

HHS Designation of Additional Members of the  
Special Exposure Cohort  
under the  
Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000

---

Designating a Class of Employees from  
Santa Susana Field Laboratory – Area IV  
Santa Susana, California



HHS Special Exposure Cohort Designation:  
Santa Susana Field Laboratory – Area IV  
Santa Susana, California

## I. Designation

I, Kathleen Sebelius, Secretary of Health and Human Services, designate the class of employees defined in Section II of this report for addition to the Special Exposure Cohort (SEC), as authorized under the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (EEOICPA), 42 U.S.C. § 7384q.

June 18, 2009  
Date

[Signature on File]  
Kathleen Sebelius

## II. Employee Class Definition

All employees of the Department of Energy (DOE), its predecessor agencies, and DOE contractors and subcontractors who worked in any area of Area IV of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory for a number of work days aggregating at least 250 work days from January 1, 1955 through December 31, 1958, or in combination with work days within the parameters established for one or more other classes of employees in the SEC.

## III. Designation Criteria and Recommendations

Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7384q, for the class defined in Section II of this report, the Secretary has determined, and the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health (Board) has recommended, that

- (1) it is not feasible to estimate with sufficient accuracy the radiation dose that the class received; and
- (2) there is a reasonable likelihood that such radiation dose may have endangered the health of members of the class.

The SEC final rule states in 42 C.F.R. § 83.13(c)(1) that it is feasible in two situations to estimate the radiation dose that the class received with sufficient accuracy. First, the rule states that radiation doses may be estimated with sufficient accuracy if NIOSH has established that it has access to sufficient information to estimate the maximum radiation dose for every type of cancer for which radiation doses are reconstructed that could have been incurred under plausible circumstances by any member of the class. Alternatively, radiation doses may be estimated with sufficient accuracy if NIOSH has established that it has access to sufficient information to estimate the radiation doses of members of the class more precisely than a maximum dose estimate.

The Board, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7384q, advised the Secretary to designate the class as an addition to the SEC in a letter received by the Secretary on May 20, 2009.

## IV. Designation Findings

### Feasibility of Estimating Radiation Doses with Sufficient Accuracy

The Secretary established the feasibility determination for the class of employees covered by this report based upon the findings summarized below.

- Principal sources of internal radiation exposures for members of the proposed class included exposures to a wide array of radionuclides, which could have occurred during the performance of reactor or accelerator operations, or the performance of research and development activities at the site.
- NIOSH has documented that it cannot complete the dose reconstructions related to this petition with sufficient accuracy. The basis of this finding demonstrates that NIOSH does not have access to sufficient information either to estimate the maximum radiation dose incurred by any member of the class or to estimate such radiation doses more precisely than a maximum dose estimate.
- NIOSH has concluded that it cannot reconstruct pre-1959 internal doses for the evaluated worker class at Area IV of SSFL. NIOSH does not have access to sufficient personnel monitoring, workplace monitoring, or source term data to bound potential internal exposures from the various radionuclides for the evaluated worker class at Area IV of SSFL during the period from January 1, 1955 through December 31, 1958. Consequently, NIOSH finds that it is not feasible to estimate, with sufficient accuracy, the total internal dose for the class of employees covered by this evaluation.
- NIOSH has access to sufficient personnel monitoring and workplace monitoring data to bound potential external exposures for workers at Area IV of SSFL during the period from January 1, 1955 through December 31, 1958. NIOSH also finds it is feasible to reconstruct occupational medical dose with sufficient accuracy for workers at Area IV of SSFL.
- Pursuant to 42 C.F.R. § 83.13(c)(1), NIOSH determined that there is insufficient information to either: (1) estimate the maximum radiation dose, for every type of cancer for which radiation doses are reconstructed, that could have been incurred under plausible circumstances by any member of the class; or (2) estimate the radiation doses of members of the class more precisely than a maximum dose estimate.
- The Board concurred with the NIOSH evaluation and recommended the proposed class for addition to the SEC.
- Although NIOSH found that it is not possible to completely reconstruct radiation doses for these employees, NIOSH intends to use any available internal and external monitoring data that may be available for an individual claim (and can be interpreted using existing NIOSH dose reconstruction processes or procedures). Further, NIOSH has determined that occupational medical dose for all workers can be reconstructed. Therefore, partial dose reconstructions for individuals with

non-presumptive cancers or fewer than 250 work days' employment in the class period may be performed using these data as appropriate.

### Health Endangerment

The Secretary established the health endangerment determination for the class of employees covered by this report based upon the findings summarized below.

- (1) Pursuant to 42 C.F.R. § 83.13(c)(3), NIOSH established that there is a reasonable likelihood that such radiation doses may have endangered the health of members of the class. Pursuant to 42 C.F.R. § 83.13(c)(3)(ii), NIOSH specified a minimum duration of employment to satisfy this health endangerment criterion as "having been employed for a number of work days aggregating at least 250 work days within the parameters established for this class or in combination with work days within the parameters (excluding aggregate work day requirements) established for one or more other classes of employees in the Cohort."
- (2) NIOSH did not identify any evidence from the petitioners or from other resources that would establish that the class was exposed to radiation during a discrete incident likely to have involved exceptionally high-level exposures, such as a nuclear criticality incident, as defined under 42 C.F.R. § 83.13(c)(3)(i).
- (3) The Board concurred with NIOSH's finding that the health of the class may have been endangered and defined the class according to the 250-work day requirement specified under 42 C.F.R. § 83.13(c)(3)(ii).

## V. Effect and Effective Date of Designation

The Secretary submits this report on the designation of one additional class to the SEC for review by Congress, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 7384/(14)(C)(ii) and 7384q(c)(2)(A), as amended by the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005, Pub. L. No. 108-375 (codified as amended in scattered sections of 42 U.S.C.). Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7384/(14)(C)(ii), as amended by the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005, Pub. L. No. 108-375 (codified as amended in scattered sections of 42 U.S.C.), the designation in this report will become effective 30 days after the date of this report's submission to Congress "unless Congress otherwise provides."

## VI. Administrative Review of Designation

The health endangerment determination of the designation provided in this report may be subject to an administrative review within HHS, pursuant to 42 C.F.R. § 83.18(a). On the basis of such a review, if the Secretary decides to expand the class of employees covered by this designation, the Secretary would transmit a supplementary report to Congress providing the expanded employee class definition and the criteria and findings on which the decision was based.