

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY

WEEKLY REPORT

- 1101 State-Specific Prevalence of Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults, and Policies and Attitudes About Secondhand Smoke
- 1106 Coccidioidomycosis Among Persons Attending the World Championship of Model Airplane Flying
- **1107** Cigarette Smoking in 99 Metropolitan Areas — United States
- 1113 Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication — Eastern Mediterranean Region
- 1117 Notice to Readers

State-Specific Prevalence of Current Cigarette Smoking Among Adults, and Policies and Attitudes About Secondhand Smoke — United States, 2000

Tobacco use, particularly cigarette smoking, is the leading preventable cause of death in the United States, but the health consequences extend beyond smokers to nonsmokers involuntarily exposed to environmental tobacco smoke or secondhand smoke (SHS) (1). Each year, an estimated 3,000 lung cancer deaths and 62,000 deaths from coronary heart disease in adult nonsmokers are attributed to SHS (2). Among children, SHS causes sudden infant death syndrome, low birthweight, chronic middle ear infections, and respiratory illnesses (e.g., asthma, bronchitis, and pneumonia) (2). Two national health objectives for 2010 are to reduce cigarette smoking among adults to 12% (objective 27-1) and the proportion of nonsmokers exposed to environmental tobacco smoke to 45% (objective 27-10) (1). To characterize state-specific prevalence of cigarette smoking among adults, exposure to SHS at home, smoke-free workplace policies, and attitudes toward smoke-free policies by state, CDC analyzed data from the 2000 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). This report summarizes the results of that analysis and indicates that in 2000, state-specific adult smoking prevalence ranged from 12.9%-30.5%, and high levels of public support exist, even among smokers, for smoke-free policies in many settings. States should implement comprehensive programs to reduce tobacco use and adopt clean indoor air policies to reduce involuntary exposure to SHS.

BRFSS is a state-based, random-digit-dialed telephone survey of the noninstitutionalized U.S. population aged \geq 18 years. The 2000 BRFSS was conducted in the 50 states, the District of Columbia (DC), and Puerto Rico. To determine current cigarette smoking, respondents were asked, "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?" and "Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?" Current smokers were defined as those who reported having smoked \geq 100 cigarettes during their lifetime and who currently smoked every day or some days.

Respondents in 20 states were asked questions on smoking in the home, awareness of an official workplace smoke-free policy, and their attitudes about smoking bans in specific areas. To assess home exposure to SHS, respondents were asked, "In the past 30 days has anyone, including yourself, smoked cigarettes, cigars, or pipes anywhere inside your home?" Those who reported no smoking in the home during the preceding 30 days provided some indication of protection from exposure but not the existence of any rules or policies about smoking in the home. To assess awareness of workplace smoking policies, respondents who reported working indoors most of the time were asked, "Which of the following best describes your place of work's official smoking policy

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Secondhand Smoke — Continued

for indoor public or common areas, such as lobbies, rest rooms, and lunch rooms?" and "Which of the following best describes your place of work's official smoking policy for work areas?" Possible responses for both questions were "not allowed in any public/work areas," "allowed in some public/work areas," "allowed in all public/work areas," or "no official policy." To assess attitudes about smoke-free policies, respondents were asked, "In the following locations, do you think that smoking should be allowed in all areas, some areas, or not allowed at all?" These locations were restaurants, schools, day care centers, and indoor work areas. The percentage of respondents who reported that no smoking was allowed in the home, that smoking was not allowed in work areas, and that smoking should not be allowed at all in restaurants, schools, day care centers, and indoor work areas distribution of each state's population, and 95% confidence intervals were calculated using SUDAAN. Statistical significance was determined on the basis of nonoverlapping confidence intervals. The median response rate was 53.2% (range: 35.5%–77.7%).

The cigarette smoking prevalence in 2000 differed approximately twofold (Table 1). The 12 areas with the highest prevalence of current smoking (Kentucky, Nevada, Missouri, Indiana, Ohio, West Virginia, North Carolina, Tennessee, New Hampshire, Alabama, Arkansas, and Alaska) differed significantly from the 12 areas with lower prevalence (Utah, Puerto Rico, California, Arizona, Montana, Hawaii, Minnesota, Connecticut, Massachusetts, Colorado, Maryland, and Washington). The median smoking prevalence among men was 24.4% (range: 14.5%–33.4%) and among women was 21.2% (range: 9.9%–29.5%). Utah had the lowest prevalence for men (14.5%) and Puerto Rico had the lowest for women (9.9%).

For the 20 states that collected optional information, the proportion of adults reporting no smoking in their home during the 30 days preceding the survey ranged from 60.8% in West Virginia to 79.0% in Colorado (Table 2). The proportion of adults who work primarily indoors and reported an official workplace policy that no smoking was allowed in indoor public or common areas and work areas ranged from 61.4% in Mississippi to 83.9% in Montana. The proportion who thought that smoking should not be allowed in restaurants ranged from 44.3% in North Carolina to 63.6% in Montana. The proportion who thought that smoking should not be allowed at all in schools and day care centers was uniformly high. The proportion who thought that smoking should not be allowed at all in indoor work areas ranged from 66.4% in Wisconsin to 83.8% in DC. Current smokers and nonsmokers reported similar attitudes about not allowing smoking at all in schools (median: 89.1% for smokers and 95.6% for nonsmokers) and day care centers (median: 94.2% for smokers and 97.6% for nonsmokers); however, the proportion who thought smoking should not be allowed at all differed widely between smokers and nonsmokers for restaurants (median: 25.9% for smokers versus 66.2% for nonsmokers) and indoor work areas (median: 57.6% for smokers versus 82.1% for nonsmokers).

Reported by the following BRFSS coordinators: S Reese, MPH, Alabama; P Owens, Alaska; R Weyant, Arizona; B Woodson, Arkansas; B Davis, PhD, California; D Brand, MSPH, Colorado; M Adams, MPH, Connecticut; F Breukelman, Delaware; J Davies-Cole, District of Columbia; S Oba, MSPH, Florida; L Martin, MS, Georgia; F Reyes-Salvail, MS, Hawaii; J Aydelotte, MA, Idaho; B Steiner, MS, Illinois; L Stemnock, Indiana; D Shepherd, PhD, Iowa; C Hunt, Kansas; T Sparks, Kentucky; B Bates, MSPH, Louisiana; J Graber, MS, Maine; H Lopez, Maryland; D Brooks, MPH, Massachusetts; H McGee, MPH, Michigan; N Salem, PhD, Minnesota; D Johnson, MS, Mississippi; J Jackson-Thompson, PhD, Missouri; P Feigley, PhD, Montana; L Andelt, PhD, Nebraska; E DeJan, MPH, Nevada; J Porter, New Hampshire; G Boeselager, MS,

Secondhand Smoke — Continued

	Men	Women	Tot	tal
Area	% (95% CI ⁺)	% (95% Cl)	%	(95% CI)
Alabama	29.0 (<u>+</u> 3.8)	22.0 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	25.3	(<u>+</u> 2.2)
Alaska	26.8 (<u>+</u> 4.1)	23.1 (<u>+</u> 3.6)	25.0	(<u>+</u> 2.8)
Arizona	18.4 (<u>+</u> 4.4)	18.8 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	18.6	(<u>+</u> 3.1)
Arkansas	26.2 (<u>+</u> 2.9)	24.2 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	25.2	(<u>+</u> 1.8)
California	20.1 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	14.4 (<u>+</u> 1.6)	17.2	(<u>+</u> 1.5)
Colorado	19.5 (<u>+</u> 2.9)	20.6 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	20.1	(<u>+</u> 2.0)
Connecticut	20.5 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	19.5 (<u>+</u> 1.8)	20.0	(<u>+</u> 1.5)
Delaware	25.8 (<u>+</u> 3.4)	20.3 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	23.0	(<u>+</u> 2.1)
District of Columbia	22.1 (<u>+</u> 3.6)	19.9 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	20.9	(<u>+</u> 2.2)
Florida	24.5 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	22.1 (<u>+</u> 1.7)	23.2	(<u>+</u> 1.4)
Georgia	26.5 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	21.0 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	23.6	(<u>+</u> 1.7)
Hawaii	22.9 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	16.5 (<u>+</u> 1.7)	19.7	(<u>+</u> 1.4)
Idaho	22.9 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	21.9 (<u>+</u> 1.8)	22.4	(<u>+</u> 1.4)
Illinois	24.9 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	20.0 (<u>+</u> 1.9)	22.3	(<u>+</u> 1.6)
Indiana	28.5 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	25.5 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	27.0	(<u>+</u> 1.8)
lowa	25.9 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	20.9 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	23.3	(<u>+</u> 1.7)
Kansas	24.2 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	18.2 (<u>+</u> 1.7)	21.1	(<u>+</u> 1.4)
Kentucky	33.4 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	27.9 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	30.5	(<u>+</u> 1.6)
Louisiana	26.7 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	21.8 (<u>+</u> 1.6)	24.1	(<u>+</u> 1.4)
Maine	24.6 (<u>+</u> 3.4)	23.1 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	23.8	(<u>+</u> 2.2)
Maryland	22.0 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	19.2 (<u>+</u> 1.8)	20.6	(<u>+</u> 1.5)
Massachusetts	20.2 (<u>+</u> 1.7)	19.8 (<u>+</u> 1.4)	20.0	(<u>+</u> 1.1)
Michigan	26.0 (<u>+</u> 2.9)	22.5 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	24.2	(<u>+</u> 1.9)
Minnesota	20.7 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	18.9 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	19.8	(<u>+</u> 1.7)
Mississippi	25.3 (<u>+</u> 3.4)	21.9 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	23.5	(<u>+</u> 2.2)
Missouri	30.1 (<u>+</u> 3.1)	24.6 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	27.2	(+1.9)
Montana	18.0 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	19.7 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	18.9	(<u>+</u> 1.8)
Nebraska	22.1 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	20.7 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	21.4	(<u>+</u> 1.7)
Nevada	28.7 (<u>+</u> 3.6)	29.5 (<u>+</u> 4.2)	29.1	(<u>+</u> 2.8)
New Hampshire	26.9 (<u>+</u> 3.7)	23.9 (<u>+</u> 2.9)	25.4	(<u>+</u> 2.3)
New Jersey	23.5 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	18.6 (<u>+</u> 1.8)	21.0	(<u>+</u> 1.5)
New Mexico	26.2 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	21.2 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	23.6	(<u>+</u> 1.7)
New York	22.5 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	20.9 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	21.6	(<u>+</u> 1.6)
North Carolina	28.4 (<u>+</u> 3.2)	24.1 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	26.1	(<u>+</u> 1.9)
North Dakota	25.9 (<u>+</u> 3.3)	20.7 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	23.3	(<u>+</u> 2.1)
Ohio	26.7 (<u>+</u> 3.5)	26.0 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	26.3	(<u>+</u> 2.2)
Oklahoma	23.7 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	23.0 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	23.3	(<u>+</u> 1.6)
Oregon	22.3 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	19.3 (<u>+</u> 1.9)	20.8	(<u>+</u> 1.5)
Pennsylvania	25.4 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	23.3 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	24.3	(<u>+</u> 1.6)
Puerto Rico	16.8 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	9.9 (<u>+</u> 1.6)	13.1	(<u>+</u> 1.5)
Rhode Island	23.8 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	23.2 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	23.5	(<u>+</u> 1.7)
South Carolina	28.5 (<u>+</u> 3.2)	21.3 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	24.7	(<u>+</u> 1.9)
South Dakota	22.6 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	21.4 (<u>+</u> 1.7)	22.0	(<u>+</u> 1.4)
Tennessee	27.7 (<u>+</u> 3.1)	23.8 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	25.7	(<u>+</u> 1.8)
Texas	25.3 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	18.8 (<u>+</u> 1.5)	22.0	(<u>+</u> 1.3)
Utah	14.5 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	11.4 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	12.9	(<u>+</u> 1.6)
Vermont	21.8 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	21.2 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	21.5	(<u>+</u> 1.6)
Virginia	24.4 (<u>+</u> 3.4)	18.8 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	21.5	(<u>+</u> 2.1)
Washington	21.7 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	19.7 (<u>+</u> 1.9)	20.7	(<u>+</u> 1.5)
West Virginia	27.8 (<u>+</u> 3.1)	24.7 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	26.1	(<u>+</u> 1.9)
Wisconsin	24.4 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	23.9 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	24.1	(<u>+</u> 1.8)
Wyoming	23.2 (+3.8)	24.3 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	23.8	(+1.9)

