.0 30.7 4.3	0.3 0.4 0.2	All	Collected as a continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
			Total number of current residents in residential care communities®		811,451	8,343		
	OWNERSHP	What is the type of ownership of this residential care community? Private—nonprofit Private—for profit Publicly traded company or limited liability company (LLC) Government—Federal, state, county, or local	Percent of residential care communities, by ownership type	-9= Not ascertained 1 = Nonprofit, government 2 = For profit (including publicly traded company or limited liability company)	2.5 18.6 78.9	0.4 0.7 0.8	All	Used frame information to recode 31 cases wit missing data.
	MEDICAID	Is this residential care community authorized or otherwise set up to participate in Medicaid? YesNo	Percent of residential care communities, by Medicaid participation status	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = Yes 2 = No	1.9 47.3 50.7	0.3 0.8 0.8	All	

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{**}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

1

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimate	es: Residential Care Communitie	es			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
10	MEDPAID	During the last 30 days, for how many of the	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	2.7	0.4	MEDICAID=1	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
		residents currently living in this residential care community, did Medicaid pay for some or all of their services received at this	percent of residents who had some or all of their long-term care services paid by	-1 = Legitimate skip	50.7	0.8		variable to provide estimates in categories.
		community? If none, enter "0."	Medicaid in the last 30 days	1= None	6.4	0.6		
		Number of residents		2= 0.1% - 50% of residents	22.1	0.7		
				3 = > 50% of residents	18.2	0.7		
			Total number of current residents in residenial care communities who had some or all of their long-term care services paid by Medicaid in the last 30 days		130,614	4,666		
			Percent of current residents across all residential care communities who had some or all of their long-term care services paid by Medicaid in the last 30 days		16.5	0.57		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases that were legitimately skipped were coded as "0" indicating that they did not have services paid by Medicaid since they were in residential care communities that were not authorized or otherwise set to participate in Medicaid. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator (130,614/811,451).
11	EHRS	An electronic health record (EHR) is a computerized version of	Percent of residential care communities	-9 = Not ascertained	2.4	0.4	All	
		the resident's health and personal information used in the management of the resident's health care. Other than for	that use electronic health records	1 = Yes 2 = No	25.3 72.3	0.8		
		accounting or billing purposes, does this residential care community use electronic health records?		Z = NO	72.3	0.8		
		Yes No						
12a	ITMD	Does this residential care community's computerized system support electronic health information exchange with each of the following providers? Do not include faxing. Physician Yes No	Percent of residential care communities, by whether they have a computerized system that supports electronic health information exchange with physicians	1 = Yes	2.9 10.6 86.5	0.4 0.6 0.7	All	

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communities				
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
12b	ITPHARM	Does this residential care community's computerized system support electronic health information exchange with each of the following providers? Do not include faxing. Pharmacy	Percent of residential care communities, by whether they have a computerized system that supports electronic health information exchange with pharmacies	1 = Yes	2.9 19.6 77.5	0.4 0.7 0.8	All	
		Yes No						
12c	ITHOSP	Does this residential care community's computerized system support electronic health information exchange with each of the following providers? Do not include faxing. Hospital Yes No	Percent of residential care communities, by whether they have a computerized system that supports electronic health information exchange with hospitals	1 = Yes	2.9 7.1 90.1	0.4 0.5 0.6	All	
DERIVED from 12a, 12b, 12c [ITMD, ITPHARM, ITHOSP]	ANYEX	Does this residential care community's computerized system support electronic health information exchange with any of the following providers? Do not include faxing Physician Pharmacy Hospital	Percent of residential care communities, by whether they have a computerized system that supports electronic health information exchange with any of the listed provider types	1= Yes	2.9 22.4 74.7	0.4 0.8 0.8	All	
13	CHAIN	Is this residential care community owned by a person, group, or organization that owns or manages two or more residential care communities? This may include a corporate chain. YesNo	Percent of residential care communities, by chain affiliation status	_9 = Not ascertained 1 = Yes 2 = No	2.3 55.9 41.8	0.3 1.0	All	
Derived from Version A:28a Version B:29a (SERVHOSP)	SERVHOSP	For each row, mark if this residential care community provides the service by Hospice services Provides the service by Paid residential care community employees Arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers Referring residents or family to outside service providers NONE OF THESE APPLY/ NOT PROVIDED	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they provide hospice services	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = Provided 2 = Referral only 3 = Neither provided nor referral given	7.5 62.6 22.5 7.5	0.5 1.0 0.9 0.6	All	'Provided' refers to providing a given service by paid residential care community employee or arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{**}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

3

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communities				
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
Derived from Version A:28b	SERVSOCW	For each row, mark if this residential care community provides the service by	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they provide social work	r -9 = Not ascertained	8.0	0.5	All	'Provided' refers to providing a given service by paid residential care community employee or
Version B:29a (SERVSOCW)		Social work services - provided by licensed social workers or	services	1 = Provided	47.0	1.0		arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers.
		persons with a bachelor's or master's degree in social work, and		2 = Referral only	25.4	0.9		
	may include an array of services such as psychosocial assessment, individual or group counseling, and referral servic Provides the service by Paid residential care community employees Arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers Referring residents or family to outside service providers NONE OF THESE APPLY/ NOT PROVIDED	3 = Neither provided nor referral given		19.6	19.6 0.9			
Derived from	SERVMH	For each row, mark if this residential care community provides	Percent of residential care communities, by		8.3	0.6	All	'Provided' refers to providing a given service by
Version A:28c Version B:29c		the service by	whether or not they provide mental health services		50.4	1.0		paid residential care community employee or arranging for the service to be provided by outside
(SERVMH)		Mental health services - target residents' mental, emotional,	services	1 = Provided 2 = Referral only	50.4 26.0	1.0 0.9		service providers.
		psychological, or psychiatric well-being and may include diagnosing, describing, evaluating, and treating mental conditions. Provides the service by Paid residential care community employees Arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers Referring residents or family to outside service providers NONE OF THESE APPLY/ NOT PROVIDED		3 = Neither provided nor referral given	15.2	0.8		
Destroy of Comme	CEDIEN	Frank and State		0. Not according to	7.0	0.5	***	In the Hardware Company of the Compa
Derived from Version A:28d	SERVTX	For each row, mark if this residential care community provides the service by	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they provide therapeutic	-9 = Not ascertained	7.8	0.5	All	'Provided' refers to providing a given service by paid residential care community employee or
Version B:29d		the service by	services	1 =Provided	65.9	1.0		arranging for the service to be provided by outside
(SERVTX)		Any therapeutic services - physical, occupational, or speech		2 = Referral only	18.5	0.8		service providers.
		Provides the service by Paid residential care community employees Arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers Referring residents or family to outside service providers NONE OF THESE APPLY/ NOT PROVIDED		3 = Neither provided nor referral given	7.9	0.6		
Derived from	SERVRX	For each row, mark if this residential care community provides	Percent of residential care communities, by	v - 9 - Not accertained	7.6	0.5	All	'Provided' refers to providing a given service by
Version A:28e	JENVINA.	the service by	whether or not they provide pharmacy				All .	paid residential care community employee or
Version B:29e			services	1 = Provided	77.3	0.9		arranging for the service to be provided by outside
(SERVRX)		Pharmacy services - including filling of or delivery of prescriptions		2 = Referral only 3 = Neither provided nor referral given	11.3 3.9	0.7 0.4		service providers.
	providers	Paid residential care community employees Arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers Referring residents or family to outside service providers						

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{**}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

4

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communities				
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
Derived from Version A:28f Version B:29f (SERVDIET)	SERVDIET	For each row, mark if this residential care community provides the service by Dietary and nutritional services Provides the service by Paid residential care community employees Arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers Referring residents or family to outside service providers NONE OF THESE APPLY/ NOT PROVIDED	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they provide dietary and nutritional services	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = Provided 2 = Referral only 3 = Neither provided nor referral given	8.5 75.8 7.5 8.2	0.5 0.9 0.6 0.6	All	Provided' refers to providing a given service by paid residential care community employee or arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers.
Derived from Version A:28g Version B:29g (SERVNURS)	SERVNURS	For each row, mark if this residential care community provides the service by Skilled nursing services - must be performed by an RN or LPN and are medical in nature Provides the service by Paid residential care community employees Arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers Referring residents or family to outside service providers NONE OF THESE APPLY/ NOT PROVIDED	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they provide skilled nursing services		8.2 60.7 15.8 15.4	0.5 1.0 0.8 0.8	All	'Provided' refers to providing a given service by paid residential care community employee or arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers.
Derived from Version A:28h Version B:29h (SERVAPPT)	SERVAPPT	For each row, mark if this residential care community provides the service by Transportation services for medical or dental appointments Provides the service by Paid residential care community employees Arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers Referring residents or family to outside service providers NONE OF THESE APPLY/ NOT PROVIDED	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they provide transportation services for medical or dental appointments	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = Provided 2 = Referral only 3 = Neither provided nor referral given	7.7 76.7 9.7 5.9	0.5 0.9 0.7 0.5	All	Provided' refers to providing a given service by paid residential care community employee or arranging for the service to be provided by outside service providers.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

RIVED from rsion A: 29a rsion B: 30a	ANYRN_EMP	Contract staff are defined a Plea Data collected on full-time and part-tim For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time employees and part-time employees this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no	defined as anyone for whom the residential as other individuals or organization staff und asse see questionnaire for staffing table and the employees and contract staff were conversed to the converse of the	der contract with and working at what questions were asked abou erted to full-time equivalents (FTE) y -9 = Not ascertained	this residential care com t staffing.	rson equals 0.5	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
sion A: 29a	ANYRN_EMP	employees and part-time employees this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no	whether or not they have one or more RN					·
rsion A: 29a	_	employees and part-time employees this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no	whether or not they have one or more RN					· ·
rsion B: 30a		community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no				0.81		variable to provide estimates in categories.
		1		2=None	56.8			Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to a
		employees.		2=140110	50.0	0.0		response boxes for all employee staff types v
	1	F - 7						blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time
		Residential care community employees: Registered nurses (RNs)						employees, the number of part-time RN
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						employees, the number of full-time LPN/LVN
								employees, the number of part-time LPN/LVI
								employees, the number of full-time Aide
								employees, the number of part-time Aide
								employees, the number of full-time Social wo
								employees, the number of part-time Social we
								employees, and the number of full-time Activ
								staff employees, the number of part-time Act
								staff employees). Otherwise, kept as missing
								This recoding scheme differed from the 2014
								and is based on the difference in question
								placement between 2014 and 2016.
						1		
						1		

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimate	es: Residential Care Communitie	s			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
DERIVED from Version A: 29b Version B: 30b	ANYLPN_EMP	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time employees and part-time employees this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no employees. Residential care community employees: Licensed practical nurses (LPNs)/Licensed vocational nurses (LVNs)	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more LPN/LVN employee FTEs	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = >0 2 = None	6.3 33.4 60.3	0.5 0.7 0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all employee staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN employees, the number of part-time RN employees, the number of part-time LPN/LVN employees, the number of full-time LPN/LVN employees, the number of full-time Aide employees, the number of part-time Aide employees, the number of part-time Aide employees, the number of part-time Social work employees, the number of full-time Activities staff employees, the number of part-time Activities staff employees, the number of part-time Activities staff employees). Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). This recoding scheme differed from the 2014 data and is based on the difference in question placement between 2014 and 2016.
DERIVED from Version A: 29c Version B: 30c	ANYAIDE_EMP	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time employees and part-time employees this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no employees. Residential care community employees: Certified nursing assistants, nursing assistants, home health aides, home care aides, personal care aides, personal care aides, personal care aides, medication technicians or medication aides	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more aide employee FTEs		6.3 76.6 17.2	0.5 0.9 0.9	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all employee staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN employees, the number of part-time RN employees, the number of full-time LPN/LVN employees, the number of full-time Aide employees, the number of part-time Aide employees, the number of full-time Aide employees, the number of full-time Social work employees, the number of full-time Activities staff employees, the number of part-time Activities staff employees, the number of part-time Activities staff employees, the number of part-time Activities staff employees). Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). This recoding scheme differed from the 2014 data and is based on the difference in question placement between 2014 and 2016.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{**}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

