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#### Abstract

Objectives-This report presents preliminary data for 2002 on births in the United States. U.S. data on births are shown by age, race, and Hispanic origin of mother. Data on marital status, prenatal care, cesarean delivery, preterm births, and low birthweight are also presented.

Methods-Data in this report are based on nearly 98 percent of births for 2002. The records are weighted to independent control counts of all births received in State vital statistics offices in 2002. Comparisons are made with 2001 final data.

Results-The crude birth rate was 13.9 per 1,000 population in 2002, a decrease of 1 percent from 2001 (14.1). This is the lowest birth rate reported for the United States since national data have been available. The fertility rate was also down 1 percent in 2002 to 64.8 births per 1,000 women aged $15-44$ years. Since 1990, this rate has declined 9 percent. The birth rate for teenagers continued to decline in 2002, dropping 5 percent to 42.9 births per 1,000 women aged 15-19 years. The teenage birth rate has dropped 28 percent since 1990. The rate for younger teenagers 15-17 years fell 6 percent from 24.7 per 1,000 in 2001 to 23.2 in 2002. The rate for older teenagers 18-19 years declined 4 percent from 76.1 per 1,000 in 2001 to 72.7 in 2002. Since 1990, the rate for teenagers 15-17 years has fallen 38 percent and the rate for teenagers $18-19$ years, 18 percent. The birth rate for women aged 20-24 years declined by 3 percent to 103.5 per 1,000 in 2002 compared with 2001, whereas the rate for women aged 25-29 years was essentially unchanged (113.6). The birth rate for women aged $30-34$ years decreased slightly from 91.9 per 1,000 in 2001 to 91.6 in 2002. Birth rates for women aged 35-39 years and 40-44 years continued to rise, increasing 2 percent for both. Childbearing among women over 45 years of age was unchanged. The birth rate for unmarried women was down slightly in 2002 to 43.6 births per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years. The number of births to unmarried women increased by 1 percent in 2002; however births to unmarried teenagers declined by 4 percent. Prenatal care utilization continued to slowly but steadily improve; 83.8 percent of women began prenatal care in the first trimester of pregnancy in 2002 compared with 83.4 in 2001. More than one fourth of all births ( 26.1 percent) were cesarean deliveries in 2002, the highest rate ever reported in the United States; the



${ }_{2}^{1}{ }^{1}$ Per 100 births.
2Per 100 births to women with no previous cesarean.
${ }^{3}$ Per 100 births to women with a previous cesarean.
Figure 1. Total and primary cesarean rate and vaginal birth after previous cesarean (VBAC) rate: United States, 1989-2002
primary cesarean rate jumped 7 percent to 18 percent and the rate of vaginal births after previous cesarean delivery plummeted 23 percent to 12.7 percent (figure 1). Preterm ( 12.0 percent) and low birthweight ( 7.8 percent) rates were up slightly for 2002. The low birthweight rate is the highest reported in more than three decades.
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## Introduction

This report presents preliminary data on births based on a substantial proportion of vital records occurring in 2002. For data years 1995-98, reports in the preliminary series included data for both births and deaths. Beginning with data year 1999, birth and death data are published separately. The preliminary report series includes detailed tabulations from the preliminary natality file. For most measures, trends shown in the preliminary reports for 1995-2001 births were confirmed by the final statistics for each year (1-5).
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## Sources and Methods

The preliminary data in this series are based on records of births that occurred during 2002 and were received and had undergone quality control by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Center for Health Statistics as of March 7, 2003. This represents nearly 98 percent of the births that occurred in the United States during this 12 -month period.

To produce the preliminary estimates shown in this report, records in the file were weighted using independent control counts of all 2002 births by State of occurrence. Preliminary estimates are subject to sampling variation as well as random variation.

In addition to national and State estimates of total births and birth and fertility rates, this report includes preliminary statistics on births by maternal age, marital status, race, Hispanic origin, live-birth order, and selected maternal and infant health characteristics, including receipt of prenatal care, cesarean delivery, preterm birth, and low birthweight.

Race and Hispanic origin are reported as separate items on the birth certificate. Therefore, births shown by race may be of Hispanic or non-Hispanic origin, and births of Hispanic origin may be of any race. All tabulations in this report show data separately for the non-Hispanic white population as well as for the white population as a whole. Although the overwhelming majority of Hispanic-origin births (approximately 98 percent in 2001) are to white women, there are notable differences in childbearing patterns between Hispanic and nonHispanic white women. About one in four white births are to Hispanic women. For this preliminary report, data are not shown separately for non-Hispanic black persons because the great majority (more than 97 percent in 2001) of black births are to non-Hispanic persons and, thus, the difference in the statistics for the two groups is minimal. The reports, "Births: Final Data for 2001" and "Revised Birth and Fertility Rates for the United States, 2000 and 2001," show data for these groups separately $(1,6)$.

State-specific preliminary data are shown only for those States and areas for which at least 75 percent of the records for 2002 were received and had undergone quality control by March 7, 2003 (i.e., were processed). (See "Technical Notes.") All States met this requirement for 2002. The proportion of records processed is shown by State in table I in the "Technical Notes." Preliminary data for 2002 are not available for American Samoa and the Northern Marianas; final data for 2001 for these territories are available and shown in the Statespecific tables. Data for territories are shown separately but are not included in the data for the United States, which includes information for the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Detailed information on the nature, sources, and qualifications of the preliminary data is given in the "Technical Notes."

The population estimates which were produced under a collaborative arrangement with the U.S. Census Bureau and based on the 2000 census counts by age, race, and sex, have been modified to be consistent with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) racial categories as of 1977 (see "Technical Notes"). This was necessary because birth certificates currently collect only one race for each parent in the same categories as specified in the 1977 OMB guidelines. The population data collected in the 2000 census, according to the revised guidelines issued in 1997 by OMB, included an option for individuals to report more than one race as appropriate for themselves and household members (as well as reporting Asian persons separately from Native Hawaiians or Other Pacific Islanders) and, thus, were incompatible with the birth certificate data.

Population denominators used for calculating the rates in this report for 2000-2002 are estimates based on the 2000 census. Population estimates for 2002 for the United States by race and Hispanic origin and population estimates for 2001 and 2002 for the territories were not available at the time this report was prepared, and therefore race-specific rates could not be calculated. The Internet release of this report will be updated to include these rates when these population estimates become available. Birth and fertility rates by race and Hispanic origin and for the territories will be reported in "Births: Final Data for 2002."

## Results

## Trends in numbers and rates

The preliminary number of births in the United States was 4,019,280 in 2002, less than 1 percent lower than the final number for 2001 (4,025,933) (tables A and 1). The number of births to nonHispanic white and black women decreased 1 and 3 percent, respectively. In contrast, the number of births increased 1 percent for American Indian women and 5 percent for Asian or Pacific Islander women. The number of births to Hispanic women increased 2 percent. The crude birth rate was 13.9 births per 1,000 people in 2002 , compared with 14.1 in 2001, a decline of 1 percent (6). This is the lowest birth rate reported for the United States since national data have been available. The crude rate has generally trended downward over the past decade, declining 17 percent since 1990. The general fertility rate relates births to the number of women in their childbearing ages, 15-44 years, and is thus more indicative of changes in fertility behavior than is the crude birth rate. The fertility rate was 64.8 in 2002, 1 percent lower than the rate for 2001 (65.3) (6). Like the crude birth rate, the fertility rate has also generally trended downward over the past decade, declining 9 percent since 1990. (See tables 1-4 for number of births, birth rates, and fertility rates.)

Crude birth rates between 2001 and 2002 decreased in 27 States and the District of Columbia, increased in 14 States, and were unchanged in 9 States. Fertility rates declined in 25 States and the District of Columbia, with significant drops noted for Ohio, South Carolina, Alabama, Indiana, Illinois, Georgia, Michigan, Florida, and California. Fertility rates increased in the other 25 States, however, these increases were significant only for Wyoming, West Virginia, Colorado, and New York. Fertility rates vary considerably from State to State. In 2002 fertility rates ranged from a high of 90.6 births per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years in Utah, to a low of 48.9 in Vermont. Indiana and Wyoming, with rates of 64.4 and 63.6, respectively, were the most similar to the national rate of 64.8.

The birth rate for teenagers declined in 2002 to 42.9 births per 1,000 women aged 15-19 years, 5 percent lower than in 2001 (45.3) and 10 percent below the 2000 rate (47.7) (tables B, 1, and figure 2) (6). The teenage birth rate dropped 28 percent between 1990 and 2002 according to preliminary data. The birth rate for the youngest teenage group, 10-14 years, also declined in 2002, to 0.7 births per 1,000 females, compared with 0.8 in 2001 and 0.9 in 2000. The number of births to females aged 10-14 years declined 6 percent from 2001 to 2002, to 7,318 , the fewest reported in more than 40 years $(6,780$ in 1960). Birth rates for teenagers 15-17 and 18-19 years continued their steady decline. The rate for ages 15-17 years was 23.2 per 1,000

## Table A. Total births and percent of births with selected demographic and health characteristics, by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, final 2001 and preliminary 2002

[Figures for 2002 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual]

| Characteristic | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| Births | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4,019,280 | 4,025,933 | 3,176,059 | 3,177,626 | 2,303,561 | 2,326,578 | 590,519 | 606,156 | 872,236 | 851,851 |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Births to unmarried mothers | 33.8 | 33.5 | 28.4 | 27.7 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 68.0 | 68.4 | 43.4 | 42.5 |
| Low birthweight ${ }^{4}$ | 7.8 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Very low birthweight ${ }^{5}$. . | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 1.2 | 1.1 |
| Total cesarean delivery rate ${ }^{6}$ | 26.1 | 24.4 | 25.9 | 24.3 | 26.2 | 24.5 | 27.6 | 25.9 | 25.2 | 23.6 |
| Primary cesarean rate ${ }^{7}$ | 18.0 | 16.9 | 17.7 | 16.7 | 18.3 | 17.2 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 16.1 | 15.2 |
| VBAC rate ${ }^{8}$. . . . . . . | 12.7 | 16.4 | 12.4 | 16.2 | 12.8 | 16.8 | 13.3 | 16.7 | 11.5 | 14.7 |
| Prenatal care beginning in first trimester . | 83.8 | 83.4 | 85.5 | 85.2 | 88.7 | 88.5 | 75.2 | 74.5 | 76.8 | 75.7 |
| Prenatal care beginning in third trimester or no care | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 6.2 | 6.5 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| Preterm ${ }^{9}$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 12.0 | 11.9 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.8 | 17.5 | 17.5 | 11.6 | 11.4 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see "Technical Notes."
${ }^{3}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see "Technical Notes."
${ }^{4}$ Birthweight of less than 2,500 grams ( 5 lb 8 oz ).
${ }^{5}$ Birthweight of less than 1,500 grams ( 3 lb 4 oz ).
${ }^{6}$ Total births by cesarean as percent of all births.
${ }^{7}$ Number of primary cesareans per 100 live births to women who have not had a previous cesarean.
${ }^{8}$ Number of vaginal births after previous cesarean delivery per 100 live births to women with a previous cesarean delivery.
${ }^{9}$ Percent of births less than 37 completed weeks of gestation.
in 2002, down 6 percent from 2001 (24.7) and 14 percent from 2000 (26.9). The rate for older teenagers 18-19 years in 2002 was 72.7 per 1,000, 4 percent lower than in 2001 (76.1) and 7 percent lower than in 2000 (78.1). Between 1990 and 2002, the rate for teenagers 15-17 years fell 38 percent, and the rate for teenagers 18-19 years declined 18 percent. Teenage birth rates traditionally differ considerably by race and Hispanic origin (table B). Rates for 2002 will be published when the necessary population denominators become available; see "Sources and Methods" and "Technical Notes."

Birth rates for women in their twenties, the ages at which rates are historically the highest, were 103.5 per 1,000 for women aged $20-24$ years and 113.6 for women aged $25-29$ years in 2002 (table 1). The rate for women aged 20-24 years decreased 3 percent from 106.2 in 2001; the rate for women aged 25-29 years (113.6), however, was essentially unchanged in 2002.

The birth rate for women aged 30-34 years decreased slightly, from 91.9 births per 1,000 women in 2001 to 91.6 in 2002. The birth rates for women aged 35-39 and 40-44 years continued to increase in 2002. The rate rose 2 percent for women aged 35-39 years (from 40.6 to 41.4 per 1,000). The birth rate for women aged 40-44 years also increased 2 percent from 8.1 in 2001 to 8.3 in 2002. The rate for women aged $45-54$ years remained at 0.5 .

Reflecting in large part the continued decline in teenage birth rates, the proportion of all births to women under 20 years of age declined 5 percent, from 11.3 to 10.7 between 2001 and 2002 (table 1).

The decline observed in the first birth rates for women under 25 years of age from 2000 to 2001 continued in 2002, dropping 13 percent for mothers aged 10-14 years, 5 percent for those aged 15-19 years, and 2 percent for women aged 20-24 years. Reversing the previous year's decline, the rate for women aged 25-29 years increased in 2002
to 40.7. The first birth rate for women aged 30-34 years, which increased from 2000 to 2001, remained stable at 26.6 from 2001 to 2002. However, the rates for women aged $35-39$ years continued to increase, as did the rate for women aged 40-44 years by 2 and 6 percent, respectively. The rate for women aged 45-49 years was unchanged. Overall, the first birth rate for women aged 15-44 years decreased 1 percent between 2001 and 2002, from 26.0 to 25.8 first births per 1,000 women (table 3).

The total fertility rate (TFR) for 2002 was 2,012.5, 1 percent lower than in 2001 (2,034.0) (tabular data not shown). The TFR summarizes the potential impact of current fertility patterns on completed family size. The TFR estimates the number of births that a hypothetical group of 1,000 women would have if they experienced throughout their childbearing years the age-specific birth rates observed in a given year. The decline in 2002 marks the third consecutive drop in the rate. The rate has fallen 3 percent since 1990.

The number of births to unmarried women increased about 1 percent in 2002, to a preliminary total of $1,358,768$, compared with $1,349,249$ in 2001. The increase from 2001 to 2002 is due entirely to the growth in the population of unmarried women of reproductive age (7). The birth rate for unmarried women was 43.6 per 1,000 unmarried women aged 15-44 years in 2002, down slightly from 2001, 43.8 (6).

The proportion of births to unmarried women increased in 2002 to 33.8 percent, compared with 33.5 percent in 2001. The proportion has changed relatively little since 1994, ranging from 32.2 to 33.8 percent. Between 2001 and 2002, the proportions increased for non-Hispanic white births, from 22.5 to 22.9 percent, and for Hispanic births, from 42.5 to 43.4 percent. The proportion declined for black births, from 68.4 to 68.0 percent (tables $A$ and 5 ).

Table B. Birth rates for women aged 15-19 years, by age, race, and Hispanic origin: United States, final 1990, 2000, and 2001, and preliminary 2002, and percent change in rates, 1990-2002
[Rates per 1,000 women in specified group]

| Age and race and Hispanic origin of mother | 2002 | 2001 | 2000 | 1990 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent } \\ \text { change, } \\ \text { 1990-2002 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races 1. | 42.9 | 45.3 | 47.7 | 59.9 | -28.4 |
| White, total ${ }^{2}$ | 39.4 | 41.2 | 43.2 | 50.8 | -22.4 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 28.6 | 30.3 | 32.6 | 42.5 | -32.7 |
| Black, total ${ }^{2}$ | 66.2 | 71.8 | 77.4 | 112.8 | -41.3 |
| American Indian, total ${ }^{2}$ | 53.8 | 56.3 | 58.3 | 81.1 | -33.7 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{2}$ | 18.3 | 19.8 | 20.5 | 26.4 | -30.7 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$. | 82.9 | 86.4 | 87.3 | 100.3 | -17.3 |
| 15-17 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races ${ }^{1}$ | 23.2 | 24.7 | 26.9 | 37.5 | -38.1 |
| White, total ${ }^{2}$ | 20.5 | 21.4 | 23.3 | 29.5 | -30.5 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 13.2 | 14.0 | 15.8 | 23.2 | -43.1 |
| Black, total ${ }^{2}$ | 39.7 | 43.9 | 49.0 | 82.3 | -51.8 |
| American Indian, total ${ }^{2}$ | 30.7 | 31.4 | 34.1 | 48.5 | -36.7 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{2}$ | 9.0 | 10.3 | 11.6 | 16.0 | -43.8 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$. | 50.3 | 52.8 | 55.5 | 65.9 | -23.7 |
| 18-19 years |  |  |  |  |  |
| All races ${ }^{1}$ | 72.7 | 76.1 | 78.1 | 88.6 | -17.9 |
| White, total ${ }^{2}$ | 68.0 | 70.8 | 72.3 | 78.0 | -12.8 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 52.0 | 54.8 | 57.5 | 66.6 | -21.9 |
| Black, total ${ }^{2}$ | 107.1 | 114.0 | 118.8 | 152.9 | -30.0 |
| American Indian, total ${ }^{2}$ | 89.2 | 94.8 | 97.1 | 129.3 | -31.0 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{2}$ | 31.5 | 32.8 | 32.6 | 40.2 | -21.6 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{3}$. | 132.2 | 135.5 | 132.6 | 147.7 | -10.5 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes races other than white and black.
${ }^{2}$ Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see "Technical Notes."
${ }^{3}$ Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes.

Births to unmarried teenagers declined in 2002 for the fourth consecutive year. The number of births to unmarried women under age 20 years fell 4 percent between 2001 and 2002; births to teenagers under age 15 years dropped 5 percent (table C). In spite of these continued reductions in the number of births to unmarried teenagers, the proportions of nonmarital births among teenagers increased slightly in 2002. The proportions continued to increase because total births to

## Table C. Number and percent of births to unmarried women, all ages and women under 20 years: United States, final 2001 and preliminary 2002

[Figures for 2002 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual]

| Age of mother | Number |  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| All ages | 1,358,768 | 1,349,249 | 33.8 | 33.5 |
| Under 20 years . | 345,756 | 359,520 | 80.0 | 79.2 |
| Under 15 years. | 7,087 | 7,494 | 96.8 | 96.3 |
| 15-19 years | 338,669 | 352,026 | 79.7 | 78.9 |
| 15-17 years | 122,202 | 127,638 | 88.4 | 87.8 |
| 18-19 years | 216,467 | 224,388 | 75.6 | 74.6 |

teenagers declined even more than births to unmarried teenagers (see table 1). Birth rates for unmarried teenagers are not yet available; see "Technical Notes."

State-specific proportions of births to unmarried women are shown in table 5. Changes between 2001 and 2002 were generally small. Between 2001 and 2002, the proportion increased in 41 States, declined in 8 States and the District of Columbia, and was unchanged in 1 State.

The percent low birthweight (LBW) (infants born at less than 2,500 grams) increased to 7.8 for 2002 from 7.7 for 2001, the highest level in more than three decades ( 7.9 percent in 1970). The rate of LBW declined in the 1970s and early 1980s, but has been on the rise since the mid-1980s ( 6.7 percent in 1984). (See tables A and 6 for 2001 and 2002 data.) This upward trend is strongly influenced by the climb in the multiple birth rate (twins and higher order multiples tend to be born earlier and smaller than singletons) (1). The percent of infants born very low birthweight (VLBW) (infants born at less than 1,500 grams) was essentially unchanged from the previous year at 1.45 percent and has been quite stable since 1998. VLBW levels in the 1970s and early 1980s were under 1.2 percent.

Between 2001 and 2002, LBW among births to non-Hispanic white women increased from 6.8 to 6.9 percent; this rate is up 23 percent since 1990 (from 5.6 percent). Much of the rise in non-Hispanic white LBW can be explained by the dramatic upswing in multiple births-twins and other higher order multiple births are much more likely than singletons to weigh less than 2,500 grams at birth (8). LBW incidence was also up for births to black mothers for 2002, rising to 13.3 percent compared with 13.0 percent for 2001. LBW had declined modestly among black infants during the early 1990s (from 13.6 percent), but was stable for 1995-2001. Among births to Hispanic women, the LBW rate was unchanged for the current year at 6.5 percent.

The rate of preterm birth, that is, infants born at less than 37 completed weeks of gestation, increased very slightly for 2002 to 12.0 percent, from 11.9 for 2001 (table A). The preterm birth rate, also influenced by the rise in the rate of multiple births, has risen 28 percent since 1981 ( 9.4 percent) (1). Between 2001 and 2002, the preterm birth rate rose from 10.8 to 11.0 percent for births to non-Hispanic white women and from 11.4 to 11.6 percent for births to Hispanic women; the preterm rate for births to black women was stable at 17.5 percent. Most of the overall increase in preterm rates can be attributed to the steady increase in shorter gestation births among non-Hispanic white women, up 31 percent, since 1989 (from 8.4 percent). Despite this rise, rates for non-Hispanic white births remain lower than those for black or Hispanic births.

More than one-fourth of all births were delivered in a cesarean delivery in 2002, the highest level ever reported in the United States $(1,9)$. The total cesarean rate rose to 26.1 percent for 2002, an increase of 7 percent over 2001 (24.4) (table A). The rate of cesarean delivery declined during the late 1980s through the mid-1990s, but has climbed 26 percent since 1996 ( 20.7 percent) (figure 1). The rise in the overall cesarean rate for recent years reflects both the sizable increase in the primary cesarean rate, and the very steep drop in the rate of vaginal births after previous cesareans.

The primary cesarean rate (births to women with no previous cesarean) increased 7 percent from the previous year to 18.0 percent for 2002, also the highest level ever reported for the country. The primary cesarean rate has risen 23 percent from the low of 14.6 percent reported for 1996-97.


NOTE: Rates for 2000 and 2001 have been revised and may differ from rates previously published.
Figure 2. Birth rates for teenagers 15-19 years, by age of mother: United States, 1990, 2000, 2001, and 2002

The rate of vaginal births after previous cesarean (VBAC) delivery tumbled again for the current year, dropping 23 percent between 2001-2002, from 16.4 to 12.7 percent per 100 women with a previous cesarean delivery. This follows a fall of 20 percent for 2000-2001. The VBAC rate rose 50 percent between 1989 and 1996, but has plummeted 55 percent from the 1996 high ( 28.3 percent).

Preliminary data indicate that the total cesarean delivery rate increased for each State and the District of Columbia for 2002 (table 7). For the Nation as a whole, total cesarean rates increased 7 percent among non-Hispanic white ( 26.2 percent for 2002), black (27.6 percent) and Hispanic women ( 25.2 percent) for 2001-2002. Increases in the total cesarean rate of about 25 percent are observed for each group for the period 1996-2002.

Women were slightly more likely to begin prenatal care in their first trimester of pregnancy in 2002; 83.8 percent received timely care compared with 83.4 percent in 2001. Timely prenatal care has risen 11 percent since 1990 ( 75.8 percent) (1). The percent of women with late (care beginning in the third trimester of pregnancy) or no care was 3.6 percent for 2002, compared with 3.7 percent for 2001, and 6.1 percent for 1990. (See tables A and 8 for 2001 and 2002 data.)

Prenatal care utilization improved for each of the three largest racial/ethnic groups for 2001-2002. Timely care increased slightly for non-Hispanic white women (from 88.5 to 88.7 percent between 2001 and 2002), whereas somewhat larger increases were observed for black ( 74.5 to 75.2 percent) and Hispanic mothers ( 75.7 to 76.8 percent). The percent of black and Hispanic mothers with late or no care also improved for 2002; since 1990 the proportion of black mothers with late or no care has dropped from 11.3 to 6.2 percent and for Hispanic mothers from 12.0 to 5.5 percent.
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Table 1. Births and birth rates, by age, race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States,
final 2001 and preliminary 2002 final 2001 and preliminary 2002
[Data for 2002 are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Figures for 2002 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to totals. Rates per 1,000 women in specified age and racial group]

| Age and race/Hispanic origin | 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Rate | Number | Rate |

All races

| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 4,01 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years |  |
| 15-19 years | 42 |
| 15-17 years | 13 |
| 18-19 years | 28 |
| 20-24 years | 1,02 |
| 25-29 years | 1,06 |
| 30-34 years | 95 |
| 35-39 years | 45 |
| 40-44 years | 9 |
| $45-54$ years ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| White, total ${ }^{3}$ |  |


| Total ${ }^{1}$......................................... | 3,176,059 | 64.8 | 3,177,626 | 65.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years ............................... | 3,879 | 0.5 | 4,095 | 0.5 |
| 15-19 years ................................. | 305,749 | 39.4 | 318,563 | 41.2 |
| 15-17 years ............................... | 95,702 | 20.5 | 99,192 | 21.4 |
| 18-19 years ............................... | 210,047 | 68.0 | 219,371 | 70.8 |
| 20-24 years ................................. | 783,248 | 101.6 | 779,529 | 103.7 |
| 25-29 years ................................ | 851,680 | 117.5 | 850,343 | 117.0 |
| 30-34 years ................................. | 780,503 | 95.6 | 777,294 | 95.8 |
| 35-39 years ................................. | 369,685 | 42.3 | 368,816 | 41.3 |
| 40-44 years ................................ | 76,884 | 8.2 | 74,856 | 8.0 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$.............................. | 4,430 | 0.5 | 4,130 | 0.5 |

White, non-Hispanic

| Total ${ }^{1}$........................................ | 2,303,561 | 57.5 | 2,326,578 | 57.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years .............................. | 1,502 | 0.2 | 1,581 | 0.3 |
| 15-19 years ................................. | 180,039 | 28.6 | 190,161 | 30.3 |
| 15-17 years ............................... | 49,944 | 13.2 | 52,712 | 14.0 |
| 18-19 years | 130,095 | 52.0 | 137,449 | 54.8 |
| 20-24 years ............................... | 520,581 | 84.5 | 523,027 | 87.1 |
| 25-29 years ................................. | 616,551 | 109.6 | 622,361 | 108.9 |
| 30-34 years | 621,790 | 94.7 | 625,435 | 94.3 |
| 35-39 years ................................. | 297,627 | 40.9 | 300,007 | 39.8 |
| 40-44 years ................................ | 61,857 | 7.6 | 60,614 | 7.5 |
| $45-54$ years ${ }^{2}$ | 3,613 | 0.5 | 3,392 | 0.4 |
| Black, total ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$........................................ | 590,519 | 65.4 | 606,156 | 67.6 |
| 10-14 years ................................. | 3,195 | 1.8 | 3,455 | 2.0 |
| 15-19 years ................................ | 103,221 | 66.2 | 110,843 | 71.8 |
| 15-17 years .............................. | 37,619 | 39.7 | 40,842 | 43.9 |
| 18-19 years .............................. | 65,603 | 107.1 | 70,001 | 114.0 |
| 20-24 years | 193,621 | 126.4 | 199,221 | 133.2 |
| 25-29 years ................................. | 135,921 | 98.5 | 137,400 | 99.2 |
| 30-34 years ................................ | 94,550 | 64.1 | 94,660 | 64.8 |
| 35-39 years ................................ | 48,078 | 31.3 | 49,065 | 31.6 |
| 40-44 years | 11,363 | 7.4 | 11,001 | 7.2 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$.............................. | 569 | 0.4 | 511 | 0.4 |
| American Indian, total 3,4 |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$........................................ | 42,399 | 58.0 | 41,872 | 58.1 |
| 10-14 years ................................ | 131 | 0.9 | 145 | 1.0 |
| 15-19 years ................................ | 7,707 | 53.8 | 7,939 | 56.3 |
| 15-17 years .............................. | 2,660 | 30.7 | 2,695 | 31.4 |
| 18-19 years ............................... | 5,047 | 89.2 | 5,244 | 94.8 |
| 20-24 years ................................. | 14,361 | 112.7 | 14,071 | 115.0 |
| 25-29 years ................................ | 10,141 | 91.8 | 9,878 | 90.4 |
| 30-34 years ................................ | 6,337 | 56.4 | 6,190 | 55.9 |
| 35-39 years ................................ | 2,986 | 25.4 | 2,940 | 24.7 |
| 40-44 years ............................... | 705 | 5.9 | 674 | 5.7 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$.............................. | 31 | 0.3 | 35 | 0.3 |