TABLE 1. Prevalence of	f current cigarette smokir	ng* among adults, by a	rea and sex
— Behavioral Risk Fac	tor Surveillance System,	, United States, 2000	

*Persons aged ≥18 years who reported having smoked ≥100 cigarettes and who reported smoking every day or some days. [†] Confidence interval.

	No smoking	Smoke-free policies in	No smoking	No smoking	No smoking in	No smoking in indoor
Area	<u>In nome</u> % (95% Cl [§])	<u>worк areas</u> % (95% Cl)	% (95% CI)	<u>In schools</u> % (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	<u>work areas</u> % (95% CI)
Alaska	78.2 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	75.0 (<u>+</u> 4.1)	62.4 (<u>+</u> 3.1)	97.0 (<u>+</u> 0.9)	98.5 (<u>+</u> 0.6)	78.9 (<u>+</u> 2.6)
Colorado	79.0 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	72.9 (<u>+</u> 3.3)	60.7 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	93.9 (<u>+</u> 1.4)	96.4 (<u>+</u> 1.2)	79.3 (<u>+</u> 2.0)
Delaware	71.3 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	80.7 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	57.2 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	92.8 (<u>+</u> 1.5)	97.0 (<u>+</u> 1.1)	78.4 (<u>+</u> 2.1)
District of Columbia	72.0 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	77.2 (<u>+</u> 3.1)	58.3 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	94.6 (<u>+</u> 1.2)	97.4 (<u>+</u> 0.8)	83.8 (<u>+</u> 2.1)
Indiana	65.3 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	63.7 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	52.1 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	94.2 (<u>+</u> 1.1)	96.7 (<u>+</u> 0.7)	69.3 (<u>+</u> 1.9)
Louisiana	72.0 (<u>+</u> 1.4)	66.8 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	57.5 (<u>+</u> 1.6)	94.2 (<u>+</u> 0.7)	97.1 (<u>+</u> 0.6)	77.9 (<u>+</u> 1.3)
Mississippi	68.8 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	61.4 (<u>+</u> 3.7)	59.0 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	93.3 (<u>+</u> 1.3)	96.4 (<u>+</u> 0.9)	79.6 (<u>+</u> 2.2)
Missouri	65.3 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	69.2 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	49.4 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	93.4 (<u>+</u> 1.0)	95.8 (<u>+</u> 0.8)	67.0 (<u>+</u> 2.0)
Montana	77.0 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	83.9 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	63.6 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	96.3 (<u>+</u> 0.9)	97.4 (<u>+</u> 0.8)	80.5 (<u>+</u> 2.1)
Nebraska	76.6 (<u>+</u> 1.7)	78.8 (<u>+</u> 2.4)	59.9 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	95.3 (<u>+</u> 0.9)	97.3 (<u>+</u> 0.7)	79.0 (<u>+</u> 1.7)
New Jersey	76.8 (<u>+</u> 1.6)	82.2 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	62.1 (<u>+</u> 1.8)	94.8 (<u>+</u> 0.8)	96.9 (<u>+</u> 0.6)	77.5 (<u>+</u> 1.6)
North Carolina	66.8 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	76.4 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	44.3 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	88.0 (<u>+</u> 1.4)	94.3 (<u>+</u> 0.9)	70.2 (<u>+</u> 1.9)
Ohio	66.3 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	69.3 (<u>+</u> 3.3)	48.2 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	93.4 (<u>+</u> 1.2)	96.1 (<u>+</u> 0.9)	67.4 (<u>+</u> 2.4)
Oklahoma	69.6 (<u>+</u> 1.8)	73.3 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	51.6 (<u>+</u> 1.9)	95.5 (<u>+</u> 0.7)	97.1 (<u>+</u> 0.6)	71.5 (<u>+</u> 1.8)
South Carolina	70.9 (<u>+</u> 1.8)	65.4 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	55.8 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	92.9 (<u>+</u> 1.0)	95.0 (<u>+</u> 0.9)	73.1 (<u>+</u> 1.9)
Texas	76.8 (<u>+</u> 1.4)	70.0 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	61.4 (<u>+</u> 1.6)	94.2 (<u>+</u> 0.8)	97.5 (<u>+</u> 0.5)	78.8 (<u>+</u> 1.3)
Virginia	71.6 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	70.6 (<u>+</u> 3.4)	58.4 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	93.0 (<u>+</u> 1.3)	96.4 (<u>+</u> 0.8)	77.0 (<u>+</u> 2.2)
West Virginia	60.8 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	73.8 (<u>+</u> 3.2)	48.4 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	90.8 (<u>+</u> 1.3)	92.6 (<u>+</u> 1.2)	69.4 (<u>+</u> 2.1)
Wisconsin	72.1 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	63.7 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	53.1 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	93.9 (<u>+</u> 1.0)	96.3 (<u>+</u> 0.8)	66.4 (<u>+</u> 2.0)
Wyoming	72.4 (<u>+</u> 2.0)	72.7 (<u>+</u> 2.9)	53.2 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	94.2 (<u>+</u> 1.1)	97.3 (<u>+</u> 0.7)	72.3 (<u>+</u> 2.0)

*Persons who reported no smoking in their home during the 30 days preceding the survey.

⁺ Persons who reported smoking was not allowed in any public or private areas at their workplace.

[§] Confidence interval.

1104

MMWR

Secondhand Smoke — Continued

New Jersey; W Honey, MPH, New Mexico; C Baker, New York; Z Gizlice, PhD, North Carolina; L Shireley, MPH, North Dakota; P Pullen Coss, Ohio; K Baker, MPH, Oklahoma; K Pickle, MPH, Oregon; L Mann, Pennsylvania; Y Cintron, MPH, Puerto Rico; J Hesser, PhD, Rhode Island; M Wu, MD, South Carolina; M Gildemaster, South Dakota; D Ridings, Tennessee; K Condon, MS, Texas; K Marti, Utah; C Roe, MS, Vermont; J Hicks, PhD, Virginia; K Wynkoop Simmons, PhD, Washington; F King, West Virginia; K Pearson, Wisconsin; M Futa, MA, Wyoming. Cardiovascular Health Br, Div of Adult and Community Health; and Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

Editorial Note: The median prevalence of current smoking in 2000 was similar to that reported for the preceding 5 years. However, smoking prevalence varied among the states, DC, and Puerto Rico.

The national health objective for 2000 of $\leq 15\%$ of adults smoking cigarettes was achieved by Puerto Rico, Utah, and in California for women (objective 3.4) (3). The low prevalence in Utah and Puerto Rico may be a result of stronger social and cultural norms against tobacco use compared with other parts of the country. California's efforts to change social norms about smoking through large-scale social interventions have been temporally linked to a reduction in tobacco use (4). According to the Surgeon General, if the recommendations from the 2000 report *Reducing Tobacco Use* for a comprehensive program combining social, educational, clinical, economic, and regulatory strategies were implemented fully, the 2010 national health objectives related to tobacco use could be met (4). Similarly, decreases in adult prevalence in other states could be accelerated if the funding guidelines for comprehensive tobacco-control programs were followed more widely (5).

The findings in this report are subject to at least four limitations. First, the prevalence estimates may be affected by a low response rate. Second, smoking data were based on self-reports without biochemical verification; however, self-reporting has generally been found to be accurate in population-based surveys among adults (6). Third, telephone surveys may result in both response and sampling bias because of greater nonresponse from subgroups at higher risk for smoking. Finally, respondents' definition of "official policy" may vary, and the validity of self-report of workplace policies is unknown.

As of December 1999, 45 states and DC reported some restricted smoking in public places; however, many state and local laws for clean indoor air reduce but do not eliminate involuntary exposure to tobacco smoke (4). During 1988–1991 to 1999, exposure to SHS among nonsmokers aged \geq 3 years decreased 75%; however, men, persons aged <20 years, and blacks continued to have substantially higher levels of exposure compared with those of women, persons aged \geq 20 years, and other racial/ethnic groups (7). In addition, smoke-free workplace policies protected approximately 70% of the U.S. workforce in 1999, but protection levels varied widely among states (8).

Involuntary exposure to SHS remains a common public health hazard that is preventable by appropriate regulatory policies (4). Bans on smoking in public places reduce exposure to SHS and the number of cigarettes smoked by smokers (9). The findings in this report indicate support for smoking bans, with nearly universal support for bans in schools and day care centers and strong support for bans in indoor work areas and restaurants. Clean indoor air policies are one way to change social norms about smoking and reduce tobacco consumption, but comprehensive approaches are needed to achieve the national health objectives for 2010 to reduce smoking prevalence and involuntary exposure to SHS.

References

1. US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy people 2010. 2nd ed. 2 vols. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, 2000.

Secondhand Smoke — Continued

- National Cancer Institute. Health effects of exposure to environmental tobacco smoke: the report of the California Environmental Protection Agency. Smoking and tobacco control monograph no. 10. Bethesda, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute, 1999 (NIH publication no. 99-4645).
- 3. US Department of Health and Human Services. Healthy people 2000: national health promotion and disease prevention objectives—full report, with commentary. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1990.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Reducing tobacco use: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2000.
- CDC. Best practices for comprehensive tobacco control programs—August 1999. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 1999.
- Patrick DL, Cheadle A, Thompson DC, Diehr P, Koepsell T, Kinne S. The validity of selfreported smoking: a review and meta-analysis. Am J Public Health 1994;84:1086–93.
- 7. CDC. National report on human exposure to environmental chemicals—March 2001. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2001.
- Shopland DR, Gerlach KK, Burns DM, Hartman AM, Gibson JT. State-specific trends in smoke-free workplace policy coverage: the current population survey tobacco use supplement, 1993 to 1999. J Occup Environ Med 2001;43:680–6.
- Task Force on Community Preventive Services. Recommendations regarding interventions to reduce tobacco use and exposure to environmental tobacco smoke. Am J Prev Med 2001;20:10–5.

Public Health Dispatch

Coccidioidomycosis Among Persons Attending the World Championship of Model Airplane Flying — Kern County, California, October 2001

On December 4, 2001, CDC was notified by the United Kingdom (UK) Public Health Laboratory Service (PHLS) of a UK resident aged 72 years who had culture-confirmed coccidioidomycosis (i.e., Valley fever) diagnosed in early December. During October 8–12, the patient had attended the world championship of model airplane flying in Lost Hills, California, located in Kern County in the Central Valley of California, an area where coccidioidomycosis is highly endemic (Figure 1). The patient had influenza-like symptoms on approximately October 25, 1 week after returning from Lost Hills. CDC, in collaboration with UK PHLS and the California Department of Health Services, is conducting an investigation.

The championship was an international event with competing teams from 30 countries in the Americas, Europe, and the Pacific. Each participating team had up to 11 members. In addition, several spectators may have traveled with each team.

Coccidioidomycosis is caused by inhalation of arthrospores of the dimorphic fungus *Coccidioides immitis.* Outbreaks typically have occurred following dust-generating events such as archaeologic digs (1). Forty percent of newly infected persons acquire a self-limited influenza-like syndrome with fever, chest pain, cough, malaise, chills, night sweats, arthralgias, and rash. Disseminated disease may develop involving the meninges, bones, joints, skin, and soft tissues. Infants, pregnant women, persons of Filipino and African descent, and immunosuppressed persons (e.g., those on chronic steroids or with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome) are at increased risk for disseminated infection. Treatment with antifungal drugs usually is required only for severe or disseminated disease (2).

Coccidioidomycosis — Continued

Coccidioidomycosis is diagnosed by culture, histopathology, or serology. Serologic criteria for diagnosis include detection of coccidioidal IgM by immunodiffusion, enzyme immunoassay (EIA), latex agglutination, or tube precipitation, or by detection of rising IgG titers by immunodiffusion, EIA, or complement fixation.

Coccidioidomycosis should be considered in the differential diagnosis for persons with a clinically compatible illness and with a history of travel to this event. Persons who attended this event and who acquire symptoms should seek appropriate medical care. CliniFIGURE 1. Persons attending the world championship of model airplane flying — Lost Hills, California, October 2001



Photographed by: Joe Mekina

cal evaluation should include a serum specimen for IgG and IgM titers and appropriate cultures if evidence of disseminated disease exists.

Health-care providers or championship participants and spectators from California are encouraged to contact the California Department of Health Services at 619-692-8664 or knm6@cdc.gov to discuss the need for testing. Other participants, spectators, or health-care providers in the United States or abroad may contact CDC's Mycotic Diseases Branch at 404-639-1299 or tnc4@cdc.gov.

Reported by: A Nicoll, B Evans, N Asgari, S Hahne, E Johnson, Public Health Laboratory Svc, United Kingdom. BA Jinadu, R Talbot, Kern County Dept of Health, Bakersfield; SB Werner, D Vugia, California Dept of Health Svcs. Mycotic Diseases Br, Div of Bacterial and Mycotic Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; and EIS officers, CDC.

References

- 1. CDC. Coccidioidomycosis in workers at an archeologic site—Dinosaur National Monument, Utah, June-July 2001. MMWR 2001;50:1005-8.
- 2. Galgiani JN, Ampel NM, Catanzaro A, Johnson RH, Stevens DA, Williams PL. Practice guidelines for the treatment of coccidioidomycosis. Clin Infect Dis 2000;30:659–61.

Cigarette Smoking in 99 Metropolitan Areas — United States, 2000

Geographic variation in the prevalence of cigarette smoking contributes to differences in the mortality patterns of smoking-related diseases such as lung cancer, chronic obstructive lung disease, and coronary heart disease (1). National and state-specific data on cigarette smoking are available but may be limited in their usefulness in guiding local or county smoking-related health interventions. CDC's Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) is an annual, state-based survey that includes questions about tobacco use and has sufficiently large samples to permit analyses of risk factor data for many metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs). This report summarizes estimates of smoking behavior for the 99 MSAs with \geq 300 respondents (maximum: 7,264) in the 2000

BRFSS. The prevalence of smoking among the 99 MSAs ranged from 13.0% to 31.2% (median: 22.7%), and the percentage of daily smokers who quit for \geq 1 day ranged from 33.0% to 62.2% (median: 50.3%). The findings in this report indicate that BRFSS can provide baseline data for monitoring local programs and a benchmark for comparing data from local surveys.

In 2000, BRFSS was conducted in 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico; randomly selected noninstitutionalized persons aged \geq 18 years were interviewed by telephone. The median response rate was 53.2% (range: 35.5%–77.7%) (2). BRFSS response rates for MSAs are not available. Estimates are poststratified by age and sex and for some states by race/ethnicity to adjust for nonresponses. MSAs were identified using the standard definitions from the U.S. Bureau of the Census (3).

In the 2000 BRFSS, respondents were asked, "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?" and "Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?" Current smokers were persons who reported having smoked ≥100 cigarettes during their lifetimes and who currently smoked every day or some days. Respondents who smoked every day were asked, "During the past 12 months, have you quit smoking for a day or longer?" Data were weighted to each MSA based on age, sex, and race/ ethnicity; 95% confidence intervals for point estimates were calculated using SUDAAN. Statistical significance was determined on the basis of nonoverlapping confidence intervals.

The median adult prevalence of current smoking for the 99 MSAs was 22.7% (range: 13.0%–31.2%) (Table 1). The five MSAs with the highest prevalence of current smoking (Toledo, Ohio; Knoxville, Tennessee; Indianapolis, Indiana; Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria, Ohio; and Huntington-Ashland, West Virginia) differed significantly from the five MSAs with the lowest prevalence (Orange County, California; Salt Lake City-Ogden, Utah; San Diego, California; Miami, Florida; Bergen-Passaic, New Jersey; and Las Cruces, New Mexico) (Table 1). By region, median prevalence was highest in the Midwest (23.7%), followed by the South (23.2%), Northeast (20.8%), and West (20.6%). Prevalence was higher for men than women in 73 of 99 MSAs; the difference by sex was significant in six (Los Angeles, California; Honolulu, Hawaii; Wichita, Kansas; New Orleans, Louisiana; Charlotte, North Carolina; and Dallas, Texas).

Among daily smokers, the median percentage that had quit for ≥ 1 day during the 12 months preceding the survey was 50.3% (range: 33.0%–62.2%). The two MSAs with the lowest percentage (Charleston, West Virginia, and Toledo, Ohio) differed significantly from the two MSAs with the highest percentage (Fort Worth-Arlington, Texas, and Detroit, Michigan). The percentage was highest in the West (52.1%) followed by the Northeast (51.5%), South (50.4%), and Midwest (49.1%).

Reported by: D Nelson, S Marcus, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, Maryland. H Wells, G Laird, J Dever, Research Triangle Institute, North Carolina. The following BRFSS coordinators: S Reese, Alabama; P Owen, Alaska; R Weyant, Arizona; B Woodson, Arkansas; B Davis, California; D Brand, Colorado; M Adams, Connecticut; F Breukelman, Delaware; J Davies-Cole, District of Columbia; S Oba, Florida; L Martin, Georgia; F Reyes-Salvail, Hawaii; J Aydelotte, Idaho; B Steiner, Illinois; L Stemnock, Indiana; D Shepard, Iowa; CM Arnold, Kansas; T Sparks, Kentucky; B Bates, Louisiana; J Graber, Maine; H Lopez, Maryland; Z Zhang, Massachusetts; H McGee, Michigan; N Salem, Minnesota; D Johnson, Mississippi; J Jackson, Missouri; P Feigley, Montana; L Andelt, Nebraska; E DeJan, Nevada; J Porter, New Hampshire; G Boeselager, New Jersey; W Honey, New Mexico; C Baker, New York; Z Gizlice, North Carolina; L Shireley, North Dakota; P Coss, Ohio; K Baker, Oklahoma; K Pickle, Oregon; L Mann, Pennsylvania; Y Cintron, Puerto Rico; J Hesser, Rhode Island; M Wu, South Carolina; M Gildemaster, South Dakota; D Ridings, Tennessee; K Condon, Texas; K Marti, Utah; R McCormick, Vermont;

TABLE 1. Prevalence of current cigarette smoking* among adults, by region, metropolitan statistical area (MSA), sex, and the percentage of daily smokers who quit for \geq 1 day during the 12 months preceding the survey — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 2000

	Mon	Women	Total	Quit smoking
Region and MSA	% (95% CI ⁺)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	<u> </u>
Northeast				
Bergen-Passaic	17.5 (+ 5.5)	16.9 (+ 4.4)	17.2 (±3.5)	56.0 (+12.7)
Boston-Worcester-Lawrence-Lowell-Brockton	21.0 (+ 1.8)	19.8 (+ 1.4)	20.4 (+1.1)	57.0 (+ 3.5)
Burlington	17.4 (+ 3.9)	20.0 (+ 3.6)	18.7 (±2.7)	51.5 (+ 8.5)
Hartford	21.1 (+ 4.1)	20.5 (+ 3.2)	20.8 (+2.6)	52.6 (+ 8.2)
Lewiston-Auburn	25.4 (+ 7.3)	27.3 (+ 6.6)	26.4 (±4.9)	56.9 (±11.7)
Middlesex-Somerset-Hunterdon	20.8 (+ 6.5)	15.9 (+ 4.5)	18.3 (±3.9)	45.5 (±14.5)
Monmouth-Ocean	24.1 (+ 7.1)	24.5 (+ 5.6)	24.3 (±4.5)	57.2 (±12.6)
Nassau-Suffolk	16.4 (+ 6.1)	21.0 (+ 5.5)	18.7 (±4.1)	45.6 (±13.0)
Newark	22.7 (<u>+</u> 5.3)	19.1 (<u>+</u> 3.9)	20.8 (±3.2)	52.5 (±10.0)
New Haven-Bridgeport-Stamford-				
Waterbury-Danbury	18.7 (<u>+</u> 3.5)	19.5 (<u>+</u> 2.6)	19.1 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	55.4 (<u>+</u> 7.1)
New London-Norwich	26.1 (<u>+</u> 8.2)	21.5 (<u>+</u> 6.9)	23.8 (<u>+</u> 5.4)	40.5 (<u>+</u> 14.9)
New York	21.7 (<u>+</u> 4.2)	16.9 (<u>+</u> 2.9)	19.1 (±2.5)	52.5 (± 9.0)
Philadelphia	25.7 (<u>+</u> 4.2)	22.0 (<u>+</u> 3.1)	23.7 (±2.6)	48.4 (± 7.1)
Pittsburgh	25.5 (<u>+</u> 6.0)	22.7 (<u>+</u> 4.3)	24.0 (±3.6)	45.1 (± 9.5)
Portland	17.3 (<u>+</u> 6.6)	23.2 (<u>+</u> 6.5)	20.4 (±4.6)	48.6 (±14.7)
Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket	23.3 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	23.4 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	23.3 (±1.7)	48.1 (± 4.8)
Springfield	23.0 (<u>+</u> 5.0)	23.0 (<u>+</u> 4.2)	23.0 (<u>+</u> 3.3)	50.6 (<u>+</u> 8.9)
Median	21.7	21.0	20.8	51.5
Range	16.4–26.1	15.9–27.3	17.2–26.4	40.5–57.2
Midwest				
Akron	27.9 (<u>+</u> 8.2)	24.6 (<u>+</u> 6.4)	26.2 (±5.1)	51.1 (±12.2)
Chicago	22.0 (<u>+</u> 3.0)	20.1 (<u>+</u> 2.5)	21.0 (±2.0)	49.9 (± 6.1)
Cincinnati	21.1 (<u>+</u> 7.4)	21.8 (± 6.3)	21.5 (±4.8)	46.8 (±13.4)
Cleveland-Lorain-Elyria	33.0 (<u>+</u> 9.0)	27.1 (<u>+</u> 7.5)	29.8 (±5.8)	34.8 (±11.9)
Dayton-Springfield	17.8 (<u>+</u> 7.0)	28.1 (<u>+</u> 8.2)	23.2 (±5.6)	56.6 (±15.2)
Des Moines	28.9 (<u>+</u> 7.0)	18.4 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	23.4 (±4.1)	50.0 (±11.1)
Detroit	25.2 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	23.5 (<u>+</u> 4.5)	24.3 (±3.2)	62.0 (± 8.3)
Fargo-Moorhead	23.7 (<u>+</u> 9.1)	21.5 (<u>+</u> 7.1)	22.6 (±6.0)	49.2 (±18.1)
Indianapolis	34.5 (<u>+</u> 5.9)	26.5 (± 5.0)	30.3 (±3.9)	45.8 (± 8.3)
Kansas City	27.9 (<u>+</u> 4.5)	21.8 (<u>+</u> 3.6)	24.7 (±2.8)	49.0 (± 7.5)
Lincoln	20.8 (<u>+</u> 5.4)	18.3 (<u>+</u> 4.8)	19.5 (±3.6)	41.8 (±11.5)
Milwaukee-Waukesha	25.3 (<u>+</u> 6.3)	19.6 (± 4.3)	22.3 (±3.8)	52.0 (±10.6)
Minneapolis-St. Paul	20.4 (<u>+</u> 3.3)	18.6 (<u>+</u> 3.0)	19.5 (±2.2)	43.8 (± 7.0)
Omaha	25.3 (<u>+</u> 4.7)	24.8 (<u>+</u> 4.1)	25.0 (±3.1)	48.9 (± 8.0)
Rapid City	27.5 (<u>+</u> 6.5)	19.9 (± 4.6)	23.6 (±4.0)	49.6 (±10.8)
Sioux Falls	22.4 (<u>+</u> 4.4)	24.9 (± 3.8)	23.7 (±2.9)	48.4 (± 8.1)
St. Louis	29.0 (<u>+</u> 5.9)	22.2 (<u>+</u> 3.9)	25.5 (<u>+</u> 3.5)	46.1 (<u>+</u> 8.9)
Toledo	34.3 (<u>+</u> 10.4)	28.4 (± 7.5)	31.2 (±6.4)	34.1 (±14.5)
Wichita	28.9 (<u>+</u> 5.8)	17.3 (<u>+</u> 3.7)	22.9 (±3.4)	50.5 (± 9.2)
Youngstown-Warren	29.8 (<u>+</u> 12.8)	27.1 (±12.5)	28.3 (±8.9)	53.7 (±19.2)
Median	26.4	22.0	23.7	49.1
Range	17.8–34.5	17.3–28.4	19.5–31.2	34.1–62.0
South				
Atlanta	23.3 (<u>+</u> 4.7)	18.1 (<u>+</u> 3.2)	20.6 (<u>+</u> 2.8)	53.3 (<u>+</u> 8.8)
Austin-San Marcos	29.5 (<u>+</u> 7.9)	16.7 (<u>+</u> 6.1)	23.1 (<u>+</u> 5.0)	59.5 (<u>+</u> 14.6)

*Persons aged ≥18 years who reported having smoked ≥100 cigarettes during their lifetimes and who currently smoked every day or some days.

[†] Confidence interval.

TABLE 1. Prevalence of current cigarette smoking* among adults, by region, metropolitan statistical area (MSA), sex, and the percentage of daily smokers who quit for ≥ 1 day during the 12 months preceding the survey — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 2000 — Continued

	Men	Women	Total	Quit smoking
Region and MSA	% (95% Cl ⁺)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	<u>~ (95% CI)</u>
Baltimore	23.6 (+ 3.9)	22.0 (+3.0)	22.8 (+2.5)	529 (+ 70)
Baton Bouge	$23.0 (\pm 5.0)$ 24.8 (+ 6.1)	$22.0 (\pm 0.0)$ 24.6 (+4.8)	$24.7 (\pm 3.8)$	52.3 (<u>+</u> 7.0)
Birmingham	$24.0 (\pm 0.1)$ 25.1 (± 7.1)	18.4 (+4.9)	$215 (\pm 4.3)$	58.4 (+12.8)
Charleston-North Charleston, SC	$20.1 (\pm 7.1)$	$10.4 (\pm 4.5)$	21.3 (+1.5) 25.4 (+1.6)	$50.4 (\pm 12.0)$ 51 1 (±12.1)
Charleston WV	$30.4 (\pm 7.4)$ 27.8 (± 8.9)	$26.5 (\pm 0.3)$	$27.4 (\pm 4.0)$	$33.1 (\pm 12.1)$ 33.0 (±11.5)
Charlotte-Gastonia-Bock Hill	$27.0 (\pm 0.0)$ 28.2 (± 6.6)	$16.8 (\pm 4.7)$	27.1 (+3.0) 223 (+4.0)	$56.6 (\pm 11.4)$
Columbia	$20.2 (\pm 0.0)$ 22.6 (± 6.5)	$10.0 (\pm 4.7)$ 20.6 (± 5.1)	$215 (\pm 4.1)$	50.8 (±12.6)
Dallas	$22.0 (\pm 0.3)$ 24.2 (± 5.2)	14.5 (+3.3)	193 (+31)	$50.0 (\pm 12.0)$ 51 4 (+ 9 9)
District of Columbia	24.2 (<u>+</u> 3.2) 20.1 (+ 3.0)	$14.0 (\pm 0.0)$ 15.6 (±2.1)	17.8 (±3.1)	$57.4 (\pm 0.0)$
Dover	$20.1 (\pm 5.0)$ $30.3 (\pm 5.3)$	(± 2.1)	$261 (\pm 3.3)$	480 (± 79)
Eavetteville-Springdale-Bogers	$30.3 (\pm 3.3)$	$25.1 (\pm 3.0)$	23.9 (±5.5)	$40.0 (\pm 7.3)$
Fort Laudordalo	$22.3 (\pm 7.0)$	$23.4 (\pm 7.0)$	23.3 (+3.3) 217 (+4.4)	40.4 (<u>+</u> 10.1)
Fort Morth Arlington	$20.0 (\pm 0.4)$	10.6 (+5.5)	21.7 (++.+) 22.4 (.4.7)	$40.3 (\pm 13.3)$
Greensbare Winston Salem High Point	$27.3 (\pm 7.0)$	$19.0 (\pm 0.0)$	$23.4 (\pm 4.7)$	$02.2 (\pm 13.0)$
Greensboro-Winston-Salem-Figh Point	$32.4 (\pm 7.9)$	20.4 (+0.1)	29.2 (+3.0)	45.7 (<u>+</u> 11.5)
Greenville-Spananburg-Anderson	$23.3 (\pm 0.4)$	23.0 (<u>+</u> 4.0)	23.0 (<u>+</u> 3.9)	45.4 (<u>+</u> 11.2)
Houston	$23.9 (\pm 5.4)$	$18.1 (\pm 3.7)$	$21.0 (\pm 3.3)$	$51.8 (\pm 10.3)$
Huntington-Asniand	31.9 (±14.6)	$27.9 (\pm 8.3)$	29.8 (<u>+</u> 8.2)	42.3 (<u>+</u> 18.9)
Jackson	17.2 (<u>+</u> 7.8)	23.8 (±6.7)	20.7 (±5.1)	^
Jacksonville	19.8 (<u>+</u> 5.9)	21.0 (\pm 5.0)	20.4 (<u>+</u> 3.9)	50.4 (±11.4)
Knoxville	31.6 (<u>+</u> 9.4)	29.4 (<u>+</u> 6.9)	30.5 (<u>+</u> 5.7)	47.9 (<u>+</u> 12.2)
Lafayette	27.3 (<u>+</u> 8.0)	21.8 (<u>+</u> 5.7)	24.4 (<u>+</u> 4.8)	49.3 (±13.6)
Lexington	29.2 (<u>+</u> 8.5)	23.9 (<u>+</u> 6.4)	26.4 (<u>+</u> 5.2)	56.4 (<u>+</u> 12.4)
Little Rock-North Little Rock	25.3 (<u>+</u> 5.7)	21.4 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	23.3 (<u>+</u> 3.6)	44.4 (<u>+</u> 9.8)
Louisville	27.4 (<u>+</u> 6.9)	27.6 (<u>+</u> 5.5)	27.5 (<u>+</u> 4.4)	49.8 (<u>+</u> 9.9)
Memphis	20.6 (<u>+</u> 6.7)	17.9 (<u>+</u> 4.9)	19.2 (<u>+</u> 4.1)	50.5 (<u>+</u> 14.0)
Miami	17.6 (<u>+</u> 5.2)	15.6 (<u>+</u> 4.1)	16.6 (<u>+</u> 3.3)	57.8 (<u>+</u> 13.5)
Nashville	26.9 (<u>+</u> 6.8)	23.7 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	25.3 (<u>+</u> 4.0)	40.3 (<u>+</u> 10.4)
New Orleans	26.3 (± 4.3)	17.4 (±2.9)	21.6 (±2.5)	57.7 (± 7.3)
Norfolk-Virginia Beach-Newport News	29.2 (<u>+</u> 8.3)	23.8 (<u>+</u> 6.0)	26.4 (<u>+</u> 5.1)	40.0 (<u>+</u> 12.3)
Oklahoma City	23.1 (<u>+</u> 4.1)	22.7 (<u>+</u> 3.7)	22.9 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	46.3 (<u>+</u> 7.6)
Orlando	24.0 (<u>+</u> 7.6)	26.0 (±5.9)	25.0 (±4.8)	42.7 (<u>+</u> 11.9)
Raleigh-Durham-Chapel Hill	18.2 (<u>+</u> 6.5)	21.2 (<u>+</u> 5.9)	19.8 (<u>+</u> 4.4)	59.3 (<u>+</u> 13.3)
San Antonio	21.2 (<u>+</u> 8.0)	21.1 (<u>+</u> 6.4)	21.2 (<u>+</u> 5.1)	—
Shreveport-Bossier City	26.4 (<u>+</u> 6.8)	25.9 (<u>+</u> 5.7)	26.1 (<u>+</u> 4.4)	51.1 (±11.3)
Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater	28.4 (<u>+</u> 5.9)	24.2 (<u>+</u> 4.7)	26.2 (<u>+</u> 3.8)	49.7 (<u>+</u> 9.7)
Tulsa	25.5 (<u>+</u> 5.0)	22.3 (<u>+</u> 4.4)	23.8 (<u>+</u> 3.3)	48.2 (<u>+</u> 8.4)
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	25.8 (<u>+</u> 9.0)	17.8 (<u>+</u> 5.9)	21.6 (<u>+</u> 5.3)	53.6 (<u>+</u> 16.5)
Wilmington-Newark	24.0 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	20.0 (±3.4)	21.9 (±2.8)	49.6 (± 8.2)
Median	25.2	21.9	23.2	50.4
Range	17.2–32.4	14.5–29.4	16.6–30.5	33.0–62.2
West				
Albuquerque	25.0 (+ 4.3)	22.7 (+3.6)	23.8 (+2.8)	51.9 (+ 8.0)
Boise City	22.7 (+ 4.4)	24.2 (+3.8)	23.5 (+2.9)	49.7 (+ 8.1)
Casper	33.4 (+ 9.4)	25.4 (+6.4)	29.2 (+5.6)	52.2 (+12.3)
Chevenne	25.8 (+ 7.1)	31.3 (+7.0)	28.6 (+5.0)	44.2 (+11.9)
Denver	18.1 (+ 4.3)	19.7 (+3.8)	18.9 (+2.9)	56.3 (+ 9.3)
Eugene-Springfield	25.7 (+ 8.5)	18.3 (+7.5)	21.9 (+5.6)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\		

*Persons aged \geq 18 years who reported having smoked \geq 100 cigarettes during their lifetimes and who currently smoked every day or some days. [†] Confidence interval.

[§] Insufficient data.

TABLE 1. Prevalence of current cigarette smoking^{*} among adults, by region, metropolitan statistical area (MSA), sex, and the percentage of daily smokers who quit for \geq 1 day during the 12 months preceding the survey — Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, United States, 2000 — Continued

			- / 1	Quit smoking
	IVien	Women	lotal	<u>></u> 1 day
Region and MSA	% (95% Cl⁺)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)	% (95% CI)
Honolulu	22.9 (<u>+</u> 2.9)	15.5 (<u>+</u> 2.2)	19.3 (<u>+</u> 1.8)	57.7 (<u>+</u> 6.0)
Las Cruces	17.6 (<u>+</u> 6.6)	16.9 (<u>+</u> 6.5)	17.2 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	§
Las Vegas	30.3 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	29.2 (<u>+</u> 5.3)	29.7 (<u>+</u> 3.6)	40.9 (<u>+</u> 8.2)
Los Angeles-Long Beach	22.4 (<u>+</u> 4.7)	13.8 (<u>+</u> 3.2)	18.1 (<u>+</u> 2.9)	55.7 (<u>+</u> 10.1)
Orange County	13.8 (<u>+</u> 6.7)	12.3 (<u>+</u> 4.9)	13.0 (<u>+</u> 4.2)	—
Phoenix-Mesa	15.6 (<u>+</u> 5.4)	19.2 (<u>+</u> 7.2)	17.4 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	57.9 (<u>+</u> 14.2)
Pocatello	16.9 (<u>+</u> 6.3)	24.5 (<u>+</u> 6.7)	20.8 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	52.0 (<u>+</u> 14.1)
Portland-Vancouver	19.0 (<u>+</u> 3.2)	18.7 (<u>+</u> 2.7)	18.8 (<u>+</u> 2.1)	52.6 (<u>+</u> 7.1)
Reno	27.0 (<u>+</u> 5.9)	27.2 (<u>+</u> 5.5)	27.1 (<u>+</u> 4.0)	49.7 (<u>+</u> 9.5)
Riverside-San Bernardino	22.6 (<u>+</u> 9.6)	18.7 (<u>+</u> 5.8)	20.6 (<u>+</u> 5.6)	50.2 (<u>+</u> 18.3)
Salem	26.4 (<u>+</u> 8.1)	16.5 (<u>+</u> 5.1)	21.3 (<u>+</u> 4.7)	54.8 (<u>+</u> 14.5)
Salt Lake City-Ogden	16.2 (<u>+</u> 3.5)	13.2 (<u>+</u> 3.0)	14.7 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	52.9 (<u>+</u> 10.1)
San Diego	17.6 (<u>+</u> 8.6)	12.8 (<u>+</u> 5.1)	15.2 (<u>+</u> 5.1)	_
Santa Fe	22.4 (<u>+</u> 7.9)	20.4 (<u>+</u> 8.0)	21.4 (<u>+</u> 5.6)	_
Seattle-Bellevue-Everett	20.1 (<u>+</u> 3.6)	19.4 (<u>+</u> 3.0)	19.8 (<u>+</u> 2.3)	48.1 (<u>+</u> 7.8)
Tucson	18.2 (<u>+</u> 5.1)	21.0 (<u>+</u> 8.7)	19.6 (<u>+</u> 5.2)	40.2 (<u>+</u> 16.5)
Tacoma	22.9 (<u>+</u> 6.8)	22.0 (<u>+</u> 6.2)	22.4 (<u>+</u> 4.6)	56.7 (<u>+</u> 12.8)
Median	22.4	19.4	20.6	52.1
Range	13.8–33.4	12.3–31.3	13.0–29.7	40.2–57.9
National median	24.0	21.4	22.7	50.3
Range	13.8–34.5	12.3–31.3	13.0-31.2	33.0-62.2

*Persons aged \geq 18 years who reported having smoked \geq 100 cigarettes during their lifetimes and who currently smoked every day or some days.

[†] Confidence interval.

Insufficient data.

G Seifen, Virginia; K Wynkoop-Simmons, Washington; F King, West Virginia; K Pearson, Wisconsin; M Futa, Wyoming. Behavioral Surveillance Br, Div of Adult and Community Health; and Office on Smoking and Health, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, CDC.

Editorial Note: This is the first report using consistent methodology to examine variations in smoking prevalence across U.S. MSAs. The findings demonstrated an approximately twofold difference, with the lowest prevalence for MSAs in California and Utah and the highest for MSAs in Ohio, Indiana, and Tennessee. Only three (Orange County and San Diego, California, and Salt Lake City, Utah) of the 99 MSAs met the national health objective for 2000 of $\leq 15\%$ for prevalence of current smoking (objective 3.4) (4). The proportion of smokers who quit for ≥ 1 day also varied substantially across communities and was highest in the West and lowest in the Midwest. The proportion of smokers who quit for ≥ 1 day during the 12 months preceding the survey is an indicator of success in cessation initiatives and may reflect implementation of programs or policies at the individual, health-care provider, or community level (e.g., although clean indoor air policies are in place nationwide, their implementation varies substantially across the country and may account for some of the variation observed) (5).

The findings in this report are subject to at least five limitations. First, although the median response was relatively low, BRFSS estimates are similar to estimates from other surveys with higher response rates such as the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) (6). Nationwide smoking estimates from BRFSS and NHIS for 1997 were 23.1%

and 24.7%, respectively. BRFSS and NHIS estimates for smoking among population subgroups differed by 0.4% to 4.1% (E. Powell-Griner, Ph.D., CDC, personal communication, August 2001). Second, the data are self-reported. Third, institutionalized persons or persons residing in households without a telephone were not eligible for interviews. Fourth, the precision of estimates varied across MSAs because of different sample sizes. Finally, smoking estimates may differ markedly within an MSA (e.g., between inner cities and suburbs).

To control the use of tobacco requires an approach that includes successful activities such as increases in the cigarette excise tax, mass media education, counteradvertising, comprehensive school-based programs, policies on clean indoor air, telephone quit lines, reducing out-of-pocket costs for cessation services and products, and increasing cessation interventions in the health-care setting (*5*,*7*). Many communities have instituted local tobacco-control programs that have reduced the availability of tobacco products, lowered exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, and increased cessation activities (*5*). In California, state-based programs with a strong community focus have contributed to reductions in tobacco-related mortality (*8*).

The National Association of County and City Health Officials (NACCHO) has published *Program and Funding Guidelines for Comprehensive Local Tobacco Prevention and Control Program (9)*. With funds from state tobacco programs, routine and consistent tracking of smoking prevalence within MSAs can provide the tools to assess the impact of tobacco-control activities. States and local areas should implement aggressive and comprehensive programs at the community level that follow the NACCHO guidelines and recommendations from the CDC *Best Practices for Comprehensive Tobacco Control Programs (10), Reducing Tobacco Use: A Report of the Surgeon General (5), and The Guide to Community Preventive Services: Tobacco Use Prevention and Control (7).* Effective local tobacco control will be essential for reaching the 2010 national adult smoking prevalence goal of <12%.

References

- Giovino GA, Schooley MW, Zhu BP, et al. Surveillance for selected tobacco-use behaviors—United States, 1900–1994. In: CDC surveillance summaries (November). MMWR 1994;43(no. SS-3).
- American Association for Public Opinion Research 1998. Standard definitions: final dispositions of case codes and outcome rates for random digit dialing telephone surveys and in-person household surveys. Ann Arbor, Michigan: American Association for Public Opinion Research, 1998.
- 3. US Office of Management and Budget. Metropolitan areas defined. Washington, DC: Office of Management and Budget, June 30, 1993 (1990 CPH-S-11).
- 4. Public Health Service. Healthy people 2000: midcourse review and 1995 revisions. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1995.
- US Department of Health and Human Services. Reducing tobacco use: a report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2000.
- National Center for Health Statistics. Health measures in the new 1997 redesigned National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). Hyattsville, Maryland: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 2000. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/major/nhis/ hisdesgn.htm. Accessed December 2001.
- Hopkins DP, Fielding JE, Task Force on Community Preventive Services. The guide to community preventive services: tobacco use prevention and control. Am J Prev Med 2001;20(2 suppl).

- 99 Metropolitan Areas Continued
- Fichtenberg CM, Glantz SA. Association of the California Tobacco Control Program with declines in cigarette consumption and mortality from heart disease. N Engl J Med 2000;343: 1772–7.
- National Association of County and City Health Officials. Program and funding guidelines for comprehensive local tobacco prevention and control program. Washington, DC: National Association of County and City Health Officials, 2000.
- 10. CDC. Best practices for comprehensive tobacco control programs—August 1999. Atlanta, Georgia: US Department of Health and Human Services, CDC, 1999.

Progress Toward Poliomyelitis Eradication — Eastern Mediterranean Region, January 2000–September 2001

The World Health Assembly resolved to eradicate poliomyelitis in 1988, and the goal of the regional committee for the Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR)* of the World Health Organization (WHO) was to eradicate polio from that region by 2000. This report summarizes EMR polio eradication activity during January 2000–September 2001; poliovirus transmission has been interrupted in 18 of the 23 EMR countries and has become localized in the remaining five. Despite these achievements, the countries of EMR must overcome many challenges to interrupt virus transmission by the end of 2002.

During 2000, 79% of infants received 3 doses of oral poliovirus vaccine (OPV) through routine vaccination. Coverage of <80% was reported from Afghanistan (32%), Djibouti (46%), Pakistan (74%), Somalia (18%, northern regions only), Sudan (65%), and Yemen (76%). These countries represent approximately half the regional population (estimated 2000 population: 488 million)[†].

During 1999–mid-2001, supplemental vaccination activities were conducted in all EMR countries except Cyprus, Oman, and the United Arab Emirates. Intensified activities were conducted in countries where polio is endemic. Four national immunization days (NIDs)[§] and subnational campaigns took place in Egypt, Iraq, and Sudan (including warring sections of southern Sudan). Afghanistan and Pakistan conducted four rounds of intensified NIDs, and Somalia conducted subnational campaigns and three rounds of NIDs. By the end of 2001, each of the six countries (Afghanistan, Egypt, Iraq, Pakistan, Somalia, and Sudan) will have conducted four to five NID rounds, subnational, or mopping-up (i.e., focal mass campaigns in high-risk areas) campaigns. Some polio-free countries have reduced the scope of activities from national to subnational, targeting low vaccination coverage provinces or areas at high risk for poliovirus importation. Coordination and synchronization of NIDs within EMR countries and among its neighbors have been highly successful.

All EMR countries have established acute flaccid paralysis (AFP) surveillance and have implemented surveillance in countries affected by war and in areas with rudimentary or nearly nonexistent health-care services (e.g., Afghanistan, Somalia, and southern Sudan). During 2000, a total of 16 countries (Afghanistan, Bahrain, Egypt, Iran, Iraq,

^{*}Djibouti, Egypt, Libya, Morocco, Somalia, Sudan, and Tunisia in northern and eastern Africa; Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates, and Yemen in the Arabian peninsula; Cyrus, Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon, Syria, and the Palestinian National Authority in the Middle East; Afghanistan and Iran.

[†] U.S. Bureau of the Census.

[§] Mass campaigns over a short period (days) in which 2 doses of OPV are administered to all children in the target age group (usually aged <5 years) regardless of vaccination history with an interval of 4–6 weeks between doses.

Poliomyelitis Eradication — Continued

Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Oman, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Somalia, Sudan, Syria, Tunisia, and Yemen) reached or exceeded the WHO-established minimum AFP reporting rate of one nonpolio AFP case per 100,000 children aged <15 years, which indicates a sensitive surveillance system (Table 1); the annualized 2001 regional rate is 1.8 compared with 1.4 in 2000.

The second key indicator of the quality of AFP surveillance is a minimum of 80% adequate stool specimens collected for all persons with AFP. In 2000, a total of 11 countries or areas (Bahrain, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Palestine, Saudi Arabia, Syria, and Tunisia) met or exceeded the target rate; in four other countries (Iran, Oman, Pakistan, and Yemen), adequate specimens were collected from 60%-80% of persons with AFP. Regionwide, the percentage of persons with AFP with adequate stool specimens increased from 67% in 1999 to 70% in 2000. During January-September 2001, five additional countries (Iran, Morocco, Oman, Pakistan, and Yemen) reached the target rate, and Afghanistan and Sudan improved markedly, which resulted in a regional rate of 83%.

January–December 2000	January-September 2001
nean Region, World Health Organization (WHO), January 2000–September 2001
poliomyelitis* and key surveillance indicat	tors, by country — Eastern Mediterra-
TABLE 1. Number of reported cases of acut	e flaccid paralysis (AFP) and confirmed

	J	ber 2000		January–September 2001						
	No	Ne	D. rmed	Nonnoli	% persons with AFP	No	No.	ned	Nonnolio	% persons with AFP with two
	AFP	cas	es	AFP	stool	AFP	case	es	AFP	stool
Country	cases	(wild	virus)	rate⁺	specimens [§]	cases	(wild v	irus)	rate [¶]	specimens
Afghanistan	252	120	(27)	1.08	49.6	183	9	(9)	1.75	75
Bahrain	2	0		0.97	100.0	4	0		2.36	0
Cyprus	0	0		0		1	0		0.69	0
Djibouti	2	0		0.79	0	0	0		0	
Egypt	275	4	(4)	1.28	89.5	211	3	(3)	1.19	90
Iran	310	3	(3)	1.22	76.1	272	0		1.34	85
Iraq	276	4		2.46	84.4	226	0		2.38	92
Jordan	26	0		1.40	96.2	21	0		1.28	86
Kuwait	8	0		1.51	100.0	7	0		1.25	86
Lebanon	13	0		1.48	84.6	11	0		1.52	54
Libya	15	0		0.82	93.3	17	0		1.05	47
Morocco	74	0		0.73	44.6	166	0		1.98	89
Oman	11	0		1.31	72.7	13	0		1.88	100
Pakistan	1,152	199	(199)	1.53	70.6	1,259	69	(69)	2.31	84
Palestine	9	0		0.69	100.0	11	0		1.03	100
Qatar	1	0		0.70	0	4	0		3.37	100
Saudi Arabia	86	0		1.12	88.4	64	0		1.00	84
Somalia	161	96	(46)	2.16	50.3	107	24	(4)	3.36	61
Sudan	269	79	(4)	1.35	48.7	220	26	(1)	1.67	74
Syria	112	0		1.52	80.4	79	0		1.30	90
Tunisia	42	0		1.35	81.0	31	0		1.22	94
United Arab										
Emirates	5	0		0.60	20.0	8	0		1.27	75
Yemen	152	0		1.74	65.8	135	0		1.91	83
Total	3,253	505	(287)	1.40	70.0	3,050	131	(86)	1.80	83

* AFP and at least one of the following: 1) laboratory-confirmed wild poliovirus infection, or 2) inadequate stool specimens and residual paralysis at 60 days, death, or no follow-up investigation at 60 days.

[†] Number of AFP cases per 100,000 population aged <15 years. Minimum expected rate is one case of nonpolio AFP per 100,000 per year.

^s Two stool specimens collected at an interval of at least 24 hours within 14 days of paralysis onset from persons with AFP.

I Annualized nonpolio AFP rate.

Poliomyelitis Eradication — Continued

The regional laboratory network consists of eight national and four regional reference laboratories. In 2000, a total of 11 network laboratories were accredited fully by WHO with provisional accreditation for one laboratory. During January–September 2001, a total of 5,503 stool specimens were obtained and tested from 99% of 2,767 AFP cases from 21 countries. The specimens from Somalia and southern Sudan were tested in the laboratory of the WHO African region. Laboratory results were reported within 28 days of receipt for >80% of stool specimens tested during 2000 and 2001.

The number of confirmed cases of polio reported in EMR countries decreased from 914 in 1999 to 505 in 2000. During January–September 2001, a total of 91 virusconfirmed cases of polio were reported from five countries (Afghanistan, Egypt, Pakistan, Somalia, and Sudan), approximately a third the number reported from seven countries during the same period in 2000. A polio outbreak in Iraq during the second half of 1999 (1) ended following high-quality NIDs and mopping-up activities; the last confirmed cases occurred in January 2000.

Since late 1999, wild poliovirus transmission in Egypt has been localized to Upper Egypt. During 2001, continued circulation of several lineages of wild type 1 poliovirus in Egypt was confirmed by virus isolates from both AFP cases and wastewater samples. Through expanded surveillance in south and central Somalia, a polio outbreak was identified in Mogadishu during 2000 (54 confirmed cases during March–June) (2). The outbreak has been controlled with sporadic cases in or near Mogadishu. Unique transmission chains of poliovirus types 1 and 3 have been identified in Sudan and Somalia. Low-level transmission of wild virus continued in Sudan with a 9-month gap between the last two confirmed cases, one from the north in July 2000 and the last from the south in April 2001.

Recent genetic data indicate the continued existence of virus reservoirs shared between Pakistan and Afghanistan. Pakistan reported the largest number of cases in 2000, although the number of confirmed cases (199) declined approximately 40% compared with 1999 (324). During January–September 2001, the number of confirmed cases declined 43% (74 versus 130) compared with the same period in 2000. Most districts appear polio-free, with the most intense transmission localized to a few districts in each province. In Afghanistan, the number of virus isolates and affected districts have been reduced substantially. Nine confirmed cases have been reported from Afghanistan during January 2000–September 2001 compared with 21 during the preceding study period. All cases from 2001 have come from districts in three adjacent provinces in the southern region.

WHO is supporting countries to develop and implement national plans for the laboratory containment of poliovirus (3). As of September 2001, a total of 18 of the 23 countries of the region have prepared national containment plans. The first phase of the plan is being implemented in Jordan, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Syria, Tunisia, and United Arab Emirates. Oman, Qatar, Bahrain, Cyprus, Morocco, and Saudi Arabia have completed the first phase of the plan and have submitted a national inventory of laboratories storing poliovirus infectious or potentially infectious materials; 11 of the 12 WHO-designated poliovirus network laboratories in the region have provided inventories of stored materials.

Reported by: Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean Region, Cairo, Egypt. Dept of Vaccines and Biologicals, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. Respiratory and Enteric Viruses Br, Div of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases, National Center for Infectious Diseases; Global Immunization Div, National Immunization Program, CDC.

Poliomyelitis Eradication — Continued

Editorial Note: EMR countries have made rapid and substantial progress toward polio eradication during 2000. The intensity and geographic extent of virus transmission continued to decrease, and if eradication activities continue, EMR should move closer to stopping wild poliovirus transmission.

Four of the 10 global priority countries for polio eradication are in EMR: Pakistan, considered one of the global virus reservoirs; and Afghanistan, Somalia, and Sudan, countries affected by conflict. Armed conflict, high population density, poor sanitation, low OPV coverage, and weak or absent health infrastructures have posed obstacles to interrupting virus transmission.

Increasing the number of supplementary campaigns and improving their quality have intensified eradication activities in countries where polio is endemic. Measures to improve supplementary vaccination activities and increase coverage, especially among hard-to-reach and high-risk populations, included advanced preparation, better local planning, extensive supervision, community mobilization, heightened political commitment, and the use of house-to-house vaccination. Surveillance in most countries now reliably identifies or excludes ongoing virus transmission, allowing monitoring of progress and targeting of vaccination activities.

The accelerated efforts have required additional technical, financial, and administrative support[¶]. With WHO support, approximately 100 international experts and 600 national staff have been placed at national and subnational levels in all priority countries.

Despite these advances, the eradication program faces a number of challenges such as 1) improving the quality of supplementary vaccination, surveillance, certification, and containment activities; 2) securing access to all children, particularly in areas affected by war and conflict; 3) strengthening the political commitment to reach the eradication goal in polio-free countries and in countries where polio is endemic and; 4) providing the necessary financial resources from all partner agencies and bilateral donors. Meeting these challenges should enable countries of the EMR to interrupt poliovirus transmission by the end of 2002.

References

- 1. CDC. Outbreak of poliomyelitis-Iraq, 1999. MMWR 1999;48:858-9.
- 2. CDC. Progress toward global poliomyelitis eradication, 2000. MMWR 2001;50:320-2,331.
- CDC. Global progress toward laboratory containment of wild polioviruses, June 2001. MMWR 2001;50:620-3.

[¶] Support of polio eradication activities in EMR is provided mainly by governments of member states and by Rotary International, CDC, the government of the United Kingdom through the Department of Foreign and International Development, the government of Japan through the Japanese International Cooperative Agency, the government of Canada through the Canadian International Development Agency, the government of Denmark through Danish International Development Assistance, Sultanate of Oman, the governments of Norway and Italy, the United Nations Foundation, and the U.S. Agency for International Development.

Notice to Readers

Revised ACIP Recommendation for Avoiding Pregnancy After Receiving a Rubella-Containing Vaccine

On October 18, 2001, the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) reviewed data from several sources indicating that no cases of congenital rubella syndrome (CRS) had been identified among infants born to women who were vaccinated inadvertently against rubella within 3 months or early in pregnancy. On the basis of these data, ACIP shortened its recommended period to avoid pregnancy after receipt of rubella-containing vaccine from 3 months to 28 days.

Data were available from the U.S. Rubella Vaccine in Pregnancy Registry (1), the U.K. National Congenital Rubella Surveillance Programme (National Congenital Registry Surveillance Programme, unpublished data, 2001; P. Tookey, Ph.D., Center of Paediatric Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Institute of Child Health, London, personal communication, April 2001), and Sweden and Germany (G. Enders, M.D., Laboratory of Enders and Partners, and Institute for Virology, Infectology, and Epidemiology, personnel communication, September 2001) on 680 live births to susceptible women who were inadvertently vaccinated 3 months before or during pregnancy with one of three rubella vaccines (HPV-77, Cendehill, or RA 27/3). None of the infants was born with CRS. However, a small theoretical risk of 0.5% (upper bound of 95% confidence limit=0.05%) cannot be ruled out. Limiting the analysis to the 293 infants born to susceptible mothers vaccinated 1–2 weeks before to 4–6 weeks after conception, the maximum theoretical risk is 1.3%. This risk is substantially less than the \geq 20% risk for CRS associated with maternal infection during the first 20 weeks of pregnancy.

Measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine and its component vaccines should not be administered to women known to be pregnant. Because a risk to the fetus from administration of these live virus vaccines cannot be excluded for theoretical reasons, women should be counseled to avoid becoming pregnant for 28 days after vaccination with measles or mumps vaccines or MMR or other rubella-containing vaccines.

The goal of the U.S. rubella vaccination program is to prevent congenital rubella infection. ACIP recommended that MMR vaccine should be offered to all women of childbearing age (i.e., adolescent girls and premenopausal women) who do not have acceptable evidence of rubella immunity.

Most rubella cases in the United States occur among young Hispanic adults born outside the United States (2), and most infants with CRS are born to foreign-born mothers. Ensuring immunity in women of childbearing age, especially those at highest risk for exposure, will help to prevent CRS.

References

- CDC. Measles, mumps, and rubella—vaccine use and strategies for elimination of measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome and control of mumps: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). MMWR 1998;47(no. RR-8).
- 2. Reef SE, Frey TK, Abernathy E, et al. The changing epidemiology of rubella in the 1990s: on the verge of elimination and new challenges for control and prevention. JAMA(in press).



FIGURE I. Selected notifiable disease reports, United States, comparison of provisional 4-week totals ending December 8, 2001, with historical data

- * No measles or rubella cases were reported for the current 4-week period yielding a ratio for week 49 of zero (0).
- [†] Ratio of current 4-week total to mean of 15 4-week totals (from previous, comparable, and subsequent 4-week periods for the past 5 years). The point where the hatched area begins is based on the mean and two standard deviations of these 4-week totals.

		Cum. 2001		Cum. 2001
Anthrax		15	Poliomyelitis, paralytic	-
Brucellosis [†]		84	Psittacosis [†]	24
Cholera		4	Q fever [†]	22
Cyclosporiasis	S [†]	124	Rabies, human	1
Diphtheria		2	Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF)	576
Ehrlichiosis:	human granulocytic (HGE)†	208	Rubella, congenital syndrome	2
	human monocytic (HME) [†]	88	Streptococcal disease, invasive, group A	3,378
Encephalitis:	California serogroup viral [†]	102	Streptococcal toxic-shock syndrome [†]	51
	eastern equine ⁺	8	Syphilis, congenital [¶]	190
	St. Louis [†]	2	Tetanus	23
	western equine ⁺	-	Toxic-shock syndrome	116
Hansen diseas	se (leprosy) [†]	80	Trichinosis	26
Hantavirus pu	Imonary syndrome [†]	6	Tularemia [†]	100
Hemolytic ure	mic syndrome, postdiarrheal [†]	142	Typhoid fever	278
HIV infection,	pediatric ^{†§}	200	Yellow fever	-
Plague	•	2		

TABLE I. Summary of provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, cumulative, week ending December 8, 2001 (49th Week)*

-: No reported cases. *Incidence data for reporting year 2001 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date).

⁵ Updated monthly from reports to the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV,

STD, and TB Prevention (NCHSTP). Last updated November 27, 2001. Updated from reports to the Division of STD Prevention, NCHSTP.