7

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communitie	s			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
DERIVED from Version A: 29d Version B: 30d	ANYSOCW_EMP	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time employees and part-time employees this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no employees. Residential care community employees: Social workers - licensed social workers or persons with a bachelor's or master's degree in social work	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more social work employee FTEs	/ -9 = Not ascertained 1 = >0 2 = None	6.3 9.5 84.2	0.5 0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all employee staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN employees, the number of part-time RN employees, the number of full-time LPN/LVN employees, the number of full-time LPN/LVN employees, the number of full-time Aide employees, the number of full-time Social work employees, the number of full-time Social work employees, the number of part-time Social work employees, the number of part-time Activities staff employees, the number of part-time Activiti
DERIVED from Version A: 29e Version B: 30e	ANYACT_EMP	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time employees and part-time employees this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no employees. Residential care community employees: Activities directors or activities staff	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more activities director or staff employee FTEs	/-9 = Not ascertained 1 = >0 2 = None	6.3 54.6 39.1	0.5 0.9 89.6	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all employee staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN employees, the number of part-time RN employees, the number of part-time LPN/LVN employees, the number of full-time Aide employees, the number of full-time Aide employees, the number of part-time Aide employees, the number of part-time Aide employees, the number of full-time Social work employees, and the number of part-time Social work employees, and the number of part-time Activities staff employees). Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). This recoding scheme differed from the 2014 data and is based on the difference in question placement between 2014 and 2016.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{**}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communitie	es			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
DERIVED from Version A: 31a Version B: 32a	ANYRN_CON	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time contract or agency staff and part-time contract or agency staff this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no contract or agency staff. Contract staff: Registered nurses (RNs)	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more RN contract staff FTEs	/ 9 = Not ascertained 1= >0 2=None	8.1 7.9 84.1	0.6 0.6 0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all contract or agency staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of part-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of pull-time LPN/LVN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time LPN/LVN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Social work contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Social work contract or agency staff, and the number of full-time Activities contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Activities contract or agency staff). Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). This recoding scheme differed from the 2014 data and is based on the difference in question placement between 2014 and 2016.
DERIVED from Version A: 31b Version B: 32b	ANYLPN_CON	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time contract or agency staff and part-time contract or agency staff this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no contract or agency staff. Contract staff: Licensed practical nurses (LPNs)/Licensed vocational nurses (LVNs)	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more LPN contract staff FTEs		8.1 3.6 88.4	0.6 0.4 0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all contract or agency staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of part-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time LPN/LVN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Alde contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Alde contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Alde contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Alde contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Alde contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Alde contract or agency staff, and the number of full-time Social work contract or agency staff, and the number of full-time Activities contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Activities contract or agency staff). Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). This recoding scheme differed from the 2014 data and is based on the difference in question placement between 2014 and 2016.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{**}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

9

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communitie	s			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
DERIVED from Version A: 31c Version B: 32c	ANYAIDE_CON	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time contract or agency staff and part-time contract or agency staff this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no contract or agency staff. Contract staff: Certified nursing assistants, nursing assistants, home health aides, home care aides, personal care aides, personal care assistants, and medication technicians or medication aides	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more aide contract staff FTEs		8.1 8.4 83.6	0.6 0.6 0.8	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all contract or agency staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of part-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time LPN/LVN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time LPN/LVN contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Social work contract or agency staff, and the number of full-time Activities contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Ac
DERIVED from Version A: 31d Version B: 32d	ANYSOCW_CON	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time contract or agency staff and part-time contract or agency staff this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no contract or agency staff. Contract staff: Social workers - licensed social workers or persons with a bachelor's or master's degree in social work	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more social work contract staff FTEs	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = >0 2 = None	8.1 3.1 88.9	0.6	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "O" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all contract or agency staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of part-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of part-time RN tentract or agency staff, the number of full-time LPN/LVN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Social work contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Social work contract or agency staff, and the number of full-time Activities contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Activities contract or agency staff). Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). This recoding scheme differed from the 2014 data and is based on the difference in question placement between 2014 and 2016.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

10

	2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimates: Residential Care Communities									
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES		
DERIVED from Version A: 31e Version B: 32e	ANYACT_CON	For each staff type below, indicate how many full-time contract or agency staff and part-time contract or agency staff this residential care community currently has. Enter "0" for any categoroes with no contract or agency staff. Contract staff: Activities directors or activities staff	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have one or more activities director or contract staff FTEs	/-9 = Not ascertained 1 = Yes 2 = No	3.5 88.5	0.6 0.4 0.7		Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all ten response boxes for all contract or agency staff types were blank or missing (e.g., the number of full-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of part-time RN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time LPN/LVN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time LPN/LVN contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of full-time Contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Aide contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Social work contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Social work contract or agency staff, and the number of full-time Activities contract or agency staff, the number of part-time Activities contract or agency staff, Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). This recoding scheme differed from the 2014 data and is based on the difference in question placement between 2014 and 2016.		
14a [IMPUTED]	HISPANICRC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, what is the racial-ethnic breakdown? Count each resident only once. Enter "0" for any cateegories with no residents. Hispanic or Latino, of any race Number of residents	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of Hispanic (or Latino, of any race) residents	1=None 2= 0.1-10% 3 = >10%	75.4 12.9	0.8	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of 5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.		
			Percent of current Hispanic (or Latino, of any race) residents across all residential care communities		3.1	0.2		Used TOTRES as denominator.		

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimate	es: Residential Care Communities				
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
DERIVED from 14b, 14e, 14g, 14h (AIAN NHOPI MULTIRACE OTHERRACE) [IMPUTED]	NHOPI community, what is the racial-ethnic breakdown? Count ead MULTIRACE resident only once. Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	residents. American Indian or Alaska Native, not Hispanic or Latino (AIAN) Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, not Hispanic or Latino (NHOPI) Two or more races, not Hispanic or Latino Some other category reported in this residential care community's system	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of American Indian or Alaska Native, not Hispanic or Latino (AIAN); Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, not Hispanic or Latino (NHOPI); Two or more races, not Hispanic or Latino; and other race residents	1=None	85.7	0.7	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of 5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		Number of residents		2 = 0.1 - 10%	7.5	0.4		
				3 = >10%	6.8	0.6		
			Percent of current non-Hispanic/Latino Other Race [American Indian or Alaska Native, not Hispanic or Latino (AIAN); Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, not Hispanic or Latino (NHOPI); Two or more races, not Hispanic or Latino; and other race] residents across all residential care communities		1.7	0.2		Used TOTRES as denominator.
14c [IMPUTED]	ASIANRC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	1 = None	83.7	0.8	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of
		community, what is the racial-ethnic breakdown? Count each resident only once. Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	percent of non-Hispanic/Latino Asian residents	2 =0.1 - 10%	8.1	0.4		5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES.
		Asian, not Hispanic or Latino		3 = >10%	8.2	0.7		Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
	Number of residents	Number of residents						
			Percent of current non-Hispanic/Latino Asian residents across all residential care communities		2.0	0.3		Used TOTRES as denominator.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