[^0]Table 1. Births and birth rates, by age, race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, final 2001 and preliminary 2002 - Continued
[Data for 2002 are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Figures for 2002 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to totals. Rates per 1,000 women in specified age and racial group]

| Age and race/Hispanic origin | 2002 |  | 2001 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Rate | Number | Rate |

Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{3}$

| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 210,303 | 63.9 | 200,279 | 64.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-14 years ................................. | 113 | 0.3 | 86 | 0.2 |
| 15-19 years ............................... | 7,993 | 18.3 | 8,599 | 19.8 |
| 15-17 years .............................. | 2,316 | 9.0 | 2,595 | 10.3 |
| 18-19 years ........................... | 5,676 | 31.5 | 6,004 | 32.8 |
| 20-24 years | 29,952 | 60.2 | 28,806 | 59.1 |
| 25-29 years | 62,391 | 105.2 | 60,644 | 106.4 |
| 30-34 years. | 70,166 | 109.3 | 64,553 | 112.6 |
| 35-39 years | 32,588 | 56.3 | 30,902 | 56.7 |
| 40-44 years | 6,675 | 12.4 | 6,282 | 12.3 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$.............................. | 426 | 0.9 | 407 | 0.9 |
| Hispanic ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }^{1}$ | 872,236 | 94.0 | 851,851 | 96.0 |
| 10-14 years | 2,406 | 1.4 | 2,555 | 1.6 |
| 15-19 years ............................... | 127,055 | 82.9 | 130,007 | 86.4 |
| 15-17 years | 46,365 | 50.3 | 47,124 | 52.8 |
| 18-19 years | 80,690 | 132.2 | 82,883 | 135.5 |
| 20-24 years | 263,924 | 163.5 | 258,431 | 163.5 |
| 25-29 years ................................. | 234,974 | 138.7 | 227,910 | 140.4 |
| 30-34 years ................................. | 157,196 | 94.7 | 150,352 | 97.6 |
| 35-39 years | 71,156 | 47.6 | 67,952 | 47.9 |
| 40-44 years ................................. | 14,765 | 11.5 | 13,956 | 11.6 |
| 45-54 years ${ }^{2}$............................. | 760 | 0.7 | 688 | 0.7 |

1 --- Data not available. regardless of age of mother, per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years.
2 The number of births shown is the total for women aged $45-54$ years. The birth rate is computed by relating the number of births to women aged $45-54$ years to women aged 45-49 years, because most of the births in this group are to women aged 45-49.
3 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical Notes.
4 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
5 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes.
NOTES: For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion; see Technical Notes. Rates for 2001 may differ from those published in "Births; Final Data for 2001," but are consistent with those published in "Revised Birth and Fertility Rates for the 1990s and New Rates for Hispanic Populations, 2000 and 2001: United States." Rates by race and Hispanic origin for 2002 are based on population estimates provided by the U.S. Census Bureau
(U.S. Census Bureau. Unpublished census file. est_vin02_02.txt. Estimate of the United States population by State, age, race, Hispanic origin and sex: 2002.

Washington: U.S. Census Bureau.)

Table 2. Live births by age of mother, live-birth order, and race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, preliminary 2002
[Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Figures are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to totals]

| Live-birth order and race/Hispanic origin of mother | All ages | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Under 15 years | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | 45-54 years |
| All races | 4,019,280 | 7,318 | 424,670 | 1,021,182 | 1,060,133 | 951,555 | 453,338 | 95,627 | 5,456 |
| 1st child | 1,594,131 | 7,156 | 335,481 | 472,776 | 378,821 | 276,206 | 101,968 | 20,363 | 1,360 |
| 2d child | 1,307,273 | 141 | 74,377 | 349,481 | 362,676 | 343,357 | 148,977 | 26,923 | 1,343 |
| 3d child | 674,636 | 3 | 12,071 | 141,994 | 198,806 | 195,466 | 105,397 | 19,973 | 927 |
| 4th child and over | 433,038 | 1 | 1,692 | 54,444 | 117,271 | 134,163 | 95,685 | 27,986 | 1,795 |
| Not stated .......................................... | 10,203 | 18 | 1,049 | 2,488 | 2,560 | 2,363 | 1,311 | 382 | 32 |
| White, total ${ }^{1}$..................................... | 3,176,059 | 3,879 | 305,749 | 783,248 | 851,680 | 780,503 | 369,685 | 76,884 | 4,430 |
| 1st child | 1,259,144 | 3,782 | 245,206 | 373,744 | 308,916 | 226,249 | 83,510 | 16,589 | 1,149 |
| 2d child | 1,050,994 | 84 | 51,371 | 271,777 | 298,524 | 285,231 | 121,133 | 21,772 | 1,103 |
| 3d child | 536,533 | 3 | 7,469 | 102,278 | 159,320 | 163,081 | 87,637 | 15,994 | 750 |
| 4th child and over | 321,652 | - | 936 | 33,619 | 83,002 | 104,093 | 76,366 | 22,231 | 1,405 |
| Not stated ......................................... | 7,736 | 10 | 767 | 1,830 | 1,919 | 1,849 | 1,039 | 297 | 24 |
| White, non-Hispanic ............................ | 2,303,561 | 1,502 | 180,039 | 520,581 | 616,551 | 621,790 | 297,627 | 61,857 | 3,613 |
| 1st child | 940,601 | 1,471 | 149,005 | 260,025 | 246,818 | 195,129 | 72,610 | 14,525 | 1,018 |
| 2d child | 783,345 | 28 | 26,900 | 177,449 | 217,233 | 238,440 | 103,481 | 18,839 | 976 |
| 3d child | 371,494 | 2 | 3,391 | 63,221 | 102,632 | 120,137 | 68,736 | 12,786 | 590 |
| 4th child and over | 203,316 | - | 362 | 18,868 | 48,696 | 66,798 | 52,083 | 15,497 | 1,011 |
| Not stated ......................................... | 4,804 | 2 | 381 | 1,017 | 1,171 | 1,286 | 717 | 210 | 18 |
| Black, total ${ }^{1}$...................................... | 590,519 | 3,195 | 103,221 | 193,621 | 135,921 | 94,550 | 48,078 | 11,363 | 569 |
| 1st child | 221,686 | 3,137 | 77,914 | 75,469 | 33,415 | 20,743 | 8,940 | 1,976 | 92 |
| 2d child | 172,373 | 52 | 20,260 | 64,353 | 42,349 | 28,701 | 13,820 | 2,709 | 129 |
| 3d child | 105,035 | - | 4,139 | 34,617 | 31,127 | 21,644 | 10,930 | 2,475 | 103 |
| 4th child and over | 89,690 | 1 | 678 | 18,667 | 28,610 | 23,128 | 14,216 | 4,147 | 243 |
| Not stated .......................................... | 1,735 | 5 | 231 | 514 | 421 | 333 | 172 | 57 | 2 |
| American Indian, total 1,2 ..................... | 42,399 | 131 | 7,707 | 14,361 | 10,141 | 6,337 | 2,986 | 705 | 31 |
| 1st child | 14,856 | 125 | 5,923 | 5,293 | 2,079 | 1,002 | 356 | 73 | 4 |
| 2d child | 11,794 | 4 | 1,479 | 5,222 | 2,885 | 1,522 | 565 | 116 | 1 |
| 3d child | 7,571 | - | 257 | 2,629 | 2,497 | 1,432 | 618 | 132 | 5 |
| 4th child and over ............................... | 8,050 | - | 28 | 1,182 | 2,653 | 2,358 | 1,433 | 376 | 20 |
| Not stated .......................................... | 128 | 2 | 19 | 35 | 27 | 22 | 15 | 7 | - |
| Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{1}$............ | 210,303 | 113 | 7,993 | 29,952 | 62,391 | 70,166 | 32,588 | 6,675 | 426 |
| 1st child ............................................ | 98,445 | 112 | 6,439 | 18,270 | 34,411 | 28,212 | 9,162 | 1,725 | 115 |
| 2d child | 72,111 | 1 | 1,267 | 8,128 | 18,918 | 27,903 | 13,459 | 2,325 | 110 |
| 3d child | 25,497 | - | 205 | 2,469 | 5,862 | 9,308 | 6,212 | 1,371 | 70 |
| 4th child and over ............................... | 13,646 | - | 50 | 976 | 3,007 | 4,584 | 3,670 | 1,232 | 127 |
| Not stated ....................................... | 604 | - | 32 | 109 | 193 | 158 | 85 | 21 | 5 |
| Hispanic 3 .......................................... | 872,236 | 2,406 | 127,055 | 263,924 | 234,974 | 157,196 | 71,156 | 14,765 | 760 |
| 1st child ............................................. | 318,841 | 2,342 | 97,336 | 114,328 | 61,710 | 30,386 | 10,635 | 1,986 | 118 |
| 2d child ............................................. | 267,657 | 57 | 24,731 | 94,875 | 81,361 | 46,266 | 17,357 | 2,889 | 120 |
| 3d child | 165,276 | 1 | 4,102 | 39,288 | 56,861 | 42,915 | 18,766 | 3,193 | 150 |
| 4th child and over ................................ | 118,548 | - | 577 | 14,861 | 34,511 | 37,319 | 24,240 | 6,669 | 370 |
| Not stated ......................................... | 1,914 | 6 | 309 | 572 | 531 | 310 | 158 | 28 | 1 |

- Quantity zero

1 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical Notes.
2 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes
NOTE: For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical Notes.

Table 3. Birth rates by age of mother, live-birth order, and race and Hispanic origin of Mother: United States, preliminary 2002
[Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Rates per 1,000 women in specified age group]

|  |  | Age of mother |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Live-birth order and race/Hispanic origin of mother | 15-44 years ${ }^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10-14 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20-24 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25-29 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-34 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35-39 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40-44 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45-49 \\ \text { years } 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| All races ................................... | 64.8 | 0.7 | 42.9 | 103.5 | 113.6 | 91.6 | 41.4 | 8.3 | 0.5 |
| 1st child ..................................... | 25.8 | 0.7 | 34.0 | 48.0 | 40.7 | 26.6 | 9.3 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| 2d child ................................... | 21.1 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 35.5 | 39.0 | 33.1 | 13.6 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| 3d child ................................... | 10.9 | * | 1.2 | 14.4 | 21.4 | 18.9 | 9.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ..................... | 7.0 | * | 0.2 | 5.5 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| White, total ${ }^{3}$............................. | 64.8 | 0.5 | 39.4 | 101.6 | 117.5 | 95.6 | 42.3 | 8.2 | 0.5 |
| 1st child ................................... | 25.8 | 0.5 | 31.7 | 48.6 | 42.7 | 27.8 | 9.6 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| 2d child ................................... | 21.5 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 35.3 | 41.3 | 35.0 | 13.9 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| 3d child ................................... | 11.0 | * | 1.0 | 13.3 | 22.0 | 20.0 | 10.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ...................... | 6.6 | * | 0.1 | 4.4 | 11.5 | 12.8 | 8.8 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| White, non-Hispanic ................... | 57.5 | 0.2 | 28.6 | 84.5 | 109.6 | 94.7 | 40.9 | 7.6 | 0.5 |
| 1st child | 23.5 | 0.2 | 23.7 | 42.3 | 43.9 | 29.8 | 10.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| 2d child ................................... | 19.6 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 28.9 | 38.7 | 36.3 | 14.3 | 2.3 | 0.1 |
| 3d child ................................... | 9.3 | * | 0.5 | 10.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 9.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ...................... | 5.1 | * | 0.1 | 3.1 | 8.7 | 10.2 | 7.2 | 1.9 | 0.1 |
| Black, total ${ }^{3}$.............................. | 65.4 | 1.8 | 66.2 | 126.4 | 98.5 | 64.1 | 31.3 | 7.4 | 0.4 |
| 1st child .................................... | 24.6 | 1.8 | 50.1 | 49.4 | 24.3 | 14.1 | 5.8 | 1.3 | 0.1 |
| 2d child ................................... | 19.2 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 42.1 | 30.8 | 19.5 | 9.0 | 1.8 | 0.1 |
| 3d child .................................... | 11.7 |  | 2.7 | 22.7 | 22.6 | 14.7 | 7.2 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ..................... | 10.0 | * | 0.4 | 12.2 | 20.8 | 15.7 | 9.3 | 2.7 | 0.2 |
| American Indian, total $3,4 \ldots . . . . . . . .$. | 58.0 | 0.9 | 53.8 | 112.7 | 91.8 | 56.4 | 25.4 | 5.9 | 0.3 |
| 1st child ................................... | 20.4 | 0.8 | 41.4 | 41.6 | 18.9 | 8.9 | 3.0 | 0.6 | * |
| 2d child ................................... | 16.2 | * | 10.3 | 41.1 | 26.2 | 13.6 | 4.8 | 1.0 | * |
| 3d child ................................... | 10.4 | * | 1.8 | 20.7 | 22.7 | 12.8 | 5.3 | 1.1 | * |
| 4th child and over ...................... | 11.0 | * | 0.2 | 9.3 | 24.1 | 21.1 | 12.3 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| Asian or Pacific Islander, total ${ }^{3}$... | 63.9 | 0.3 | 18.3 | 60.2 | 105.2 | 109.3 | 56.3 | 12.4 | 0.9 |
| 1st child .................................... | 30.0 | 0.3 | 14.8 | 36.8 | 58.2 | 44.0 | 15.9 | 3.2 | 0.2 |
| 2d child ................................... | 22.0 | * | 2.9 | 16.4 | 32.0 | 43.6 | 23.3 | 4.3 | 0.2 |
| 3d child | 7.8 | * | 0.5 | 5.0 | 9.9 | 14.5 | 10.8 | 2.6 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ..................... | 4.2 | * | 0.1 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 7.2 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 0.3 |
| Hispanic 5 ................................ | 94.0 | 1.4 | 82.9 | 163.5 | 138.7 | 94.7 | 47.6 | 11.5 | 0.7 |
| 1st child ..................................... | 34.4 | 1.4 | 63.7 | 71.0 | 36.5 | 18.3 | 7.1 | 1.5 | 0.1 |
| 2d child ................................... | 28.9 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 58.9 | 48.1 | 27.9 | 11.6 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| 3d child ................................... | 17.8 | * | 2.7 | 24.4 | 33.6 | 25.9 | 12.6 | 2.5 | 0.1 |
| 4th child and over ...................... | 12.8 | * | 0.4 | 9.2 | 20.4 | 22.5 | 16.2 | 5.2 | 0.4 |

[^1]Table 4. Live births by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, preliminary 2002, and birth and fertility rates, final 2001 and preliminary 2002
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Birth rates are total births per 1,000 total population; fertility rates are total births per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years. Figures for 2002 are based on weighted data rounded to the nearest individual, so categories may not add to totals]

|  | Number |  |  |  |  |  |  | Birth rate |  | Fertility rate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { races } \end{aligned}$ | White, total ${ }^{1}$ | White, non-Hispanic | Black ${ }^{1}$ | American Indian 1,2 | Asian or Pacific Islander ${ }^{1}$ | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| United States ${ }^{4}$................ | 4,019,280 | 3,176,059 | 2,303,561 | 590,519 | 42,399 | 210,303 | 872,236 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 64.8 | 65.3 |
| Alabama | 58,989 | 39,989 | 37,415 | 18,302 | 164 | 534 | 2,569 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 61.2 | 62.5 |
| Alaska ........................... | 9,952 | 6,381 | 4,433 | 432 | 2,446 | 692 | 805 | 15.5 | 15.8 | 73.6 | 71.4 |
| Arizona .......................... | 87,915 | 77,120 | 39,063 | 2,782 | 5,699 | 2,314 | 37,990 | 16.1 | 16.1 | 77.8 | 77.5 |
| Arkansas ........................ | 37,708 | 29,417 | 26,186 | 7,483 | 260 | 548 | 3,073 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 67.0 | 65.8 |
| California ....................... | 529,161 | 428,374 | 164,562 | 32,595 | 3,028 | 65,164 | 262,978 | 15.1 | 15.3 | 68.2 | 68.8 |
| Colorado ........................ | 68,405 | 62,409 | 41,840 | 2,935 | 663 | 2,397 | 21,031 | 15.2 | 15.1 | 69.3 | 68.2 |
| Connecticut .................... | 41,929 | 34,642 | 27,684 | 5,192 | 226 | 1,868 | 6,972 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 58.7 | 59.5 |
| Delaware ....................... | 11,091 | 7,928 | 6,632 | 2,706 | 29 | 429 | 1,314 | 13.7 | 13.5 | 62.2 | 60.9 |
| District of Columbia .......... | 7,328 | 2,636 | 1,738 | 4,491 | 8 | 193 | 902 | 12.8 | 13.3 | 51.7 | 53.1 |
| Florida ........................... | 205,531 | 152,822 | 102,267 | 46,221 | 1,075 | 5,412 | 51,614 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 62.5 | 63.1 |
| Georgia | 133,247 | 85,782 | 68,249 | 42,752 | 325 | 4,388 | 16,813 | 15.6 | 15.9 | 68.3 | 69.3 |
| Hawaii | 17,465 | 3,946 | 3,195 | 476 | 169 | 12,874 | 2,420 | 14.0 | 13.9 | 68.6 | 67.4 |
| Idaho | 20,967 | 20,148 | 17,069 | 103 | 377 | 339 | 2,789 | 15.6 | 15.7 | 73.8 | 73.5 |
| Illinois ........................... | 180,422 | 140,559 | 100,068 | 31,438 | 258 | 8,168 | 40,692 | 14.3 | 14.7 | 66.0 | 67.2 |
| Indiana ........................... | 84,538 | 73,824 | 67,446 | 9,279 | 159 | 1,277 | 6,136 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 64.4 | 65.7 |
| Iowa ............................... | 37,645 | 35,194 | 32,785 | 1,268 | 234 | 950 | 2,394 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 61.8 | 61.6 |
| Kansas .......................... | 39,430 | 34,920 | 29,581 | 2,892 | 447 | 1,171 | 5,023 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 68.7 | 67.8 |
| Kentucky | 54,170 | 48,401 | 46,834 | 4,891 | 99 | 779 | 1,611 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 60.5 | 61.3 |
| Louisiana ....................... | 64,814 | 36,701 | 35,363 | 26,653 | 411 | 1,048 | 1,393 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 65.4 | 65.6 |
| Maine ............................ | 13,567 | 13,058 | 12,861 | 174 | 115 | 220 | 168 | 10.5 | 10.7 | 49.9 | 50.9 |
| Maryland ........................ | 73,179 | 45,145 | 39,626 | 24,122 | 240 | 3,672 | 5,243 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 60.5 | 61.0 |
| Massachusetts ................ | 80,689 | 66,729 | 58,362 | 8,345 | 191 | 5,423 | 9,588 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 56.7 | 56.9 |
| Michigan ........................ | 131,243 | 103,605 | 94,773 | 22,712 | 691 | 4,236 | 7,339 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 61.3 | 62.0 |
| Minnesota ....................... | 68,035 | 58,055 | 52,780 | 4,861 | 1,353 | 3,766 | 4,648 | 13.6 | 13.6 | 62.0 | 61.8 |
| Mississippi ..................... | 41,494 | 22,603 | 21,727 | 18,201 | 267 | 423 | 821 | 14.4 | 14.8 | 65.7 | 66.8 |
| Missouri ......................... | 75,284 | 62,407 | 59,116 | 11,026 | 354 | 1,497 | 3,270 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 62.1 | 62.3 |
| Montana | 11,024 | 9,488 | 8,944 | 37 | 1,394 | 105 | 381 | 12.1 | 12.1 | 60.1 | 59.4 |
| Nebraska ........................ | 25,386 | 22,982 | 19,123 | 1,442 | 403 | 558 | 3,313 | 14.7 | 14.4 | 69.5 | 68.0 |
| Nevada .......................... | 32,546 | 26,967 | 15,613 | 2,598 | 539 | 2,442 | 11,402 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 72.4 | 71.7 |
| New Hampshire ............... | 14,445 | 13,693 | 12,693 | 225 | 48 | 479 | 502 | 11.3 | 11.6 | 52.4 | 53.5 |
| New Jersey .................... | 114,109 | 83,985 | 61,342 | 19,905 | 170 | 10,049 | 24,567 | 13.3 | 13.6 | 63.2 | 63.9 |
| New Mexico .................... | 27,701 | 23,235 | 8,748 | 511 | 3,543 | 413 | 14,586 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 70.6 | 69.6 |
| New York .. | 255,891 | 185,010 | 133,433 | 50,104 | 713 | 20,065 | 55,250 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 60.9 | 60.4 |
| North Carolina | 118,313 | 86,007 | 70,963 | 27,716 | 1,681 | 2,910 | 15,137 | 14.2 | 14.4 | 65.9 | 66.1 |
| North Dakota | 7,757 | 6,762 | 6,499 | 90 | 815 | 90 | 149 | 12.2 | 12.0 | 58.7 | 57.1 |
| Ohio | 144,921 | 120,847 | 116,134 | 20,991 | 274 | 2,809 | 4,633 | 12.7 | 13.3 | 60.2 | 62.5 |
| Oklahoma ...................... | 50,720 | 39,811 | 34,536 | 4,734 | 5,152 | 1,023 | 5,283 | 14.5 | 14.4 | 69.2 | 68.4 |
| Oregon | 45,232 | 41,087 | 32,979 | 938 | 814 | 2,393 | 8,053 | 12.8 | 13.0 | 62.0 | 62.6 |
| Pennsylvania .................. | 144,042 | 119,281 | 110,080 | 19,938 | 362 | 4,462 | 8,697 | 11.7 | 11.7 | 56.9 | 56.4 |
| Rhode Island ................... | 12,877 | 11,071 | 7,475 | 1,075 | 151 | 579 | 2,247 | 12.0 | 12.0 | 54.5 | 54.3 |
| South Carolina ................ | 54,571 | 35,382 | 32,215 | 18,175 | 168 | 847 | 3,170 | 13.3 | 13.7 | 60.7 | 62.5 |
| South Dakota ................. | 10,700 | 8,658 | 8,377 | 103 | 1,806 | 133 | 318 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 68.3 | 66.4 |
| Tennessee ..................... | 77,463 | 59,613 | 55,305 | 16,300 | 157 | 1,393 | 4,346 | 13.4 | 13.6 | 62.1 | 62.6 |
| Texas ............................ | 367,307 | 313,651 | 137,566 | 40,294 | 837 | 12,524 | 175,500 | 16.9 | 17.1 | 76.0 | 76.2 |
| Utah .............................. | 49,173 | 46,559 | 39,515 | 343 | 684 | 1,587 | 6,956 | 21.2 | 21.0 | 90.6 | 89.7 |
| Vermont | 6,387 | 6,240 | 6,135 | 42 | 10 | 94 | 32 | 10.4 | 10.4 | 48.9 | 48.5 |
| Virginia | 99,657 | 71,405 | 61,700 | 22,083 | 124 | 6,044 | 9,771 | 13.7 | 13.7 | 61.9 | 61.8 |
| Washington .................... | 78,990 | 66,486 | 53,362 | 3,391 | 1,923 | 7,190 | 12,343 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 60.2 | 60.9 |
| West Virginia .................. | 20,761 | 19,922 | 19,811 | 681 | 11 | 147 | 80 | 11.5 | 11.3 | 57.2 | 55.6 |
| Wisconsin ....................... | 68,563 | 58,977 | 53,817 | 6,420 | 1,050 | 2,115 | 5,298 | 12.6 | 12.8 | 59.0 | 59.6 |
| Wyoming ....................... | 6,547 | 6,145 | 5,538 | 52 | 280 | 70 | 621 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 63.6 | 59.2 |
| Puerto Rico .................... | 52,746 | 47,831 | --- | 4,915 | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ................... | 1,607 | 310 | 89 | 1,212 | 86 | --- | 317 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Guam ............................ | 3,210 | 261 | 223 | 44 | 2 | 2,903 | 53 | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| American Samoa ............. | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Northern Marianas ........... | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

[^2]Table 5. Percent of live births to unmarried mothers by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, final 2001 and preliminary 2002
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States]

| Area | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| United States ${ }^{4} \ldots \ldots . . .$. | 33.8 | 33.5 | 28.4 | 27.7 | 22.9 | 22.5 | 68.0 | 68.4 | 43.4 | 42.5 |
| Alabama | 34.8 | 34.4 | 19.7 | 18.8 | 19.3 | 18.4 | 68.4 | 67.9 | 24.5 | 24.7 |
| Alaska ..................... | 34.0 | 32.8 | 24.0 | 22.3 | 22.9 | 21.6 | 43.3 | 44.4 | 41.2 | 34.5 |
| Arizona .................... | 40.4 | 39.5 | 38.2 | 36.9 | 25.0 | 24.3 | 61.6 | 63.9 | 51.9 | 50.9 |
| Arkansas .................. | 37.1 | 36.1 | 27.6 | 26.2 | 26.5 | 25.1 | 75.8 | 75.9 | 37.3 | 37.0 |
| California .................. | 33.0 | 32.7 | 33.6 | 33.0 | 20.1 | 20.0 | 62.5 | 62.6 | 42.2 | 41.5 |
| Colorado .................. | 26.8 | 25.0 | 25.8 | 24.0 | 18.2 | 17.2 | 54.2 | 51.3 | 41.4 | 38.6 |
| Connecticut .............. | 29.1 | 29.2 | 24.6 | 24.8 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 66.4 | 66.0 | 60.8 | 61.8 |
| Delaware ................. | 40.6 | 39.9 | 32.1 | 30.0 | 27.5 | 26.0 | 70.4 | 72.0 | 56.2 | 55.2 |
| District of Columbia ... | 55.9 | 57.4 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 76.3 | 76.7 | 57.4 | 54.9 |
| Florida ..................... | 39.3 | 39.0 | 31.8 | 30.9 | 28.2 | 27.5 | 66.9 | 67.4 | 39.6 | 38.9 |
| Georgia ................... | 37.8 | 37.3 | 25.2 | 24.0 | 21.1 | 20.8 | 65.8 | 65.7 | 43.1 | 39.3 |
| Hawaii ..................... | 33.6 | 33.0 | 17.3 | 18.5 | 16.7 | 16.9 | 18.5 | 20.9 | 43.8 | 44.2 |
| Idaho ....................... | 21.9 | 22.0 | 21.4 | 21.5 | 18.9 | 19.3 | 33.0 | 40.7 | 35.9 | 34.2 |
| Illinois ...................... | 34.6 | 34.5 | 26.8 | 26.2 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 76.6 | 76.5 | 42.6 | 41.9 |
| Indiana .................... | 36.4 | 35.5 | 31.8 | 30.7 | 30.2 | 29.2 | 76.3 | 75.8 | 49.7 | 47.8 |
| lowa ........................ | 29.4 | 28.8 | 27.8 | 27.1 | 26.8 | 26.2 | 73.9 | 74.4 | 41.3 | 41.4 |
| Kansas .................... | 31.1 | 29.9 | 28.3 | 26.9 | 26.1 | 24.5 | 67.9 | 70.0 | 42.5 | 42.1 |
| Kentucky ................. | 33.0 | 31.7 | 29.3 | 27.9 | 28.8 | 27.5 | 73.1 | 71.8 | 43.6 | 40.3 |
| Louisiana ................. | 47.0 | 46.3 | 27.2 | 26.2 | 27.1 | 25.9 | 75.0 | 74.7 | 32.3 | 34.2 |
| Maine ...................... | 32.7 | 31.8 | 32.6 | 31.6 | 32.6 | 31.5 | 33.9 | 39.9 | 35.7 | 37.6 |
| Maryland ................. | 34.8 | 34.4 | 23.9 | 23.0 | 20.8 | 20.3 | 59.3 | 59.5 | 44.8 | 43.4 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 26.8 | 26.7 | 23.8 | 23.5 | 18.8 | 18.6 | 58.5 | 58.9 | 61.5 | 60.9 |
| Michigan ${ }^{5}$................ | 32.4 | 34.3 | 24.8 | 26.3 | 23.8 | 25.2 | 70.5 | 73.4 | 39.0 | 41.9 |
| Minnesota ............... | 27.4 | 26.3 | 23.8 | 22.7 | 21.5 | 20.6 | 58.1 | 57.5 | 50.7 | 48.0 |
| Mississippi ............... | 47.1 | 46.3 | 24.1 | 22.4 | 23.5 | 21.8 | 76.0 | 75.6 | 41.6 | 40.3 |
| Missouri ................... | 35.2 | 34.8 | 28.5 | 27.8 | 27.6 | 27.1 | 75.7 | 76.4 | 44.9 | 42.3 |
| Montana .................. | 32.9 | 31.4 | 27.6 | 25.8 | 27.0 | 24.9 | * | 61.9 | 41.5 | 40.6 |
| Nebraska ................. | 28.6 | 27.7 | 25.9 | 24.6 | 23.1 | 22.1 | 66.3 | 68.4 | 41.6 | 41.1 |
| Nevada | 37.3 | 37.2 | 35.0 | 34.5 | 28.3 | 28.8 | 69.8 | 69.1 | 44.3 | 42.7 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 24.6 | 24.2 | 24.8 | 24.5 | 23.9 | 23.6 | 42.7 | 40.9 | 36.1 | 37.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 29.3 | 29.2 | 23.9 | 23.3 | 13.4 | 13.3 | 64.3 | 64.9 | 52.6 | 52.7 |
| New Mexico ............. | 46.9 | 46.3 | 43.4 | 42.6 | 27.0 | 27.4 | 56.5 | 58.1 | 53.4 | 52.2 |
| New York ................ | 35.5 | 35.7 | 29.4 | 28.9 | 18.1 | 17.9 | 65.6 | 66.4 | 59.4 | 59.2 |
| North Carolina .......... | 34.5 | 34.3 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 20.0 | 19.5 | 65.6 | 65.8 | 47.5 | 46.2 |
| North Dakota ............ | 29.0 | 27.9 | 24.0 | 22.7 | 23.4 | 22.2 | 35.6 | 28.4 | 39.6 | 30.0 |
| Ohio ....................... | 35.2 | 35.1 | 28.9 | 28.2 | 28.1 | 27.5 | 74.9 | 75.5 | 49.6 | 49.4 |
| Oklahoma ................. | 36.2 | 35.2 | 30.8 | 29.5 | 29.2 | 27.9 | 70.0 | 70.5 | 42.2 | 41.2 |
| Oregon .................... | 30.9 | 30.4 | 30.6 | 29.8 | 27.9 | 27.2 | 61.2 | 64.5 | 41.6 | 41.2 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 32.9 | 33.8 | 26.7 | 27.2 | 24.0 | 24.7 | 74.6 | 76.5 | 60.4 | 60.8 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 33.9 | 35.7 | 30.6 | 32.2 | 23.9 | 25.1 | 61.5 | 68.1 | 56.9 | 59.3 |
| South Carolina ......... | 40.4 | 40.1 | 24.8 | 24.0 | 23.1 | 22.4 | 71.7 | 71.4 | 43.3 | 42.1 |
| South Dakota ........... | 35.0 | 33.5 | 26.2 | 24.2 | 25.6 | 23.7 | 37.9 | 43.6 | 48.7 | 52.1 |
| Tennessee .............. | 36.2 | 35.7 | 26.6 | 25.9 | 25.1 | 24.7 | 72.9 | 72.7 | 46.0 | 43.5 |
| Texas ...................... | 32.0 | 31.0 | 29.1 | 27.9 | 21.5 | 20.8 | 61.8 | 61.7 | 35.1 | 33.6 |
| Utah ........................ | 17.2 | 17.4 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 46.9 | 44.4 | 38.4 | 38.8 |
| Vermont .................. | 31.9 | 31.0 | 32.0 | 31.1 | 32.0 | 31.0 | 60.9 | * | * |  |
| Virginia ................... | 30.3 | 30.3 | 22.4 | 21.8 | 19.6 | 19.1 | 62.2 | 62.9 | 40.2 | 39.9 |
| Washington ............. | 28.7 | 28.8 | 27.6 | 27.5 | 24.5 | 24.6 | 53.1 | 53.4 | 41.2 | 40.9 |
| West Virginia ............ | 32.9 | 32.5 | 31.7 | 31.0 | 31.6 | 31.0 | 72.0 | 76.8 | 36.3 | 36.1 |
| Wisconsin ................ | 30.0 | 29.9 | 24.3 | 24.1 | 22.2 | 22.3 | 81.9 | 82.4 | 45.9 | 44.7 |
| Wyoming ................. | 30.3 | 29.6 | 28.7 | 27.8 | 27.1 | 26.4 | 50.0 | 44.6 | 43.2 | 41.5 |
| Puerto Rico .............. | 51.9 | 51.1 | 50.6 | 49.7 | --- | --- | 65.2 | 66.3 | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 68.4 | 66.8 | 55.6 | 58.6 | 28.1 | 36.7 | 74.6 | 72.4 | 67.2 | 66.2 |
| Guam ...................... | 55.1 | 55.7 | 20.4 | 13.7 | 19.0 | 12.9 | , | * | * | * |
| American Samoa ..... | --- | 28.3 | --- | , | --- | -- | --- | * | --- | -- |
| Northern Marianas .... | --- | 57.5 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |

[^3]Table 6. Percent low birthweight by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, final 2001 and preliminary 2002
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States. Low birthweight is less than 2,500 grams]

| Area | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| United States 4 ......... | 7.8 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 13.3 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Alabama .................. | 9.9 | 9.6 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.6 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 7.3 | 6.9 |
| Alaska ..................... | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 5.0 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 6.4 | 6.3 |
| Arizona .................... | 6.8 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 11.7 | 13.7 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| Arkansas ................. | 8.6 | 8.8 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 13.6 | 14.1 | 5.5 | 5.9 |
| California ................. | 6.4 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
| Colorado ................. | 8.9 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 8.1 | 14.4 | 14.0 | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| Connecticut .............. | 7.8 | 7.4 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 12.1 | 8.0 | 8.2 |
| Delaware ................. | 9.9 | 9.3 | 8.2 | 7.7 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 14.8 | 13.7 | 7.4 | 6.5 |
| District of Columbia ... | 11.5 | 12.1 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 5.9 | 6.3 | 14.5 | 15.3 | 9.5 | 6.5 |
| Florida ..................... | 8.4 | 8.2 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 12.8 | 12.5 | 6.8 | 6.5 |
| Georgia ................... | 8.9 | 8.8 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 6.0 | 5.7 |
| Hawaii ..................... | 8.3 | 8.1 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 11.4 | 9.0 | 7.6 |
| Idaho | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.0 | 6.3 | * | * | 6.6 | 6.8 |
| Illinois | 8.1 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 14.3 | 13.7 | 6.2 | 6.6 |
| Indiana .................... | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 12.9 | 12.9 | 6.3 | 6.6 |
| lowa | 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 10.3 | 13.7 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| Kansas .................... | 7.0 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 5.9 | 6.0 |
| Kentucky ................. | 8.5 | 8.3 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 14.7 | 13.4 | 8.2 | 7.7 |
| Louisiana ................. | 10.4 | 10.4 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 14.6 | 14.4 | 5.7 | 6.6 |
| Maine ..................... | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.1 | * | * | * | * |
| Maryland ................. | 9.0 | 9.0 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 13.1 | 12.9 | 6.7 | 6.9 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 7.5 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.5 | 11.2 | 10.2 | 8.5 | 8.3 |
| Michigan .................. | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.7 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 13.9 | 14.1 | 6.4 | 6.2 |
| Minnesota ............... | 6.3 | 6.3 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.9 | 10.7 | 9.8 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| Mississippi ............... | 11.2 | 10.7 | 8.1 | 7.8 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 15.2 | 14.3 | 5.7 | 7.0 |
| Missouri ................... | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 6.7 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 13.8 | 12.6 | 6.4 | 5.7 |
| Montana .................. | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 6.9 | 6.7 | 7.0 | * | * | 6.6 | 8.0 |
| Nebraska | 7.2 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.3 | 13.6 | 12.4 | 6.1 | 6.2 |
| Nevada .................... | 7.5 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 14.5 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 6.4 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 6.3 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.5 | 6.1 | * | 13.9 | 4.0 | 5.9 |
| New Jersey .............. | 8.0 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 12.8 | 12.6 | 7.1 | 7.0 |
| New Mexico ............. | 8.0 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 13.8 | 13.1 | 8.2 | 8.0 |
| New York | 7.9 | 7.7 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 6.5 | 6.4 | 12.0 | 11.3 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| North Carolina .......... | 8.9 | 8.9 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 14.1 | 13.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 |
| North Dakota ............ | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.0 | * | * | * | * |
| Ohio | 8.1 | 8.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 7.2 | 7.0 |
| Oklahoma | 7.9 | 7.8 | 7.4 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.4 | 13.7 | 13.6 | 6.9 | 5.9 |
| Oregon .................... | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.4 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 5.3 | 5.6 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.2 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 6.8 | 13.9 | 13.7 | 9.1 | 8.8 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 7.9 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 6.5 | 10.0 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 7.6 |
| South Carolina ......... | 10.0 | 9.6 | 7.6 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 7.4 | 14.8 | 14.0 | 6.8 | 6.6 |
| South Dakota ........... | 7.2 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 6.3 | 7.0 | 6.2 | * | * | 7.5 | 8.2 |
| Tennessee ............... | 9.2 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 7.9 | 8.1 | 14.5 | 13.6 | 5.8 | 6.5 |
| Texas ...................... | 7.6 | 7.6 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 7.0 | 6.9 | 12.6 | 12.9 | 7.0 | 6.9 |
| Utah ....................... | 6.5 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 14.6 | 10.8 | 6.5 | 7.4 |
| Vermont .................. | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.5 | 5.9 | 6.6 | 5.9 | * | * | * | * |
| Virginia ................... | 7.9 | 7.9 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.6 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 6.1 | 5.8 |
| Washington ............. | 5.9 | 5.8 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| West Virginia ............ | 9.0 | 8.5 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 8.4 | 14.2 | 11.5 | * | * |
| Wisconsin ................ | 6.6 | 6.6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 13.2 | 13.1 | 5.7 | 6.2 |
| Wyoming ................. | 8.4 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 8.0 | 8.2 | 7.9 | * | * | 8.7 | 9.1 |
| Puerto Rico .............. | 11.4 | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.1 | --- | --- | 11.3 | 12.1 | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 11.4 | 9.7 | 13.9 | 9.0 | * | * | 10.9 | 9.5 | 14.5 | 9.4 |
| Guam ...................... | 7.9 | 8.1 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| American Samoa ..... | --- | 3.9 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |
| Northern Marianas .... | --- | 8.3 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |

[^4]NOTE: For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical Notes.

Table 7. Percent of live births by cesarean delivery by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, final 2001 and preliminary 2002
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States]

| Area | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| United States ${ }^{4} \ldots \ldots . . .$. | 26.1 | 24.4 | 25.9 | 24.3 | 26.2 | 24.5 | 27.6 | 25.9 | 25.2 | 23.6 |
| Alabama .................. | 28.7 | 27.6 | 28.6 | 28.1 | 29.0 | 28.5 | 29.1 | 26.8 | 23.4 | 21.5 |
| Alaska | 19.4 | 18.9 | 22.0 | 21.4 | 21.3 | 21.3 | 22.5 | 22.3 | 17.9 | 21.7 |
| Arizona .................... | 21.3 | 20.0 | 21.3 | 20.1 | 23.2 | 21.7 | 23.8 | 22.2 | 19.3 | 18.3 |
| Arkansas ................. | 29.1 | 27.6 | 28.9 | 27.0 | 29.4 | 27.5 | 30.2 | 30.3 | 24.8 | 22.9 |
| California | 26.8 | 25.1 | 26.7 | 25.0 | 27.5 | 25.9 | 30.2 | 28.2 | 26.1 | 24.4 |
| Colorado | 21.1 | 19.6 | 21.1 | 19.5 | 21.6 | 20.1 | 21.2 | 20.1 | 19.9 | 18.3 |
| Connecticut .............. | 26.1 | 24.3 | 26.1 | 24.2 | 27.0 | 24.7 | 26.4 | 24.3 | 22.4 | 22.4 |
| Delaware .................. | 27.3 | 25.5 | 26.9 | 25.0 | 27.4 | 25.5 | 28.6 | 26.5 | 24.3 | 23.2 |
| District of Columbia ... | 26.6 | 25.0 | 24.8 | 24.4 | 26.8 | 28.3 | 27.8 | 25.3 | 21.2 | 17.2 |
| Florida ..................... | 28.5 | 26.4 | 28.9 | 26.7 | 27.9 | 25.9 | 27.8 | 26.0 | 30.8 | 28.3 |
| Georgia .................. | 25.9 | 24.3 | 25.5 | 24.1 | 26.9 | 25.3 | 26.9 | 24.8 | 19.9 | 18.9 |
| Hawaii ..................... | 21.4 | 20.1 | 19.5 | 19.9 | 19.2 | 19.8 | 16.9 | 19.4 | 22.1 | 20.4 |
| Idaho | 19.7 | 18.7 | 19.6 | 18.5 | 19.4 | 18.3 | 22.3 | * | 20.5 | 19.5 |
| Illinois | 23.9 | 22.3 | 23.8 | 22.2 | 24.8 | 23.2 | 24.2 | 22.4 | 21.5 | 19.8 |
| Indiana | 24.9 | 23.3 | 24.8 | 23.1 | 24.8 | 23.2 | 25.6 | 24.3 | 24.5 | 22.0 |
| Iowa | 24.8 | 23.1 | 24.9 | 23.0 | 24.9 | 23.1 | 24.8 | 24.5 | 24.4 | 21.5 |
| Kansas | 24.8 | 23.8 | 24.8 | 23.8 | 25.1 | 24.3 | 27.4 | 24.9 | 23.4 | 21.2 |
| Kentucky ................. | 27.8 | 26.2 | 27.8 | 26.3 | 27.8 | 26.3 | 28.5 | 25.2 | 27.1 | 25.9 |
| Louisiana .................. | 30.4 | 29.9 | 31.3 | 31.0 | 31.3 | 31.1 | 29.2 | 28.7 | 30.0 | 29.7 |
| Maine ...................... | 25.7 | 24.1 | 25.6 | 24.1 | 25.6 | 24.1 | 24.1 | 22.9 | 29.3 | 26.0 |
| Maryland ................. | 27.5 | 25.4 | 26.5 | 24.4 | 26.9 | 24.9 | 29.5 | 27.5 | 23.5 | 20.7 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 28.0 | 25.4 | 28.1 | 25.5 | 28.7 | 26.1 | 29.2 | 26.7 | 24.5 | 21.9 |
| Michigan . | 24.9 | 23.4 | 25.0 | 23.6 | 25.1 | 23.7 | 24.6 | 22.4 | 23.7 | 22.1 |
| Minnesota ................ | 22.2 | 21.1 | 22.7 | 21.5 | 22.8 | 21.5 | 23.0 | 21.5 | 22.4 | 20.7 |
| Mississippi | 31.1 | 29.7 | 31.5 | 30.6 | 31.8 | 30.8 | 30.9 | 28.7 | 24.4 | 23.8 |
| Missouri .................... | 25.7 | 23.9 | 25.9 | 24.1 | 26.0 | 24.2 | 25.0 | 22.8 | 24.1 | 22.7 |
| Montana | 23.0 | 21.6 | 22.5 | 21.2 | 22.5 | 21.0 | * | * | 23.5 | 25.0 |
| Nebraska | 26.7 | 24.1 | 26.9 | 24.3 | 27.4 | 24.8 | 24.4 | 22.0 | 23.8 | 20.9 |
| Nevada | 25.7 | 23.7 | 25.0 | 23.1 | 27.1 | 25.2 | 31.4 | 27.8 | 22.3 | 20.3 |
| New Hampshire ........ | 24.1 | 23.0 | 24.2 | 22.9 | 24.4 | 22.9 | 25.5 | 32.4 | 21.0 | 22.3 |
| New Jersey .............. | 30.9 | 28.9 | 30.9 | 28.9 | 31.5 | 29.3 | 31.8 | 29.7 | 29.7 | 28.0 |
| New Mexico ............. | 19.1 | 18.6 | 19.7 | 19.1 | 21.3 | 19.8 | 20.7 | 23.8 | 18.7 | 18.6 |
| New York | 27.2 | 25.9 | 27.3 | 26.0 | 27.8 | 26.3 | 27.7 | 26.5 | 26.0 | 25.1 |
| North Carolina | 26.3 | 24.9 | 25.8 | 24.5 | 26.9 | 25.5 | 28.1 | 26.5 | 21.0 | 19.5 |
| North Dakota | 23.1 | 21.1 | 22.9 | 21.2 | 22.8 | 21.2 | * | 22.5 | 27.5 | 26.3 |
| Ohio | 23.4 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 21.7 | 23.3 | 21.7 | 24.1 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 20.3 |
| Oklahoma | 28.1 | 25.9 | 27.7 | 25.8 | 28.0 | 26.3 | 30.0 | 26.5 | 25.4 | 22.2 |
| Oregon .................... | 23.4 | 21.0 | 23.3 | 20.7 | 23.6 | 21.1 | 26.0 | 26.3 | 21.7 | 19.2 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 24.9 | 23.0 | 24.9 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 23.1 | 25.3 | 23.1 | 23.7 | 21.3 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 26.0 | 24.1 | 26.6 | 24.4 | 28.0 | 25.2 | 24.6 | 23.3 | 23.6 | 22.4 |
| South Carolina ......... | 28.6 | 26.4 | 28.3 | 26.2 | 28.5 | 26.6 | 29.2 | 27.1 | 26.2 | 21.7 |
| South Dakota ........... | 24.6 | 23.0 | 24.6 | 23.0 | 24.7 | 23.0 | 20.4 | 25.0 | 21.3 | 20.1 |
| Tennessee ............... | 27.5 | 26.2 | 27.7 | 26.4 | 28.1 | 26.7 | 26.7 | 25.7 | 23.1 | 22.4 |
| Texas ...................... | 27.9 | 26.3 | 27.7 | 26.0 | 28.4 | 26.7 | 29.9 | 28.7 | 27.2 | 25.4 |
| Utah ........................ | 19.1 | 17.2 | 18.9 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 16.6 | 27.1 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 20.6 |
| Vermont .................. | 21.1 | 17.8 | 21.0 | 17.7 | 21.1 | 17.8 | * | * | * | * |
| Virginia .................... | 26.8 | 24.6 | 26.3 | 24.2 | 26.6 | 24.5 | 27.9 | 25.3 | 24.3 | 22.1 |
| Washington .............. | 24.0 | 22.6 | 23.9 | 22.5 | 24.4 | 22.6 | 26.9 | 26.0 | 21.7 | 22.0 |
| West Virginia ............ | 29.3 | 26.6 | 29.2 | 26.5 | 29.2 | 26.5 | 32.1 | 30.1 | 26.3 | * |
| Wisconsin ................ | 20.6 | 19.1 | 21.1 | 19.6 | 21.3 | 19.7 | 18.1 | 16.9 | 19.6 | 18.4 |
| Wyoming ................. | 21.1 | 20.1 | 21.1 | 19.8 | 20.9 | 19.6 | * | * | 23.4 | 22.3 |
| Puerto Rico .............. | 44.7 | 42.0 | 44.9 | 42.2 | --- | --- | 42.7 | 40.6 | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 22.1 | 25.2 | 25.1 | 27.9 | 28.1 | 26.0 | 21.7 | 23.9 | 24.0 | 26.7 |
| Guam ...................... | 20.4 | 21.8 | 20.1 | 16.4 | 20.1 | 17.9 | * | * | * | * |
| American Samoa ..... | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | -- | --- | --- |
| Northern Marianas .... | --- | 23.7 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |

* Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator.
--- Data not available.
1 Includes races other than white and black.
2 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines.
Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical Notes.
3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes.
4 Excludes data for the territories.

Table 8. Percent of mothers receiving prenatal care in first trimester of pregnancy by race and Hispanic origin of mother: United States, each State and territory, final 2001 and preliminary 2002
[By place of residence. Data are based on a continuous file of records received from the States]

|  | All races ${ }^{1}$ |  | White, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | White, non-Hispanic |  | Black, total ${ }^{2}$ |  | Hispanic ${ }^{3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Area | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 | 2002 | 2001 |
| United States ${ }^{4} \ldots \ldots . .$. | 83.8 | 83.4 | 85.5 | 85.2 | 88.7 | 88.5 | 75.2 | 74.5 | 76.8 | 75.7 |
| Alabama .................. | 82.9 | 82.4 | 87.4 | 87.4 | 89.8 | 89.5 | 72.9 | 71.7 | 53.4 | 52.3 |
| Alaska ..................... | 80.3 | 80.5 | 84.3 | 84.2 | 83.6 | 84.3 | 83.9 | 82.3 | 77.0 | 82.2 |
| Arizona .................... | 76.5 | 76.7 | 77.1 | 77.4 | 87.2 | 87.3 | 76.3 | 75.8 | 66.7 | 66.7 |
| Arkansas ................. | 79.6 | 79.8 | 82.1 | 82.4 | 83.6 | 83.9 | 70.5 | 69.9 | 68.3 | 67.4 |
| California .................. | 86.5 | 85.4 | 86.6 | 85.4 | 90.4 | 90.0 | 83.0 | 82.5 | 84.1 | 82.4 |
| Colorado | 79.1 | 79.8 | 79.5 | 80.2 | 86.2 | 87.3 | 70.2 | 72.7 | 65.9 | 65.1 |
| Connecticut | 88.3 | 88.7 | 89.3 | 89.7 | 92.2 | 92.4 | 81.7 | 81.9 | 76.9 | 78.5 |
| Delaware ................. | 87.1 | 87.2 | 88.5 | 88.9 | 91.1 | 91.5 | 82.3 | 81.5 | 75.1 | 73.0 |
| District of Columbia ... | 76.9 | 74.4 | 85.8 | 84.2 | 90.9 | 90.8 | 70.8 | 68.7 | 74.3 | 70.9 |
| Florida | 85.4 | 84.1 | 87.8 | 87.0 | 89.8 | 89.3 | 77.1 | 75.1 | 83.7 | 81.7 |
| Georgia ................... | 84.7 | 86.2 | 87.3 | 88.9 | 90.5 | 91.4 | 79.1 | 80.6 | 73.6 | 76.5 |
| Hawaii ..................... | 83.9 | 84.2 | 88.4 | 88.5 | 89.1 | 89.2 | 94.2 | 92.0 | 82.5 | 83.3 |
| Idaho | 82.1 | 81.8 | 82.3 | 82.0 | 84.3 | 84.0 | 81.8 | 81.0 | 70.2 | 69.5 |
| Illinois | 85.0 | 84.0 | 87.3 | 86.5 | 90.8 | 90.3 | 74.3 | 72.9 | 78.4 | 76.8 |
| Indiana | 81.6 | 80.6 | 83.1 | 82.1 | 84.7 | 83.7 | 69.8 | 68.9 | 65.1 | 63.2 |
| lowa | 88.8 | 88.4 | 89.3 | 88.9 | 90.4 | 89.8 | 78.2 | 79.0 | 74.7 | 74.7 |
| Kansas | 86.8 | 86.9 | 87.5 | 87.6 | 90.1 | 90.2 | 79.7 | 79.5 | 72.4 | 71.0 |
| Kentucky | 87.0 | 86.7 | 87.6 | 87.5 | 88.2 | 88.1 | 81.2 | 79.3 | 72.2 | 67.4 |
| Louisiana | 83.8 | 83.2 | 90.4 | 90.4 | 90.7 | 90.6 | 74.7 | 73.4 | 83.3 | 84.0 |
| Maine ..................... | 87.9 | 88.2 | 88.2 | 88.3 | 88.3 | 88.4 | 73.0 | 79.7 | 83.3 | 77.5 |
| Maryland ................. | 84.1 | 83.7 | 88.1 | 87.7 | 90.5 | 89.6 | 76.7 | 76.5 | 71.3 | 72.6 |
| Massachusetts ......... | 89.9 | 89.7 | 91.4 | 91.3 | 92.7 | 92.6 | 80.6 | 79.5 | 82.8 | 81.6 |
| Michigan .................. | 85.6 | 84.5 | 88.6 | 87.8 | 89.5 | 89.1 | 71.3 | 69.3 | 77.4 | 71.2 |
| Minnesota ............... | 85.5 | 84.5 | 88.0 | 87.1 | 89.7 | 89.1 | 70.0 | 66.5 | 68.0 | 62.8 |
| Mississippi | 83.9 | 82.7 | 90.2 | 89.3 | 90.8 | 89.8 | 76.1 | 74.9 | 74.6 | 71.2 |
| Missouri .................... | 87.8 | 87.7 | 89.3 | 89.2 | 89.9 | 89.8 | 79.7 | 78.7 | 78.1 | 78.0 |
| Montana .................. | 83.7 | 82.6 | 86.2 | 85.3 | 86.5 | 85.6 | 70.3 | 82.9 | 79.3 | 79.8 |
| Nebraska | 83.4 | 83.2 | 84.5 | 84.5 | 87.0 | 87.0 | 70.6 | 68.0 | 69.2 | 68.3 |
| Nevada | 75.8 | 75.7 | 76.0 | 76.3 | 85.1 | 85.9 | 70.7 | 67.6 | 63.3 | 62.8 |
| New Hampshire ....... | 91.5 | 90.6 | 91.8 | 91.0 | 92.2 | 91.5 | 80.4 | 79.5 | 84.7 | 81.2 |
| New Jersey .............. | 80.2 | 79.8 | 83.6 | 83.3 | 89.0 | 88.8 | 64.0 | 63.4 | 68.7 | 67.4 |
| New Mexico ............. | 69.0 | 69.0 | 70.4 | 70.3 | 76.9 | 76.7 | 68.4 | 65.8 | 66.4 | 66.3 |
| New York ................. | 81.6 | 80.5 | 84.5 | 83.9 | 88.2 | 87.8 | 72.1 | 70.3 | 75.0 | 73.2 |
| North Carolina .......... | 84.4 | 84.4 | 87.4 | 87.3 | 91.0 | 90.9 | 75.5 | 75.9 | 70.2 | 69.9 |
| North Dakota ............ | 86.2 | 85.8 | 88.7 | 88.4 | 88.9 | 88.7 | 82.2 | 78.4 | 83.6 | 78.1 |
| Ohio | 87.9 | 87.3 | 89.4 | 89.1 | 89.8 | 89.5 | 79.2 | 77.2 | 77.9 | 77.3 |
| Oklahoma ................. | 76.8 | 77.4 | 78.5 | 79.5 | 80.9 | 81.5 | 69.0 | 69.2 | 63.4 | 65.4 |
| Oregon .... | 81.7 | 81.5 | 82.0 | 81.8 | 84.6 | 84.6 | 76.1 | 76.6 | 71.4 | 69.9 |
| Pennsylvania ............ | 84.8 | 85.2 | 87.1 | 87.4 | 88.2 | 88.4 | 71.4 | 72.9 | 72.5 | 73.2 |
| Rhode Island ............ | 89.5 | 91.4 | 91.0 | 92.4 | 92.5 | 93.7 | 78.9 | 84.5 | 85.9 | 87.5 |
| South Carolina ......... | 78.4 | 79.2 | 82.6 | 84.3 | 84.7 | 86.1 | 70.3 | 69.5 | 60.3 | 63.9 |
| South Dakota ........... | 77.7 | 78.3 | 81.8 | 82.2 | 82.4 | 82.5 | 61.2 | 59.0 | 64.8 | 66.5 |
| Tennessee ............... | 82.8 | 82.8 | 85.7 | 85.7 | 87.8 | 87.6 | 72.3 | 72.2 | 58.7 | 57.1 |
| Texas ...................... | 80.6 | 80.3 | 80.7 | 80.5 | 87.8 | 88.0 | 76.6 | 77.0 | 75.1 | 74.2 |
| Utah ........................ | 79.5 | 79.3 | 80.5 | 80.3 | 83.6 | 83.5 | 60.1 | 61.7 | 62.5 | 60.8 |
| Vermont .................. | 89.1 | 89.3 | 89.2 | 89.3 | 89.4 | 89.5 | 71.0 | 77.4 | 80.5 | 81.8 |
| Virginia .................... | 85.2 | 85.1 | 87.9 | 87.8 | 90.7 | 90.5 | 76.6 | 76.5 | 70.1 | 69.8 |
| Washington .............. | 83.4 | 83.2 | 83.9 | 83.8 | 86.0 | 86.2 | 78.0 | 77.0 | 74.2 | 73.1 |
| West Virginia ............ | 85.9 | 86.3 | 86.3 | 86.8 | 86.4 | 86.9 | 75.4 | 76.2 | 73.8 | 63.4 |
| Wisconsin ................. | 84.4 | 83.8 | 86.6 | 86.2 | 88.2 | 87.7 | 71.4 | 69.6 | 69.5 | 69.8 |
| Wyoming ................. | 84.9 | 82.9 | 85.4 | 83.4 | 86.0 | 84.6 | 78.4 | 83.1 | 79.3 | 71.6 |
| Puerto Rico .............. | 81.0 | 79.4 | 81.6 | 80.1 | --- | --- | 75.0 | 71.1 | --- | --- |
| Virgin Islands ........... | 62.1 | 65.6 | 63.0 | 65.1 | 81.3 | 81.0 | 61.9 | 65.3 | 58.1 | 59.5 |
| Guam ...................... | 61.5 | 64.0 | 87.0 | 88.8 | 88.2 | 89.4 | 89.7 | 86.5 | 72.9 | 72.0 |
| American Samoa ...... | -- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Northern Marianas .... | --- | 30.1 | --- | * | --- | --- | --- | * | --- | --- |

[^5]NOTE: For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical Notes.

## Technical Notes

## Nature and sources of data

Preliminary birth data for 2002 are based on a substantial proportion ( 97.9 percent) of vital records for that year. The data for 2002 are based on a continuous receipt and processing of statistical records through March 7, 2003, by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS). NCHS receives the data from the States' vital registration systems through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program. In this report, U.S. totals include only events occurring within the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Data for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam are included in tables showing data by State, but are not included in U.S. totals. Tables by State generally show entries for American Samoa and the Northern Marianas, but preliminary data for these areas were not available by March 7, 2003, and are not presented in this report. Final data for 2001 for these areas are presented where available.

For 2002 individual records of births are weighted to independent counts of all births occurring in each State. These State-specific counts serve as control totals and are the basis for the record weights in the preliminary file. If the number of records in the preliminary file is greater than the count received from the State, the State-specific number of records in the preliminary file is used instead and the weight is set at 1.0.

Each birth record has one weight specific to the State where the birth occurred. Table I shows the percent completeness of the preliminary file by place of occurrence. The percent completeness is obtained by dividing the number of records in the preliminary file by the control total and multiplying by 100 . Although data by place of occurrence are used to compute the weights, all data in this report are tabulated by place of residence.

For selected variables in the natality file, unknown or not-stated values are imputed. Detailed information on reporting completeness and imputation procedures may be found in the Vital Statistics of the United States, 2001 Natality Technical Appendix (10).

Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Therefore, data shown by race include persons of Hispanic or non-Hispanic origin, and data for Hispanic origin include persons of any race. In this report, births of Hispanic origin are included in the totals for each race group-white, black, American Indian, and Asian or Pacific Islander-according to the mother's race as reported on the birth certificate. Data shown for Hispanic persons include all persons of Hispanic origin of any race. In 2001 approximately 98 percent of Hispanic-origin births were to white women. Data are shown separately for non-Hispanic white women because there are substantial differences in childbearing patterns between Hispanic and non-Hispanic white women. Roughly one in four white births were to Hispanic women in 2001.

From 1964 to 1996, mother's age was edited as follows: births reported to occur to mothers younger than age 10 or older than 49 years had age imputed according to the age of the mother from the previous record with same race and total birth order (total of live births and fetal deaths). Beginning in 1997, births reported to have occurred to mothers aged between 50 and 54 years were not imputed. A review and verification of unedited birth data for 1996 showed that the vast majority of births reported as occurring to women aged 50 years and over were to women aged 50-54 years. However, the numbers of births to women

Table I. Total count of records and percent completeness of preliminary file of live births: United States, each State and territory, preliminary 2002
[By place of occurrence]

| Area | Live births |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count of records | Percent completeness |
| United States ${ }^{1}$.......... | 4,025,616 | 97.9 |
| Alabama | 57,875 | 99.9 |
| Alaska .......................................... | 9,851 | 100.0 |
| Arizona .......................................... | 88,000 | 99.8 |
| Arkansas | 37,000 | 99.2 |
| California | 530,000 | 99.9 |
| Colorado | 68,525 | 100.0 |
| Connecticut | 42,638 | 100.0 |
| Delaware | 11,724 | 100.0 |
| District of Columbia | 14,593 | 99.9 |
| Florida ......................................... | 205,635 | 100.0 |
| Georgia ......................................... | 134,529 | 100.0 |
| Hawaii | 17,501 | 99.9 |
| Idaho | 20,445 | 100.0 |
| Illinois | 177,374 | 91.2 |
| Indiana | 85,021 | 98.9 |
| lowa. | 37,900 | 99.8 |
| Kansas | 39,660 | 99.9 |
| Kentucky | 52,760 | 88.5 |
| Louisiana | 65,050 | 99.0 |
| Maine ............................................ | 13,375 | 100.0 |
| Maryland | 68,829 | 99.9 |
| Massachusetts | 81,720 | 100.0 |
| Michigan | 130,000 | 98.8 |
| Minnesota | 68,075 | 100.0 |
| Mississippi | 40,523 | 99.7 |
| Missouri | 76,400 | 99.9 |
| Montana | 10,993 | 100.0 |
| Nebraska | 25,515 | 100.0 |
| Nevada | 32,159 | 99.1 |
| New Hampshire ............................... | 13,943 | 100.0 |
| New Jersey .................................... | 111,790 | 99.3 |
| New Mexico .................................... | 27,311 | 100.0 |
| New York ........................................ | 256,883 | 97.9 |
| New York excluding New York City ... | 133,953 | 96.0 |
| New York City | 122,930 | 100.0 |
| North Carolina ................................. | 119,157 | 91.2 |
| North Dakota .................................. | 8,877 | 100.0 |
| Ohio . | 145,275 | 87.4 |
| Oklahoma | 49,553 | 97.8 |
| Oregon .......................................... | 46,100 | 99.8 |
| Pennsylvania ................................... | 144,200 | 97.5 |
| Rhode Island .................................... | 13,572 | 80.5 |
| South Carolina ................................ | 52,160 | 100.0 |
| South Dakota .................................. | 11,016 | 100.0 |
| Tennessee ..................................... | 82,590 | 100.0 |
| Texas ............................................ | 372,540 | 96.1 |
| Utah ............................................... | 50,288 | 100.0 |
| Vermont ......................................... | 6,108 | 96.6 |
| Virginia .......................................... | 97,396 | 100.0 |
| Washington ................................... | 78,535 | 100.0 |
| West Virginia .................................. | 21,149 | 99.8 |
| Wisconsin ....................................... | 67,401 | 100.0 |
| Wyoming ....................................... | 6,102 | 99.8 |
| Puerto Rico .................................... | 52,866 | 99.7 |
| Virgin Islands .................................. | 1,697 | 72.2 |
| Guam ........................................... | 3,221 | 91.8 |
| American Samoa ............................. | -- | -- - |
| Northern Marianas ........................... | -- - | - - - |

[^6]aged 50-54 years are too small for computing age-specific birth rates and have been included with births to women aged 45-49 years for computing birth rates.

National estimates of births to unmarried women are based on two methods of determining marital status. For 2001 and 2002, birth certificates in 48 States and the District of Columbia included a direct question about mother's marital status; in California and Nevada, the direct question is part of the electronic birth registration process but does not appear on certified or paper copies of the birth certificate. The question in most States is: "Mother married? (At birth, conception, or any time between) (Yes or no)."

Marital status is inferred in Michigan and New York. A birth is inferred as nonmarital if the father's name is missing from the birth certificate or if a paternity acknowledgment was filed. Michigan data on marital status for 2002 included in this report are for births occurring January-October. Marital status data for November and December were incompletely reported in the preliminary file. The records will be completed before publication of the final file.

The birth rate for unmarried women for 2002 is estimated on the basis of population distributions by marital status provided by the U.S. Census Bureau as of March 2002 (7) applied to the national population estimates as of July 1 (11). Both population files are 2000-census based. The nonmarital birth rate shown here for 2002 thus differs from those published by NCHS in the annual final reports, which are based on populations estimated from 3-year averages of the marital status distributions, rather than a single year as shown here $(12,13)$. Population estimates for a single year are not an adequate basis for computing age-specific birth rates for unmarried women-these rates are available only in reports based on final data.

## Population denominators

Birth and fertility rates shown in this report for 2001 and 2002 are based on population estimates based on the 2000 census, as of July 1, 2001, and July 1, 2002. National rates for 2002 and State rates for 2001 and 2002 are based on population estimates published in 2002, which incorporate information not included in the earlier (2000 and 2001) estimates, and were provided by the U.S. Census Bureau (11,14). National rates for 2001 shown in this report are based on more detailed population estimates by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin in order to be consistent with rates published in "Revised Birth and Fertility Rates for the United States, 2000 and 2001" (6,15). Differences between rates based on different population estimates are generally very small.

The populations used in this report were produced under a collaborative arrangement with the U.S. Census Bureau and are based on the 2000 census counts. Reflecting the new guidelines issued in 1997 by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), the 2000 census included an option for individuals to report more than one race as appropriate for themselves and household members (16). In addition, the 1997 OMB guidelines called for reporting of Asian persons separately from Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders. In the 1977 OMB guidelines, data for Asian or Pacific Islander persons were collected as a single group (17). Birth certificates currently collect only one race for each parent in the same categories as specified in the 1977 OMB guidelines. In addition, birth certificate data do not report Asians separately from Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders. The birth certificate data by race (the numerators for birth and fertility rates) are
thus currently incompatible with the population data collected in the 2000 census (the denominators for the rates). In order to produce birth and fertility rates for 2001 and 2002, it was necessary to "bridge" the reported population data for multiple race persons back to single race categories. In addition, the 2000 census counts were modified to be consistent with the 1977 OMB racial categories, that is, to report the data for Asian persons and Native Hawaiians or other Pacific Islanders as a combined category, Asian or Pacific Islanders, and to reflect age as of the census reference date. The procedures used to produce the "bridged" populations are described in separate publications $(18,19)$. It is anticipated that "bridged" population data will be used over the next few years for computing population-based rates. Beginning with births occurring in 2003, some States will be collecting information on multiple race reporting. As States gradually begin to collect data on race according to the 1997 OMB guidelines, it is expected that use of the "bridged" populations can be discontinued.

