Modeled estimates (with standard errors) of the percent distribution of household telephone status for adults aged 18 and over, by state: United States, 2013

| Geographic area | Wireless-only | Wirelessmostly | Dual-use | Landlinemostly | Landline-only | No telephone service | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alaska | 31.8 (2.5) | 21.1 (2.0) | 30.6 (2.5) | 10.9 (1.5) | 4.1 (1.1) | 1.4 | 100.0 |
| Alabama | 37.1 (2.0) | 16.9 (1.5) | 22.4 (1.8) | 13.6 (1.3) | 7.8 (1.3) | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| Arkansas | 53.9 (2.1) | 14.6 (1.4) | 14.2 (1.5) | 8.8 (1.1) | 5.1 (1.0) | 3.4 | 100.0 |
| Arizona | 45.9 (1.8) | 15.4 (1.2) | 17.5 (1.4) | 9.7 (0.9) | 9.1 (1.1) | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| California | 36.4 (0.8) | 22.4 (0.7) | 23.2 (0.7) | 10.2 (0.4) | 6.1 (0.4) | 1.7 | 100.0 |
| Colorado | 44.7 (1.8) | 16.5 (1.2) | 19.3 (1.5) | 11.9 (1.0) | 5.6 (0.9) | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| Connecticut | 25.1 (1.7) | 17.8 (1.4) | 30.8 (1.9) | 16.1 (1.2) | 9.0 (1.2) | 1.2 | 100.0 |
| District of Columbia | 47.0 (2.3) | 17.2 (1.7) | 20.4 (1.9) | 7.5 (1.1) | 5.2 (1.1) | 2.7 | 100.0 |
| Delaware | 26.2 (2.0) | 22.8 (1.8) | 29.0 (2.1) | 16.3 (1.5) | 4.4 (1.0) | 1.4 | 100.0 |
| Florida | 42.6 (1.2) | 17.2 (0.9) | 18.7 (1.0) | 10.9 (0.7) | 7.3 (0.7) | 3.3 | 100.0 |
| Georgia | 42.0 (1.5) | 19.9 (1.2) | 20.8 (1.3) | 9.2 (0.8) | 5.6 (0.8) | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| Hawaii | 35.6 (2.0) | 15.4 (1.5) | 31.1 (2.0) | 8.9 (1.0) | 7.0 (1.2) | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| lowa | 47.6 (1.8) | 17.1 (1.3) | 16.7 (1.4) | 11.4 (1.0) | 4.8 (0.9) | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| Idaho | 52.7 (2.2) | 13.7 (1.4) | 16.7 (1.7) | 9.2 (1.1) | 4.8 (1.0) | 2.9 | 100.0 |
| Illinois | 40.8 (1.5) | 18.0 (1.1) | 22.5 (1.3) | 11.8 (0.8) | 4.9 (0.7) | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| Indiana | 41.4 (1.8) | 15.9 (1.2) | 17.7 (1.4) | 13.0 (1.0) | 9.0 (1.2) | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| Kansas | 43.8 (1.9) | 15.9 (1.3) | 19.7 (1.5) | 10.9 (1.0) | 7.1 (1.1) | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| Kentucky | 40.2 (2.1) | 15.2 (1.5) | 17.7 (1.7) | 15.0 (1.4) | 9.0 (1.4) | 2.9 | 100.0 |
| Louisiana | 38.7 (2.2) | 19.9 (1.6) | 23.1 (2.0) | 8.8 (1.1) | 7.2 (1.3) | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Massachusetts | 26.1 (1.6) | 18.4 (1.3) | 33.0 (1.8) | 14.2 (1.1) | 7.1 (1.0) | 1.2 | 100.0 |
| Maryland | 30.1 (1.6) | 18.8 (1.3) | 29.8 (1.7) | 13.9 (1.1) | 5.7 (0.9) | 1.8 | 100.0 |
| Maine | 38.4 (2.9) | 13.1 (1.8) | 19.4 (2.4) | 20.1 (2.1) | 7.4 (1.7) | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| Michigan | 43.1 (1.7) | 13.5 (1.1) | 20.4 (1.4) | 14.1 (1.0) | 6.5 (0.9) | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| Minnesota | 38.9 (1.6) | 17.9 (1.2) | 24.6 (1.5) | 11.1 (0.9) | 5.9 (0.9) | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| Missouri | 42.9 (1.9) | 16.8 (1.3) | 19.2 (1.6) | 12.0 (1.1) | 6.6 (1.1) | 2.6 | 100.0 |
| Mississippi | 51.1 (2.1) | 14.0 (1.4) | 14.5 (1.5) | 11.9 (1.2) | 6.0 (1.1) | 2.5 | 100.0 |
| Montana | 39.2 (2.6) | 13.7 (1.7) | 16.1 (2.0) | 14.8 (1.7) | 13.2 (1.9) | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| North Carolina | 36.8 (1.6) | 14.1 (1.1) | 24.6 (1.5) | 14.3 (1.1) | 8.1 (1.0) | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| North Dakota | 46.0 (2.0) | 12.3 (1.3) | 19.3 (1.7) | 8.0 (1.0) | 12.3 (1.4) | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Nebraska | 39.2 (2.0) | 18.7 (1.5) | 21.1 (1.8) | 12.4 (1.2) | 6.8 (1.1) | 1.7 | 100.0 |
| New Hampshire | 27.1 (1.6) | 17.8 (1.3) | 30.1 (1.7) | 17.9 (1.2) | 5.2 (0.9) | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| New Jersey | 21.1 (1.4) | 24.6 (1.4) | 32.3 (1.7) | 14.9 (1.1) | 5.5 (0.9) | 1.5 | 100.0 |
| New Mexico | 45.0 (2.3) | 13.6 (1.4) | 19.6 (1.8) | 7.3 (1.0) | 11.4 (1.6) | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| Nevada | 43.1 (1.8) | 18.1 (1.3) | 17.6 (1.4) | 8.8 (0.9) | 10.4 (1.2) | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| New York | 27.2 (1.1) | 18.1 (0.9) | 29.1 (1.2) | 14.8 (0.8) | 8.7 (0.8) | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Ohio | 39.7 (1.4) | 17.1 (1.0) | 19.9 (1.2) | 14.6 (0.9) | 5.6 (0.7) | 3.0 | 100.0 |
| Oklahoma | 45.8 (1.9) | 15.8 (1.3) | 20.5 (1.6) | 8.8 (1.0) | 7.0 (1.1) | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Oregon | 42.4 (2.0) | 14.4 (1.3) | 16.8 (1.6) | 15.9 (1.3) | 8.5 (1.2) | 1.9 | 100.0 |
| Pennsylvania | 28.9 (1.3) | 17.9 (1.1) | 26.8 (1.4) | 15.9 (1.0) | 8.7 (0.9) | 1.8 | 100.0 |
| Rhode Island | 28.7 (2.0) | 19.0 (1.7) | 27.6 (2.1) | 15.7 (1.5) | 7.3 (1.3) | 1.6 | 100.0 |
| South Carolina | 43.1 (2.2) | 16.9 (1.5) | 18.4 (1.8) | 12.0 (1.2) | 7.2 (1.3) | 2.4 | 100.0 |
| South Dakota | 31.1 (1.9) | 22.9 (1.6) | 20.3 (1.7) | 11.6 (1.2) | 12.0 (1.4) | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Tennessee | 41.4 (1.8) | 17.0 (1.2) | 21.8 (1.6) | 11.0 (1.0) | 6.5 (1.0) | 2.4 | 100.0 |
| Texas | 48.4 (1.1) | 19.5 (0.9) | 15.8 (0.9) | 7.6 (0.5) | 6.5 (0.6) | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| Utah | 49.8 (2.0) | 15.5 (1.4) | 19.5 (1.6) | 9.0 (1.0) | 4.1 (0.9) | 2.1 | 100.0 |
| Virginia | 35.9 (1.9) | 18.4 (1.4) | 25.6 (1.8) | 12.5 (1.1) | 5.6 (1.0) | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| Vermont | 31.4 (1.8) | 12.0 (1.2) | 15.6 (1.5) | 24.3 (1.5) | 15.3 (1.5) | 1.4 | 100.0 |
| Washington | 42.0 (1.5) | 18.9 (1.1) | 18.0 (1.2) | 13.0 (0.9) | 5.8 (0.8) | 2.3 | 100.0 |
| Wisconsin | 40.9 (1.7) | 13.1 (1.1) | 19.4 (1.4) | 15.4 (1.1) | 9.1 (1.1) | 2.2 | 100.0 |
| West Virginia | 32.2 (2.6) | 12.5 (1.7) | 15.9 (2.1) | 21.5 (2.0) | 15.1 (2.2) | 2.9 | 100.0 |
| Wyoming | 47.5 (1.6) | 22.0 (1.3) | 17.4 (1.2) | 5.2 (0.6) | 5.9 (0.8) | 2.0 | 100.0 |

[^0]NOTES: Small-area statistical modeling techniques were used to combine National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) data collected from within specific geographies (states and some counties) with auxiliary data that are representative of those geographies to produce model-based estimates. Estimates were modeled using the procedures described in previous National Health Statistics Reports (e.g., http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhsr/nhsr039.pdf), with a few modifications: Models were based on five 12-month periods (2009-2013); an Akaike information criterion (AIC) was used to select the best set of covariates for the models given the revised data years; variances for the direct estimates were computed using inhouse rather than publicly available sample design variables; and the reported standard errors were based on the variance of the estimate prior to benchmarking to the national NHIS estimates for the corresponding phone category and the state-level American Community Survey (ACS) estimates for the population without telephone service. The proportion of adults living in households with no telephone service was not modeled. Other proportions were adjusted so that this estimate agreed with the 2012 ACS estimate for this proportion. Small-area statistical modeling assumes that the design-based estimates of variance are stable and that the direct estimates are unbiased. Users are therefore cautioned that the approach used to create the model-based estimates can produce substantially biased prevalence estimates and unstable variance estimates when the direct estimate from NHIS is based on small sample sizes, when that sample is drawn from only a few geographic areas, and when those few geographic areas are not representative of the state or county of interest.
SOURCES: CDC/NCHS, National Health Interview Survey, 2009-2013; U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2008-2012; and infoUSA.com consumer database, 2008 -2012. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Estimates were calculated by Nadarajasundaram Ganesh of NORC at the University of Chicago, in collaboration with staff of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Center for Health Statistics, Division of Health Interview Statistics and Office of Research and Methodology.


[^0]:    See notes on next page.