QUESTION	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED	STANDARD	COMMUNITIES	ADDITIONAL NOTES
NUMBER					PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	ERRORS**	ASKED/ RECODED	
d [IMPUTED]	BLACKRC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by		71.8	0.8	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average o
		community, what is the racial-ethnic breakdown? Count each resident only once. Enter "0" for any categories with no	percent of non-Hispanic/Latino Black residents	2 = 0.1 - 10%	14.7	0.5		5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the
		residents.	residents	3 = >10%	13.6	0.7		sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TORES. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		Black, not Hispanic or Latino						
		Number of residents						
					4.1	. 0.2		Used TOTRES as denominator.
			Percent of current non-Hispanic/Latino black residents across all residential care communities		4.1	. 0.2		Used TUTKES as denominator.
8f [IMPUTED]	WHITERC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	/ 1 = None	7.3	0.5	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of
BI [IIVIFOTED]	WIIIIERC	community, what is the racial-ethnic breakdown? Count each	percent of non-Hispanic/Latino White	2 = 0.1 - 74%	17.1		All	5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct
		resident only once. Enter "0" for any categories with no	residents	3 = 75 - 90%	16.7	0.8		missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the
		residents.		4 = >90%	58.9	1.0		sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES.
		White, not Hispanic or Latino						Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		Number of residents						
			Percent of current non-Hispanic/Latino White residents across all residential care communities		81.4	0.8		Used TOTRES as denominator.
5a [IMPUTED]	MALERC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	(1 = None	14.1	. 0.8		Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of
Sa [IIVII OTED]	WIALENC	community, what is the sex breakdown? Enter "0" for any	ercent of male residents	2 = 0.1 - 25%	33.2	0.9		5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the
		categories with no residents.	per cent of male residents	3 = 26 - 50%	38.0			
				4 = 51 - 75%	8.2	0.6		sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES.
		Male		5 = >75%	6.6	0.6		Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		Number of residents						
			Percent of current male residents across all residential care communities		29.4	0.3		Used TOTRES as denominator.
			residential care communities					
5b [IMPUTED]	FEMALERC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	1 = None	3.8	0.4	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of
		community, what is the sex breakdown? Enter "0" for any	percent of female residents	2 = 0.1 - 25%	3.7			5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct
		categories with no residents.		3 = 26 - 50%	12.4	0.7		missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the
		Female		4 = 51 - 75%	37.2	0.9		sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		Number of residents						The state of provide estimates in eutegories.
				5 = >75%	42.8	1.0		
			Percent of current female residents across		70.6	0.3		Used TOTRES as denominator.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communitie	es			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
DERIVED from 16a, 16b, 16c, 16d (AG17LESSRC, AG18TO44RC, AG45TO54RC, AG55TO64) [IMPUTED]	AGLESS65	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, what is the age breakdown? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. 17 years or younger 18 - 44 years 45 - 54 years 55 - 64 years Number of residents	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents younger than 65 years	/ 1 = None	59.0	0.9	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of 5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
				2 = 0.1 - 10%	16.5	0.5		
				3 = >10%	24.5	0.8		
6e [IMPLITED]			Percent of current residents younger than 65 years across all residential care communities		6.6	0.3		Used TOTRES as denominator.
16e [IMPUTED]	AG65TO74RC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	1 = None	40.9	1.0	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of 5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct
,		community, what is the age breakdown? Enter "0" for any	percent of residents aged 65-74 years	2 = 0.1 - 25%	43.5	0.9		Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of 5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Used TOTRES as denominator. Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of 5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES.
		categories with no residents.		3 = 26-50%	12.0	0.7		
		65 - 74 years Number of residents		4 = >50%	3.6	0.4		
			Percent of current residents aged 65-74 years across all residential care communities		11.0	0.3		Used TOTRES as denominator.
16f [IMPUTED]	AG75TO84RC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	(1 - None	20.6	0.9	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of
101 [11411 0122]	Ad751004NC	community, what is the age breakdown? Enter "0" for any	percent of residents aged 75-84 years	2 = 0.1 - 25%	32.6	0.9	All	
		categories with no residents.		3 = 26 - 50%	31.7	0.9		
		75 - 84 years		4 = >50%	15.1	0.8		Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
		Number of residents						
			Percent of current residents aged 75-84 years across all residential care communities		30.3	0.5		Used TOTRES as denominator.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

14

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communitie	es			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
16g [IMPUTED]	AG85UPRC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, what is the age breakdown? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. 85 years and older Number of residents	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents aged 85 years or older	/ 1 = None 2 = 0.1 -25% 3 = 26 - 50% 4 = >50%	16.2 14.9 20.6 48.3	0.8 0.7 0.9 1.0	All	Multiple imputations for missing data. Average of 5 imputed, case-specific values used to correct missing data; ratio adjustment made to have the sum of race-ethnicity categories add to TOTRES. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
			Percent of current residents aged 85 years or older across all residential care communities		52.1	0.7		Used TOTRES as denominator.
17a [RECODED]	TRANSHELPRC	Assistance refers to needing any help or supervision from another person, or use of assistive devices. Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many now need any assistance in each of the following activities? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. Transferring in and out of a bed or chair	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents needing assistance with transferring in and out of bed	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = 26 - 50% 4 = 51 - 75% 5 = >75%	5.7 17.6 24.4 22.4 15.0 14.8	0.5 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.8	All	Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all response boxes for Question 17 a-f were blank or missing. Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		Number of residents	Percent of current residents needing assistance with transferring in and out of bed across all residential care communities		29.2	0.7		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
17b [RECODED]	EATHELPRC	Assistance refers to needing any help or supervision from another person, or use of assistive devices. Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many now need any assistance in each of the following activities? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. With eating, like cutting up food	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents needing assistance with eating	y -9 = ascertained	5.7	0.5	All	Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all response boxes for Question 17 a-f were blank or missing. Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		Number of residents	December of support and age and december of	1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = 26 - 50% 4 = 51 - 75% 5 = >75%	18.7 35.5 18.8 10.0 11.3	0.8 0.9 0.9 0.7 0.7		Used TOTPES and assessing to All assess with
			Percent of current residents needing assistance with eating across all residential care communities		19.2	0.5		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

15

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es. Residential Care Communic	25			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
17c [RECODED]	DRESHELPRC	Assistance refers to needing any help or supervision from another person, or use of assistive devices. Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many now need any assistance in each of the following activities? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents needing assistance with dressing	-9 = Not ascertained	5.7	0.5	All	Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all response boxes for Question 17 a-f were blank or missing. Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		With dressing Number of residents		1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = 26 - 50% 4 = 51 - 75%	6.8 13.0 22.7 19.6	0.5 0.6 0.8 0.8		
			Percent of current residents needing assistance with dressing across all residential care communities	5 = >75%	32.3 48.2	1.0		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
17d [RECODED]	BATHHELPRC	Assistance refers to needing any help or supervision from another person, or use of assistive devices. Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many now need any assistance in each of the following activities? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents needing assistance with bathing or showering	-9 = Not ascertained	5.7	0.5	All	Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all response boxes for Question 17 a-f were blank or missing. Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		With bathing or showering Number of residents		1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = 26 - 50% 4 = 51 - 75%	2.2 6.5 14.7 16.3	0.3 0.5 0.7 0.7 0.7		
			Percent of current residents needing assistance with bathing or showering across all residential care communities	5 = >75%	54.6 63.6	0.9		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
17e [RECODED]	TOILHELPRC	Assistance refers to needing any help or supervision from another person, or use of assistive devices. Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many now need any assistance in each of the following activities? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	Percent of residential communities, by percent of residents needing assistance in using the bathroom	-9 = Not ascertained	5.7	0.5	All	Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all response boxes for Question 17 a-f were blank or missing. Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		In using the bathroom (toileting) Number of residents		1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = 26 - 50% 4 = 51 - 75%	12.0 17.3 20.5 18.7	0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9		
			Percent of current residents needing assistance in using the bathroom across all residential care communities	5 = >75%	25.8 40.0	0.9		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

16

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimate	es: Residential Care Communitie	s			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
17f [RECODED]	WALKHELPRC	Assistance refers to needing any help or supervision from another person, or use of special equipment. Assistance refers to needing any help or supervision from another person, or use of assistive devices. Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many now need any assistance in each of the following activities? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents needing assistance with locomotion or walking	-9 = Not ascertained	5.7	0.5	Ali	Recoded missing as "0" unless responses to all response boxes for Question 17 a-f were blank or missing. Otherwise, kept as missing (-9). Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		With locomotion or walking—this includes using a cane, walker,		1 = None	6.7	0.5		
		or wheelchair, or help from another person		2 = 0.1 - 25%	12.1	0.6		
				3 = 26 - 50%	17.4	0.8		
		Number of residents		4 = 51 - 75%	19.8	0.8		
				5 = >75%	38.4	1.0		
			Percent of current residents needing assistance with locomotion or walking across all residential care communities		56.5	0.8		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
18a	DXALZ	Of the ancidente augustic living in this ancidential and	December of annial annial annual annual annial annual annial anni	0 - Netteined	0.6	0.0	All	College of an acceptance of a second of the
164	DAALZ	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with Alzheimer's disease or other dementias	-9 = Not ascertained	9.6	0.6	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		residents.		1 = None	9.3	0.6		
		Alzheimer's disease or other dementias		2 = 0.1- 25%	16.8	0.7		
				3 = 26 - 50%	24.6	0.9		
		Number of residents		4 = 51 - 75%	16.8	0.8		
				5 = >75%	22.9	0.9		
			Percent of current residents with Alzheimer's disease or other dementias across all residential care communities		41.9	0.7		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
18b	DXARTH	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with Arthritis	-9 = Not ascertained	14.1	0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		- Condensor		1 = None	12.4	0.8		
		Arthritis		2 = 0.1- 25%	21.9	0.8		
				3 = 26 - 50%	22.0	0.9		
		Number of residents		4 = 51 - 75%	12.4	0.7		
				5 = >75%	17.2	0.8		
			Percent of current residents with Arthritis		42.4	0.8		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

17

QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
					COUNTS*			
	DXASTH	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with Asthma	-9 = Not ascertained	15.6	0.8	All	Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
		residents.						
				1 = None	42.4	1.0		
		Asthma		2 = 0.1- 25% 3 = >25%	36.4 5.6	0.9 0.5		
	Number of residents	Number of residents	Percent of current residents with Asthma		6.8	0.2		missing data were removed from the numerate and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the
i	DXCANC	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	14.9	0.8	All	
		community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no	percent of residents with Cancer					variable to provide estimates in categories.
		residents.		1 = None	42.3	1.0		
		CANCER		2 = 0.1- 25%	37.5	0.8		
				3 = >25%	5.4	0.5		
		Number of residents	Percent of current residents with Cancer		7.5	0.3		missing data were removed from the numerat and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the
!	DXKIDNY	the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with Chronic kidney disease	-9 = Not ascertained	15.3	0.7	All	missing cases were included in the denominator
		residents.		1 = None	42.0	1.0		
		CHRONIC KIDNEY DISEASE		2 = 0.1- 25%	35.5	0.8		
				3 = >25%	7.2	0.6		
		Number of residents	Percent of current residents with Chronic kidney disease		8.3	0.3		missing data were removed from the numerat and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the
:	DXCOPD		Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with COPD	-9 = Not ascertained	13.6	0.7	All	
	1	residents.		1 = None	27.2	0.9		
		COPD (Chronic bronchitis or emphysema)		2 = 0.1- 25%	47.0	1.0		
				3 = >25%	12.1	0.7		
		Number of residents	Percent of current residents with COPD		14.0	0.4		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerat and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominate

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
8g	DXDEP	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with depression	y -9 = Not ascertained	12.6	0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no		1 = None	11.1	0.7		
		residents.		2 = 0.1 - 25%	27.5	0.9		
				3 = 26 - 50%	27.8	0.9		
		Depression		4 = 51 - 75%	11.3	0.7		
		Number of residents		5 = >75%	9.8	0.7		
	Percent of current reside depression across all resicommunities 8h DXDIAB Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "O" for any categories with no residents.	Percent of current residents with depression across all residential care communities		30.9	0.6		and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the	
3h	DXDIAB Of the residents of	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	/ -9 = Not ascertained	12.5	0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
···		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	percent of residents with diabetes	1 = None	17.3	0.9		variable to provide estimates in categories.
		the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no		2 = 0.1- 25%	47.8	1.0		
		residents.		3 = > 25%	22.4	0.9		missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator. Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
		Diabetes Number of residents						
			Percent of current residents with diabetes across all residential care communities		18.1	0.3		missing data were removed from the numerate and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the
i	DXCADIO	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with heart disease	y -9 = Not ascertained	13.0	0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		residents.		1 = None	11.3	0.7		
		Heart disease (e.g., congestive heart failure, coronary or		2 = 0.1- 25%	27.3	0.9		
		ischemic heart disease, heart attack, stroke)		3 = 26 - 50%	31.3	1.0		
		issued in the contract of the		4 = 51 - 75%	10.8	0.6		
		Number of residents		5 = >75%	6.3	0.6		
			Percent of current residents with heart disease across all residential care communities		34.3	0.6		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerate and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominate

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{**}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

19

QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
18j	DXHBP		Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with high blood	1 = None	11.5 5.3	0.5	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	pressure or hypertension	2 = 0.1- 25% 3 = 26 - 50%	11.0 29.2	1.0		
		High blood pressure or hypertension		4 = 51 - 75%	23.9	0.9		
		Number of residents		5 = >75%	19.1	0.9		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerat and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator. All cases with variable to provide estimates in categories. Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerat and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing data were removed from the numerat and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing data were removed from the numerat and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator seems were included in the denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
			Percent of current residents with high blood pressure or hypertension across all residential care communities		51.2	0.7		missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio,
8k	DXHIV	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	14.4	0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
		community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no	percent of residents with HIV/AIDS	1 = None 2 = > 0	83.9 1.7	0.8		
	residents. HIV/AIDS (Human immunodeficiency virus) Number of residents	Percent of current residents with HIV/AIDS across all residential care communities		0.3	0.1		missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio,	
		Number of residents						missing cases were included in the denominator.
.81	DXDD	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with intellectual or	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = None	14.1 62.3		All	
		the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.	developmental disability	2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = >25%	16.9 6.7	0.7		Total to provide estimates in eategories.
		Intellectual or developmental disability						
		Number of residents						
			Percent of current residents with intellectual or developmental disability across all residential care communities		2.7	0.2		missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio,
.8m	DXMS	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with Multiple sclerosis		14.7		All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents.		2 = 0.1 - 10% 3 = >10%	7.5 3.5	0.4		
		Multiple sclerosis Number of residents						
			Percent of current residents with Multiple sclerosis across all residential care communities		0.8	0.1		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

QUESTION	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED	STANDARD	COMMUNITIES	ADDITIONAL NOTES
NUMBER					PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	ERRORS**	ASKED/ RECODED	
1	DXOBES	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	14.0	0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
			percent of residents with obesity	1 = None	42.5	1.0		variable to provide estimates in categories.
		the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no		2 = 0.1 - 25%	34.3	0.9		
		residents.		3 = >25%	9.2	0.7		
		Obesity						
		Number of residents						
			Percent of current residents with obesity		8.1	0.3		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with
			across all residential care communities					missing data were removed from the numerato
								and denominator when calculating the ratio,
								resulting in a difference with the result if the
								missing cases were included in the denominato
о [DXOSTEO	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by		15.0	0.7	All	
		community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of	percent of residents with osteoporosis	1 = None	27.8	0.9		
		the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no		2 = 0.1 - 25%	31.4	0.9		
		residents.		3 = >25%	25.8	0.9		
		Osteoporosis						
		Number of residents						
			Percent of current residents with		23.7	0.6		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with
			osteoporosis across all residential care		25.7	0.6		missing data were removed from the numerate
			communities					and denominator when calculating the ratio,
			communicies					resulting in a difference with the result if the
								missing cases were included in the denominate
	DXPARK	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by		14.1	0.7	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
		community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no	percent of residents with Parkinson's disease	1 = None	44.5	1.0		variable to provide estimates in categories.
		residents.	uisease	2 = 0.1 - 10% 3 = >10%	25.9 15.5	0.6		
		residents.		3 = >10%	15.5	0.8		
		Parkinson's disease						
		Number of residents						
			Percent of current residents with		5.0	0.2		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with
			Parkinson's disease across all residential					missing data were removed from the numerate
			care communities					and denominator when calculating the ratio,
								resulting in a difference with the result if the
								missing cases were included in the denominat
	1				I			

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communitie	es			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
18q	DXSMI	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. Severe mental illness, such as schizophrenia and psychosis Number of residents	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with severe mental illness, such as schizophrenia and psychosis		13.9 55.8 12.2 18.0	1.0 0.5 0.8	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		if the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of current residents with severe mental illness, such as schizophrenia and psychosis across all residential care communities		5.7	0.4		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
18r	DXTBI Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many have been diagnosed with each of the following conditions? Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. Traumatic brain injury Number of residents	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents with traumatic brain injury	1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 10% 3 = >10%	69.0 10.0 6.5	0.9	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.	
			Percent of current residents with traumatic brain injury across all residential care communities		1.3	0.1		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
19	EMERNUM	Of the residents currently living in this residential care community, about how many were treated in a hospital emergency department in the last 90 days? If none, enter "0." Number of residents	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents treated in a hospital emergency room in the last 30 days	1-9 = Not ascertained 1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = >25%	6.9 24.8 53.3 15.0		All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. It is possible that the same resident may have been counted more than once, if respondents counted hospital emergency department visits instead of residents treated in a hospital emergency department.
		h	Percent of current residents treated in a hospital emergency room in the last 30 days across all residential care communities		14.2	0.3		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

22

QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
)	OVRNITE	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	7.0	0.5	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
		community, about how many were discharged from an overnight	percent of residents discharged from an	1 = None	41.1	0.9		variable to provide estimates in categories. It is
		hospital stay in the last 90 days? Exclude trips to the hospital emergency department that did not result in an overnight	overnight hospital stay in the last 90 days	2 = 0.1 - 25%	45.4	0.9		possible that the same resident may have been counted more than once, if respondents counted
		hospital stay. If none, enter "0."		3 = >25%	6.5	0.6		The state of the s
		Number of residents						
			Percent of current residents discharged		8.3	0.2		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with
	from an overnight hospital stay in 90 days across all residential care communities	from an overnight hospital stay in the last 90 days across all residential care		6.5	0.2		missing data were removed from the numerato and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the	
L	READMIT	Of the residents who were discharged from an overnight hospital	Percent of recidential care communities, but	- A - Not accortained	7.2	0.5	If OVRNITE>=1	Collected as continuous variables recoded the
	KEADIVIII	stay in the last 90 days, about how many of those residents were	percent of residents who were re-admitted		41.1	0.9	II OVKINITE>=1	resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator
		of their hospital discharge? If none, enter "0."	to the hospital for an overnight stay within 30 days of their hospital discharge	1 =None	33.1	0.9		counted more than once, if respondents count
	Number of residents 2 = 0.1 - 10%	14.5	0.5					
				3 = >10%	4.2	0.5		
			Percent of current residents readmitted to the hospital for an overnight stay within 30 days of their hospital discharge, among all current residents across all residential care communities		1.5	0.1		legitimately skipped were coded as "0" indicati that they were not readmitted to a hospital sin they did not have an overnight hospital stay in last 90 days. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominato when calculating the ratio, resulting in a differe with the result if the missing cases were including the ratio.
			Percent of current residents readmitted to the hospital for an overnight stay within 30 days of their hospital discharge, among current residents who were discharged from an overnight hospital stay in the last 90 days		18.6	0.7		Used OVRNITE as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerato and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominato

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimate	es: Residential Care Communition	es			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
22	HOSPICE	Of the residents currently living in this residential care	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	6.5	0.5	All	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
		community, about how many have elected and are now	percent of residents receiving hospice care		42.9	1.0		variable to provide estimates in categories.
		receiving hospice care? If none, enter "0." Number of residents		2 = 0.1 - 10%	21.5	0.6		
			3	3 = >10%	29.0	1.0		
			Percent of current residents receiving hospice care across all residential care communities		6.5	0.2		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
Version A: 23	FALLNUM	As best you know, about how many of the residents had a fall in	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	7.8	0.8	QVERSION=1	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the
		the last 90 days? Please include falls that occurred in your residential care community or off-site, whether or not the resident was injured, and whether or not anyone saw the	percent of residents who had any falls in the last 90 days	1 = None	31.9	1.3	(if Questionnaire version = A)	variable to provide estimates in categories. It is possible that the same resident may have been counted more than once, if respondents counted
		resident was injured, and whether or not anyone saw the resident fall or caught them. Please just count one fall per resident who fell, even if the resident fell more than one time. If		2 = 0.1 - 25%	40.6	1.4		falls instead of residents having one or more falls.
		one of your residents fell during the last 90 days , but is currently in the hospital or rehabilitation facility, please include that person in your count. If no residents had a fall, enter "0."		3 = >25%	19.7	1.1		
	— Number of residents f	Percent of current residents who had any fall in the last 90 days across all residential care communities		21.5	0.7		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.	

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimates: Residential Care Communities								
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
Version A: 24a	in the last 90 days are in each of the following categories? If a resident had more than one fall in the last 90 days, count only their most serious fall. Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. a. Had a fall resulting in some kind of injury, such as a broken bone (for example in a wrist, arm, or ankle), hip fracture, or head injury Number of residents Percent of current resulting in some last of the following categories? If a present of resident percent of resident percent of resident percent of current resulting in some last of the following categories? If a percent of resident percent of resident percent of resident percent of current resulting in some last of the following categories? If a percent of resident percent of resident percent of resident percent of resident percent percent of current resulting in some last of the following categories with no resident percent of resident percent perce	in the last 90 days are in each of the following categories? If a resident had more than one fall in the last 90 days, count only their most serious fall. Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. a. Had a fall resulting in some kind of injury, such as a broken bone (for example in a wrist, arm, or ankle), hip fracture, or head		-9 = Not ascertained -1 = Legitimate skip 1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = >25%	7.8 31.9 30.5 22.9	0.8 1.3 1.3 0.8	(if Questionnaire version = A) and FALLNUM = -9 or	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. It is possible that the same resident may have been counted more than once, if respondents counted injurious falls instead of residents having one or more such falls.
		Percent of current residents who had a fall resulting in some kind of injury in the last 90 days across all residential care communities		3.2	0.2		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases that were legitimately skipped were coded as "0" indicating that they did not have an injury-causing fall since they did not have a fall in the last 90 days. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.	
			Percent of current residents who had a fall resulting in some kind of injury in the last 90 days among all current residents who had a fall in the last 90 days		15.0	0.9		Used FALLNUM as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
/ersion A: 24b	NOTHURT	As best as you know, about how many of the residents who fell in the last 90 days are in each of the following categories? If a resident had more than one fall in the last 90 days, count only their most serious fall. Enter "0" for any categories with no residents. b. Had a fall that did not result in some kind of injury Number of residents	Percent of residential care communities, by percent of residents who had a fall that did not result in some kind of injury in the last 90 days	-9 = Not ascertained -1 = Legitimate skip 1 = None 2 = 0.1 - 25% 3 = >25%	7.9 31.9 4.2 12.2	0.8 1.3 0.7 0.8	(if Questionnaire version = A) and FALLNUM = -9 or FALLNUM >0	possible that the same resident may have been counted more than once, if respondents counted
			Percent of current residents who had a fall that did not result in some kind of injury in the last 90 days across all residential care communities		18.2	0.6		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases that were legitimately skipped were coded as "0" indicating that they did not have anon-injurious fall since the did not have a fall in the last 90 days. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
		that did not result in some kind of injury			84.4	0.9		Used FALLNUM as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communities				
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
Version A: 25	FALLHOSP	As best you know, of the residents who fell in the last 90 days, about how many went to a hospital emergency department or were hospitalized as a result of a fall? Include hospital admissions and observations stays. If a resident had more than one fall in the last 90 days, count only their more serious fall. If none, enter "0."	hospital emergency department or were hospitalized as a result of a fall in the last	-9 = Not ascertained	8.1	0.8	(if Questionnaire version = A) and FALLNUM = -9 or	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories. It is possible that the same resident may have been counted more than once, if respondents counted falls resulting in hospitalizations instead of residents having one or more such falls.
				-1 = Legitimate skip	31.9	1.3		
				1 = None	24.2	1.2		
				2 = 0.1 - 25%	25.0	0.8		
		Number of residents		3 = >25%	10.8	1.0		
		hospital e hospitaliza 90 days ac communit	Percent of current residents who went to a hospital emergency department or were hospitalized as a result of a fall in the last 90 days across all residential care communities		4.1	0.2		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases that were legitimately skipped were coded as "0" indicating that they did not go to a hospital emergency department or were hospitalized as a result of a fal since they did not have a fall in the last 90 days. Al cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
			Percent of current residents who went to ahospital emergency department or were hospitalized as a result of a fall among all current residents who had a fall in the last 90 days		19.1	1.0		Used FALLNUM as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator.
Version A: 26	FALLTOOL	Fall risk assessment tools often address gait, mobility, strength, balance, cognition, vision, medications, and environmental factors. Examples of tools include but are not limited to CDC's "Stopping Elderly Accidents, Deaths & Injuries" or STEADI; Timed Up and Go or TUG test; 30-second chair stand test; and 4-stage balance test. Does this residential care community typically evaluate each resident's risk for falling using any fall risk assessment tool?		-9 = Not ascertained	8.4	0.8	QVERSION=1	
				1 = Yes, as standard practice with every resident	42.5	1.5	version = A)	
				2 = Case by case, depending on each resident	29.8	1.4		
				3 = No	19.3	1.3		
Version A: 27	FALLREDUC	Fall reduction interventions may include but are not limited to environmental safety measures; medication reconciliation; exercise, gait, or balance training; and resident or family education. Does this residential care community currently use any formal fall reduction interventions?	Percent of residential care communities, b	-9 = Not ascertained	8.5	0.8	(if Questionnaire version = A)	
			use of formal fall reduction interventions	1 = Yes	73.3	1.3		
				2 = No	18.2	1.2		
Version B: 23	ADINFO	health care proxies, durable power of attorney, living wills, do	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	5.6	0.7	QVERSION=2 (if Questionnaire version = B)	
			provision of information about advance directives to residents or their families	1 = Yes	86.7	1.0		
				2 = No	7.7	0.8		

