

Hospital Reporting & State Tracking Information

- 9) Number of birthing hospitals/facilities: Act Est
- 10) Number of birthing hospitals/facilities with Universal Newborn Hearing Screening (UNHS): Act Est
- 11) Does your state/territory define a UNHS birthing hospital/facility by the percent of infants screened: Yes No

a) If yes, please select one of the following choices:

- Screen 95% or over Screen 90% or over Screen 85% or over Other (Please specify)

12) How do birthing hospitals/facilities report hearing screening information to the state / territory (check all that apply):

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Auris | <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic Forms | <input type="checkbox"/> QS Technologies |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Blood Spot Card | <input type="checkbox"/> HI*Track | <input type="checkbox"/> Paper Reporting Form(s) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Custom/State Developed | <input type="checkbox"/> Hospitals do not report | <input type="checkbox"/> OZ System |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic Birth Certificate (EBC) | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) | |

13) What system, if any, does **the state/territory** use to track hearing screening and follow-up information for infants/children:

- | | | |
|---|---|------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Auris | <input type="checkbox"/> Limelight Technologies | <input type="checkbox"/> None |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Custom/State Developed | <input type="checkbox"/> Neometrics | <input type="checkbox"/> OZ System |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HI*Track | <input type="checkbox"/> Other (please specify) | |

Hearing Loss Type & Severity

DSHPSHWA uses the following criteria to classify hearing loss:

Mild: 21 – 40dB HL Severe: 71 – 90dB HL
 Moderate: 41 – 70dB HL Profound: 91 + dB HL

14) Does your state/territory use the DSHPSHWA system to classify the severity of a hearing loss? Yes No

- If you answered No to the previous question, please specify the classification criteria used.

Mild (dB): Severe (dB):
 Moderate (dB): Profound (dB):

15) Please complete the following tables if your state/territory recorded the type and severity of hearing loss for children with PCHL in 2003.

UNILATERAL HEARING LOSSES:

SENSORINEURAL (Total #)				CONDUCTIVE (Total #)		MIXED (Total #)			
Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound	Mild	Moderate	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound

BILATERAL HEARING LOSSES:

SENSORINEURAL (Total #)				CONDUCTIVE (Total #)		MIXED (Total #)			
Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound	Mild	Moderate	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Profound

- If your state did not collect the above data, are there any plans to record this data in the future? Yes No
- If you answered Yes, estimated date to begin recording type and severity of identified cases of PCHL:

Year 2002 EHDI Data Update

Note: This section is only for states that provided data for last year's DSHPHWA form (i.e., for reporting year 2002). Only those states are requested to update the information they provided for reporting year 2002. If you did not return a 2002 DSHPHWA form last year, please do not complete this section.

6) Number of Children Identified with Permanent Childhood Hearing Loss (PCHL) in birthing Year 2002: Act Est

7) Average, Median, and Age Range of Children when they are diagnosed with PCHL

a) Average Age (Months): Act Est

b) Median Age (Months): Act Est

c) Minimum Age (Months): Act Est

d) Maximum Age (Months): Act Est

Example: Supposing that in 2003, 3 additional children born in 2002 are identified with the following ages of diagnosis: 3.50 months, 7.75 months, 13.00 months. The average age of diagnosis for 2002 would change to: $1.00 + 1.25 + 3.75 + 4.50 + 6.25 + 3.50 + 7.75 + 13.00 = 41.00$ divided by 8 = 5.13 months. The median age would change to 5.4 months based on: 1.00 1.25 3.75 4.50 6.25 3.50 7.75 13.00. The midpoint is half of the difference between 4.50 and 6.25 = 5.4 months

8) Number of Children born in 2002 with PCHL receiving intervention: Act Est

Additional Comments: