



Improving the Public's Health in New Hampshire

New Hampshire Assessment of the National Public Health Performance Standards

October 11th and 12th 2005
Courtyard Marriott, Concord, NH

1) OVERVIEW OF THE STANDARDS, Liza Corso

The speaker presented a clear overview of the standards, which provided the context for the meeting.

| 1 strongly agree | 2 agree | 3 agree somewhat | 4 disagree | 5 strongly disagree |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | | | | |

2) PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENT: A National and Local Perspective on Using Performance Assessment to Create Change, Laura Landrum, Kate Kokko

The presentation was helpful in understanding how other states have used the performance standards assessment to move towards performance improvement.

| 1 strongly agree | 2 agree | 3 agree somewhat | 4 disagree | 5 strongly disagree |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | | | | |

3) ELEMENTS OF A STRONG PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM, Leslie Beitsch

This discussion was helpful to set a framework for performance standards assessment applied to the entire public health system and not just one organization.

| 1 strongly agree | 2 agree | 3 agree somewhat | 4 disagree | 5 strongly disagree |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | | | | |

4) LOGISTICS OF THE STANDARDS ASSESSMENT PROCESS, Joan Ascheim

This presentation clearly described how the standards assessment process would take place.

| 1 strongly agree | 2 agree | 3 agree somewhat | 4 disagree | 5 strongly disagree |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | | | | |

5) THE VOTING PROCESS

The process used to vote on the performance standards was effective.

| 1 strongly agree | 2 agree | 3 agree somewhat | 4 disagree | 5 strongly disagree |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | | | | |

6) DISCUSSION TIME

The time allotted for discussion of the performance standards was sufficient.

| 1 strongly agree | 2 agree | 3 agree somewhat | 4 disagree | 5 strongly disagree |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | | | | |

7) WRAP-UP, Sharing major insights and immediate improvement opportunities, Leslie Beitsch, Group Reporters

This session was useful to learn about major insights and opportunities for improvement from each group.

| 1 strongly agree | 2 agree | 3 agree somewhat | 4 disagree | 5 strongly disagree |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | |

8) OVERALL EVALUATION

Overall, this meeting was successful in assessing how NH is doing in meeting the National Public Health Performance Standards.

| 1 strongly agree | 2 agree | 3 agree somewhat | 4 disagree | 5 strongly disagree |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | | |

COMMENTS

Please share any other comments you have such as: what you liked best about the meeting, what you liked least, how we could have improved the meeting etc.

NEXT STEPS

Please share any ideas you have about using the information from this meeting to plan for improvements in the public health system.

COORDINATION OF LOCAL AND STATE PLANNING BASED UPON PUBLIC HEALTH PERFORMANCE STANDARDS ASSESSMENT

Many communities have used the local public health assessment tool and started or completed an improvement plan. Please share any ideas you have about coordinating local and state planning efforts.