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
/ersion B: 24	ADSTATE	Does your state require your residential care community to	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	5.5	0.6	QVERSION=2	
		their families?	whether the state requires that residential care communities to provide information about advance directives to residents or their families	1 = Yes	56.6	1.4	(if Questionnaire version = B)	
				2 = No	18.7	1.1		
				3 = Do not know	19.2	1.1		
ersion B: 25	ADDOC	Does this residential care community typically maintain	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	5.0	0.6	QVERSION=2	
		documentation of residents' advance directives or have documentation that an advance directive exists in resident files?	maintenance of documentatiom about advance directives in resident files	1 = Yes	93.1	0.7	(if Questionnaire version = B)	
				2 = No	1.9	0.4		
ersion B: 26	advano	Of the current residents, how many have documentation of an	Percent of residential care communities, by	-9 = Not ascertained	7.3	0.7	(if Questionnaire version = B) and if ADDOC=1 or ADDOC=-9	Collected as continuous variable; recoded the variable to provide estimates in categories.
		d	percent of residents who had documentation of an advance directive on file	-1 = Legitimate skip	1.9	0.4		
				1 = None	3.0	0.5		
				2 = 0.1 - 25%	6.6	0.7		
				3 = >25%	81.2	1.1		
			Percent of current residents who had documentation of an advance directive on file across all residential care communities		76.6	1.2		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases that legitimately skipped were coded as "0" indica that they did not have documentation of adv. directives since they were in residential care community that did not typically maintain documentation for advance directives. All cawith missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculatin ratio, resulting in a difference with the result missing cases were included in the denominary.

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

			2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimat	es: Residential Care Communition	es			
QUESTION NUMBER	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED PERCENTAGES AND COUNTS*	STANDARD ERRORS**	COMMUNITIES ASKED/ RECODED	ADDITIONAL NOTES
Version B: 27	ONLYDEM	dementia or Alzheimer's disease? Yes No	Percent of residential care communities, by by whether or not they only serve residents with dementia or Alzheimer's disease Total number of licensed beds across residential care communities that only serve residents with dementia or Alzheimer's disease®	-9 = Not ascertained 1 = Yes	5.1 8.2	0.6	QVERSION=2 (if Questionnaire version = B)	
				2 = No	86.7 71,428	1.0 8504		
			Total number of current residents in residential care communities that only serve residents with dementia or Alzheimer's disease®		57,336	6654		
			Percent of current residents across all residential care communities that only serve residents with dementia or Alzheimer's disease		7.1	0.5		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator (57,336/811,451).
ersion B: 28	DEMWING	Does this residential care community have a distinct unit, wing, or floor that is designated as a dementia or Alzheimer's Special Care Unit? Yes> CONTINUENo> SKIP TO QUESTION 14a	Percent of residential care communities, by whether or not they have a distinct unit, wing, or floor that is designated as a dementia or Alzheimer's Special Care Unit	-9 = Not ascertained	6.3	0.7	QVERSION=2 (if Questionnaire version = B) and ONLYDEM =2 or -9	
				-1 = Legitimate skip	8.2	0.8		
				1 = Yes	13.4	0.8		
				2 = No	72.1	1.1		
			Total number of current residents in residential care communities that have a distinct unit, wing, or floor that is designated as a dementia or Alzheimer's Special Care Unit		271,200	7499		
			Percent of current residents across all residential care communities have a distinct unit, wing, or floor that is designated as a dementia or Alzheimer's Special Care Unit		33.4	0.9		Used TOTRES as denominator. All cases with missing data were removed from the numerator and denominator when calculating the ratio, resulting in a difference with the result if the missing cases were included in the denominator (271,200/811,451).

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

**The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

2016 NSLTCP Weighted Survey Estimates: Residential Care Communities										
QUESTION	VARIABLE NAME	SURVEY QUESTION TEXT	DATA MEASURE	CODE CATEGORIES	WEIGHTED	STANDARD		ADDITIONAL NOTES		
NUMBER					PERCENTAGES AND	ERRORS**	ASKED/ RECODED			
					COUNTS*					
Frame	Region	Census Region	Percent of residential care communities, by	1= Northeast	8.6	0.1	All			
			Census Region	2= Midwest	22.6	0.1				
				3 = South	28.0	0.1				
				4= West	40.8	0.1				
Frame	MSA	Metropolitan statistical area status	Percent of residential care communities, by	1= Metropolitan	82.5	0.6	All			
			MSA status	2= Micropolitan	10.6	0.5				
				3= Neither	6.9	0.4				

^{*}Because of rounding, weighted percentages may not add to 100%.

^{*}The standard errors (SE) were calculated using SAS-callable SUDAAN and match standard errors from STATA 14, except a few measures that are marked with @.

@ SE different in STATA.

29