Readers should keep in mind that the population data used to compile the birth and fertility rates by race and ethnicity shown in this report are based on special estimation procedures. They are not true counts. This is the case even for the 2000 populations that are based on the 2000 census. The estimation procedures used to develop these populations contain some errors. Smaller populations, for example, American Indians, are affected much more than larger populations by this measurement error (19). While the nature and magnitude of these errors is unknown, the potential for error should be kept in mind when evaluating trends and differentials. Over the next several years, additional information will be incorporated in the estimation procedures, possibly resulting in further revisions of the population estimates.

Population estimates for 2002 for the United States by race and Hispanic origin and population estimates for 2001 and 2002 for the territories were not available at the time this report was prepared, and therefore race-specific rates could not be calculated. Birth and fertility rates by race and Hispanic origin and for the territories will be reported in "Births: Final Data for 2002."

## Computing rates and percents

Age and race of mother are imputed if they are not stated ( 0.01 percent and 0.1 percent, respectively, for 2002). In computing birth rates by live birth order, births with live birth order not stated are distributed in proportion to stated data. Births with marital status not reported ( 0.03 percent for 2002) are included with births to married mothers. The gestational age of the newborn is primarily based on the interval between the first day of the mother's last normal menstrual period (LMP) and the date of birth. If the LMP-based gestational age is inconsistent with the infant's birthweight or is not reported, the "clinical estimate of gestation" is used if consistent with the infant's birthweight. Percents were computed using only events for which the characteristic is reported. The "Not stated" category is subtracted from the total before the percent is computed for birthweight, prenatal care, method of delivery, and preterm birth. Birth rates for the Hispanic population are based only on events to persons reported as Hispanic. Rates for non-Hispanic white persons are based on the sum of all white events reported as non-Hispanic and white events with origin not stated. Hispanic origin is not imputed if it is not reported.

An asterisk (*) indicates that the figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision. In this report, three sets of criteria determine whether a figure meets these standards:

- The State-specific sample is complete enough to provide reliable estimates. For example, a criterion of at least 75 percent of a State's records for the 12-month period is used as a basis for providing State-specific estimates (see table I).
- Reporting for any particular variable is at least 80 percent complete. In this report, no data were suppressed based on this criterion.
- A rate or percent is based on at least 20 births in the numerator.

Rates based on fewer than 20 births have a relative standard error (RSE) of about 23 percent or more and, therefore, are considered highly variable. However, some birth rates (based on data files that are less than 100-percent complete and based on 20-31 births) may have RSEs of 23 percent or more but are still shown instead of asterisks. As a result, caution should be exercised in analyzing rates based on 20-31 events. Additional information on random variation in numbers of events, rates, ratios, and percents may be found in "Reliability of estimates."

## Reliability of estimates

Because the preliminary estimates of births in this report are based on files that may not be complete, they are subject to sampling variability. Record weights are used to adjust record counts to independent control totals. The lack of completeness of the vital statistics files is due to delays in receiving and processing the live-birth records.

In addition, the natality file is subject to nonsampling errors or biases. Records that were delayed and were not included in this report are assumed to have the same characteristics as the records that were included in this report. Seasonal bias may occur because file completeness is greater during the early part than during the later part of the 12-month period for which the data are processed and tabulated.

Even if the number of vital events in this report were 100 percent complete and not subject to sampling variability, it might be affected by random variation. Thus, when the number of events is small and the probability of such an event is small, considerable caution must be observed in interpreting the data. Such infrequent events may be assumed to follow a Poisson probability distribution. The first column of table II shows the estimated RSEs of a file that is nearly 100 percent

Table II. Relative standard errors for preliminary number of live births by percent of file completeness
[Relative standard errors are expressed as a percent of the estimate]

| Estimated number of live births | Percent of file completeness |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 100 | 95 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 |
|  | Relative standard error (percent) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.. .......... | 100.0 | 102.6 | 105.4 | 111.8 | 119.5 | 129.1 |
| 5.. ........... | 44.7 | 45.9 | 47.1 | 50.0 | 53.5 | 57.7 |
| 10.. .......... | 31.6 | 32.4 | 33.3 | 35.4 | 37.8 | 40.8 |
| 20.. .......... | 22.4 | 22.9 | 23.6 | 25.0 | 26.7 | 28.9 |
| 30.. .......... | 18.3 | 18.7 | 19.2 | 20.4 | 21.8 | 23.6 |
| 40.. .......... | 15.8 | 16.2 | 16.7 | 17.7 | 18.9 | 20.4 |
| 50.. .......... | 14.1 | 14.5 | 14.9 | 15.8 | 16.9 | 18.3 |
| 60.. ........... | 12.9 | 13.2 | 13.6 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 16.7 |
| 70.. .......... | 12.0 | 12.3 | 12.6 | 13.4 | 14.3 | 15.4 |
| 80.. .......... | 11.2 | 11.5 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 13.4 | 14.4 |
| 90.. ........... | 10.5 | 10.8 | 11.1 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 13.6 |
| 100.. ........... | 10.0 | 10.3 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 12.9 |
| 200.. ........... | 7.1 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 8.5 | 9.1 |
| 300.. ........... | 5.8 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 |
| 400.. ........... | 5.0 | 5.1 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 6.0 | 6.5 |
| 500.. ........... | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 5.8 |
| 600.. ........... | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| 700.. .......... | 3.8 | 3.9 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| 800.. ........... | 3.5 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| 900.. .......... | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.3 |
| 1,000.. ........... | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 3.8 | 4.1 |
| 2,000.. ........... | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| 5,000.. ........... | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 1.8 |
| 10,000.. ........... | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| 20,000.. ........... | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.9 |
| 50,000.. ........... | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| 100,000.. .......... | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| 200,000.. .......... | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| 500,000.. ........... | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
| 1,000,000.. ........... | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 2,000,000.. ........... | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| 4,000,000.. .......... | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |

complete. The estimated RSEs of the 2001 final data, the preliminary 2002 control totals, and the preliminary 2002 data (based on nearly 100 percent of a file) are shown in the first column of table II.

Columns 2-6 of table II show the estimated RSEs for various levels of file completeness (i.e., incorporate both sources of variability, sampling error and random error). The estimated RSEs in table II were computed using this formula:

$$
\mathrm{RSE}=100 \sqrt{\bar{X}+\frac{(1-f)(N-X)}{f X\left(N-\frac{1}{f}\right)}}
$$

where
$f=$ the sampling fraction or the percent of file completeness/100 from table I.
$X=$ the estimated number of live births.
$N=$ the total count of live births for the United States or any State. (NOTE: The RSEs shown in table II are based on $N=4,000,000$. If $N$ is smaller, the RSEs may be slightly smaller than those shown.)
RSEs may be used to compute 95 percent confidence intervals for the number of events $(X)$, for a rate $(R)$, or for a percent $(P)$ and to compute statistical tests concerning the equality of two rates ( $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ ) or two percents ( $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ ).

For the number of live births, the 95 percent confidence interval may be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower limit: } X_{1}-1.96 \cdot X_{1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(X_{1}\right)}{100} \\
& \text { Upper limit: } X_{1}+1.96 \cdot X_{1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(X_{1}\right)}{100}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a hypothetical example, assume the number of births, $X_{1}$, is 70 from a file with 80 percent completeness. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower limit: } 70-1.96 \cdot 70 \cdot \frac{13.4}{100}=51.6 \\
& \text { Upper limit: } 70+1.96 \cdot 70 \cdot \frac{13.4}{100}=88.4
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that the chances are 95 times out of 100 that the confidence interval (51.6-88.4) will cover the "true" number of births.

For rates based on population estimates in the denominator, the 95 percent confidence interval may be computed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower limit: } R_{1}-1.96 \cdot R_{1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(R_{1}\right)}{100} \\
& \text { Upper limit: } R_{1}+1.96 \cdot R_{1} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(R_{1}\right)}{100}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a hypothetical example, assume the birth rate, $R_{1}$, is 20.0, which is based on 70 births from a file with 80 percent completeness.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Lower limit: } 20.0-1.96 \cdot 20.0 \cdot \frac{13.4}{100}=14.7 \\
& \text { Upper limit: } 20.0+1.96 \cdot 20.0 \cdot \frac{13.4}{100}=25.3
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that the chances are 95 times out of 100 that the confidence interval (14.7-25.3) will cover the "true" rate.

For testing the equality of two rates, $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$, the following $z$-test may be used to define a significance test statistic:

$$
z=\frac{R_{1}-R_{2}}{\sqrt{R_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(R_{1}\right)}{100}\right)^{2}+R_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{RSE}\left(R_{2}\right)}{100}\right)^{2}}}
$$

The two-tailed 0.95 critical value for a $z$ statistic is 1.96 . Therefore, if $|z|$ is greater than or equal to 1.96 , the difference is significant at the 0.05 level. If $|z|$ is less than 1.96 , then the difference would be considered not statistically significant at the 0.05 level.

As a hypothetical example, assume $R_{1}$ is the same as the above example for the current 12-month period and that $R_{2}, 15.0$, is based on 50 births occurring in the prior 12-month period (which implies that the file is approximately 100 percent complete for $R_{2}$ ). The $z$-test may be determined as follows:

$$
z=\frac{20.0-15.0}{\sqrt{(20.0)^{2}\left(\frac{13.4}{100}\right)^{2}+(15.0)^{2}\left(\frac{14.1}{100}\right)^{2}}}=1.46
$$

Because $|z|$ is less than 1.96, there is not a statistically significant difference between the two rates at the 0.05 level of significance.

| Contents |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abstract. |  |
| Introduction |  |
| Sources and Methods |  |
| Results |  |
| Trends in numbers and rates | 2 |
| References | 5 |
| List of Detailed Tables | 6 |
| Technical Notes. | 16 |

## Acknowledgments

This report was prepared under the general direction of James A. Weed, Acting Director of the Division of Vital Statistics (DVS), and Stephanie J. Ventura, Chief of the Reproductive Statistics Branch (RSB). Nicholas F. Pace, Chief of the Systems, Programming, and Statistical Resources Branch (SPSRB), Steven J. Steimel, Gail A. Parr, David P. Johnson, Jaleh Mousavi, Manju Sharma, Jordan A. Sacks, and Thomas D. Dunn provided computer programming support and statistical tables. Melissa M. Park and Martha Little Munson of RSB provided content review. Staff of the Data Acquisition and Evaluation Branch carried out quality evaluation and acceptance procedures for the State data files on which this report is based and were responsible for receipt and processing of the basic data file. The Registration Methods staff of DVS consulted with State vital statistics offices regarding the collection of birth certificate data. This report was edited by Demarius V. Miller, typeset by Jacqueline M. Davis, and graphics were produced by Jarmila G. Ogburn of the Publications Branch, Division of Data Services.

## Suggested citation

Hamilton BE, Martin JA, Sutton PD. Births: Preliminary data for 2002. National vital statistics reports; vol 51 no 11. Hyattsville, Maryland: National Center for Health Statistics. 2003.

## National Center for Health Statistics

Director, Edward J. Sondik, Ph.D.
Deputy Director, Jack R. Anderson

## Division of Vital Statistics

Acting Director, James A. Weed, Ph.D.

To receive this publication regularly, contact the National Center for Health Statistics by calling 301-458-4636. E-mail: nchsquery @cdc.gov Internet: www.cdc.gov/nchs

## Copyright information

All material appearing in this report is in the public domain and may be reproduced or copied without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF <br> HEALTH \& HUMAN SERVICES

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics 3311 Toledo Road


[^0]:    See footnotes at end of table.

[^1]:    0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05 .
    $\star$
    1
    Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator.
    The rate shown is the fertility rate, which is defined as the total number of births, regardless of age of mother, per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years.
    The rate shown is the fertility rate, which is defined as the total number of births, regardless of age of mother, per 1,000 women aged 15-44 years.
    The birth rate for ages $45-49$ years is computed by relating births to women aged $45-54$ years to women aged $45-49$ years, because most of the births in this group are to women aged 45-49.
    3 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical Notes.
    4 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
    5 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes.
    NOTES: For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion, see Technical Notes. Rates by race and Hispanic origin for 2002 are based on population estimates provided by the U.S. Census Bureau (U.S. Census Bureau. Unpublished census file. est_vin02_02.txt. Estimate of the United States population by State, age, race, Hispanic origin and sex: 2002. Washington: U.S. Census Bureau.)

[^2]:    --- Data not available.
    1 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines.
    Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical Notes.
    2 Includes births to Aleuts and Eskimos.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes.
    4 Excludes data for the territories.
    NOTE: Rates by territory are not shown because population estimates, based on the 2000 census, are not available; see Technical Notes. For information on the relative standard errors of the data and further discussion; see Technical Notes.

[^3]:    * Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator.
    --- Data not available.
    1 Includes races other than white and black.
    2 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines.
    Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical Notes.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes.
    4 Excludes data for the territories.
    52002 data based on births occurring January to October; see Technical Notes.

[^4]:    * Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator.
    -- Data not available.
    1 Includes races other than white and black.
    2 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines.
    Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical Notes.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes.
    4 Excludes data for the territories.

[^5]:    -- Data not available.

    * Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision; based on fewer than 20 births in the numerator.

    1 Includes races other than white and black.
    2 Race and Hispanic origin are reported separately on the birth certificate. Race categories are consistent with the 1977 Office of Management and Budget guidelines.
    Data for persons of Hispanic origin are included in the data for each race group according to the mother's reported race; see Technical Notes.
    3 Includes all persons of Hispanic origin of any race; see Technical Notes.
    4 Excludes data for the territories.

[^6]:    1 Excludes data for Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and Northern Marianas.

    NOTE: Percent completeness $=$ Number of records in preliminary file * 100
    Count of records