			Chlomudia					Escherichia coli O157:H7†		
	All	DS Cum	Cum	ydia ^s	Cryptosp	ooridiosis	NE1 Cum	ISS Cum	PH	
Reporting Area	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000
UNITED STATES NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	37,411 1,403 44 37 15 704 95 508	35,685 1,863 38 30 37 1,128 91 539	673,134 21,867 1,269 1,281 597 9,448 2,775 6,497	652,773 22,208 1,370 1,058 500 9,553 2,539 7,188	3,333 124 18 16 32 50 8 -	2,871 132 20 23 26 34 34 3 26	2,964 221 27 33 14 115 17 15	4,348 369 31 35 35 164 20 84	2,182 225 27 30 8 112 11 37	3,554 376 28 38 37 171 18 84
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	9,346 945 5,253 1,607 1,541	7,605 676 3,919 1,554 1,456	76,418 14,129 27,353 11,741 23,195	62,124 3,267 24,829 9,929 24,099	275 107 101 14 53	370 123 165 19 63	209 155 12 42 N	429 289 23 117 N	181 136 11 34	340 77 18 117 128
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	2,812 538 343 1,255 500 176	3,411 533 347 1,692 648 191	111,782 22,983 14,212 31,336 28,771 14,480	113,154 29,214 12,776 31,149 24,543 15,472	1,450 178 79 419 177 597	942 257 58 121 93 413	778 229 83 157 95 214	1,058 263 119 192 139 345	498 155 43 128 82 90	739 224 85 156 104 170
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	808 133 85 405 2 23 68 92	809 160 83 367 3 7 68 121	33,839 6,710 4,558 12,086 844 1,720 2,206 5,715	37,261 7,693 5,056 12,728 834 1,722 3,480 5,748	502 179 79 44 13 7 177 3	347 123 74 30 16 15 80 9	546 262 82 59 18 42 59 24	653 200 179 108 20 56 61 29	453 212 62 93 32 41 - 13	618 226 148 97 21 59 49 18
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	11,517 231 1,698 782 911 95 845 645 1,528 4,782	10,027 198 1,192 784 745 57 644 737 1,118 4,552	127,326 2,511 11,466 2,974 16,879 2,201 19,361 10,385 28,159 33,390	122,736 2,706 13,156 2,990 14,784 2,029 20,471 9,262 26,073 31,265	319 6 39 11 25 2 28 7 132 69	457 6 10 18 18 3 28 - 170 204	234 4 28 50 10 55 22 33 32	359 34 1 73 15 87 21 40 85	149 7 1 42 8 43 11 15 22	280 1 2 06 13 68 16 38 76
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	1,671 315 540 415 401	1,781 185 748 455 393	45,871 8,125 13,529 13,554 10,663	48,234 7,616 14,065 14,526 12,027	48 4 14 17 13	49 7 11 15 16	129 58 43 18 10	145 40 56 10 39	111 49 47 6 9	116 32 54 9 21
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	3,856 189 806 214 2,647	3,666 170 632 322 2,542	97,905 6,481 16,215 9,825 65,384	97,977 6,108 16,807 8,889 66,173	119 8 7 15 89	159 15 12 17 115	110 14 33 59	223 56 15 19 133	91 - 26 28 37	279 38 51 17 173
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	1,288 15 19 4 267 137 502 110 234	1,324 14 20 9 326 140 410 133 272	39,136 1,805 1,846 776 9,242 5,767 13,600 1,619 4,481	35,561 1,312 1,727 9,080 4,916 11,895 2,119 3,755	233 37 22 7 41 28 9 83 6	171 10 23 5 70 21 10 28 4	278 20 72 7 87 15 30 31 16	420 30 73 156 22 55 49 14	131 - 1 53 11 23 42 1	304 40 11 110 18 44 71 10
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	4,710 483 213 3,898 18 98	5,199 463 170 4,444 23 99	118,990 12,798 6,880 93,244 2,515 3,553	113,518 12,269 6,589 88,918 2,360 3,382	263 7 50 202 1 3	244 U 20 224	459 126 78 232 4 19	692 221 133 292 32 14	343 62 61 211 1 8	502 206 114 165 6 11
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	12 1,113 11 1 -	13 1,242 32 -	2,350 53 U 129	472 U - U U	- - - U	- - - U U	N 1 - U	N 7 - U U		U U U U U

 TABLE II. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending December 8, 2001, and December 9, 2000 (49th Week)*

N: Not notifiable.
U: Unavailable.
·: No reported cases.
C.N.M.I: Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands.
·Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).
·Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).
·Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).
·Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).
·Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).
·Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).
·Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).
·Individual cases can be reported through both the Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention — Surveillance and Epidemiology, National Center for HIV, STD, and TB Prevention. Last updated November 27, 2001.

	Gonorrhea		Hepatit Non-A, I	is C; Non-B	Legione	llosis	Listeriosis	Lyı Dise	me ease
Reporting Area	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000
UNITED STATES	310,063	334,785	2,991	2,952	988	1,024	492	11,960	16,313
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	6,257 137 174 68 2,963 789 2,126	6,244 86 102 61 2,613 621 2,761	32 - 7 25 -	29 2 4 18 5	71 8 11 5 21 12 14	53 2 3 5 17 9 17	42 2 4 3 26 1 6	3,802 107 17 826 493 2,359	5,360 63 40 1,149 611 3,497
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	39,277 8,278 11,676 7,711 11,612	36,854 6,867 10,941 6,702 12,344	1,458 54 - 1,342 62	641 37 561 43	199 65 34 13 87	290 91 47 22 130	72 28 16 12 16	5,918 3,505 10 927 1,476	8,426 3,683 177 2,439 2,127
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	58,315 12,889 6,401 17,441 16,255 5,329	67,543 17,976 5,993 19,586 17,366 6,622	154 8 1 13 132	220 12 20 188	290 135 23 19 77 36	266 109 36 31 49 41	68 16 8 13 23 8	672 109 23 21 17 502	768 60 22 35 23 628
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr.	14,472 2,171 1,199 7,536 39 271 713	16,906 3,003 1,204 8,334 70 263 1,409	713 10 686 - -	576 7 2 554 1 - 4	48 9 8 22 1 3 4	56 7 14 25 - 2 4	20 3 2 10 - 1	385 317 36 26 - - 4	422 322 33 45 1 - 4
Kans.	2,543	2,623	11	8	1	4	4	2	17
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	78,461 1,545 6,490 2,662 9,992 687 15,574 6,887 15,481 19,143	86,731 1,629 9,066 2,522 9,756 615 16,684 7,966 17,132 21,361	99 - - 9 21 6 1 46	104 2 13 3 16 18 3 3 43	191 12 37 8 27 N 11 13 10 73	182 10 65 6 33 N 15 6 7 40	72 - 15 - 13 5 6 5 14 14 14	910 151 526 16 116 13 40 5 - 43	1,074 167 626 11 144 34 44 14 - 34
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	29,964 3,268 9,110 10,595 6,991	34,746 3,328 11,209 11,472 8,737	174 9 61 4 100	431 36 96 10 289	54 11 28 13 2	37 20 10 4 3	20 5 8 7	60 22 29 8 1	49 12 28 6 3
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	47,825 3,972 11,120 4,465 28,268	51,725 3,562 12,472 3,994 31,697	179 4 90 4 81	705 9 430 10 256	11 - 2 3 6	26 - 7 5 14	29 1 - 2 26	82 1 2 79	88 5 7 1 75
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	9,502 99 71 77 2,786 969 3,711 125 1,664	9,937 52 84 47 3,035 1,088 3,950 220 1,461	55 1 2 8 11 11 9 3 10	74 5 3 2 14 14 19 1 16	57 3 1 17 3 23 6 4	43 2 5 15 1 7 12 1	38 - 10 7 9 2 7	13 - 5 1 1 2 1 2	13 - 3 - - - 3 4
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	25,990 2,825 1,069 21,140 409 547	24,099 2,224 969 20,113 336 457	127 23 13 91	172 32 25 113 - 2	67 10 N 53 - 4	71 18 N 52 1	131 10 9 106 - 6	118 8 12 96 2 N	113 9 12 90 2 N
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	566 6 U 14	52 488 - U U	- 1 - U	3 1 - U U	2 - U -	- 1 - U U	- - - -	N U	N - U U

TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending December 8, 2001, and December 9, 2000 (49th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. - : No reported cases. * Incidence data for reporting year 2001 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). Incidence data for reporting year 2000 are finalized and cumulative (year-to-date).

					Salmonellosis [†]					
	Malaria		Rabies	, Animal	NET	rss	PHLIS			
Reporting Area	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000		
UNITED STATES	1,185	1,397	6,268	6,658	34,767	37,052	27,548	30,856		
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	85 4 1 38 13 27	70 6 1 32 8 20	698 64 21 60 255 69 229	793 127 21 57 267 57 264	2,238 163 156 79 1,277 131 432	2,088 120 139 107 1,198 127 397	2,106 151 149 63 1,115 170 458	2,143 97 143 102 1,215 153 433		
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	341 67 196 44 34	377 74 221 47 35	1,149 751 35 184 179	1,255 803 18 189 245	4,117 1,193 1,018 905 1,001	4,789 1,174 1,150 1,113 1,352	3,588 1,213 1,297 657 421	5,077 1,235 1,243 990 1,609		
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	138 25 16 35 41 21	141 20 8 65 31 17	143 52 15 24 46 6	153 51 - 22 68 12	4,663 1,317 494 1,273 785 794	5,133 1,488 611 1,447 855 732	3,945 1,149 474 1,049 791 482	3,520 1,399 587 255 903 376		
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	35 6 9 13 - 2 5	67 27 20 2 1 8 7	339 46 77 40 37 42 4 93	516 87 77 50 114 91 2 95	2,206 626 331 614 57 145 144 289	2,297 519 348 687 61 98 216 368	2,297 665 301 920 82 118 - 211	2,441 657 341 834 75 101 139 294		
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	274 2 110 13 48 1 19 7 30 44	312 5 108 16 49 4 35 2 30 63	2,127 30 338 476 136 556 114 311 166	2,303 49 397 - 111 552 153 340 156	8,256 87 789 80 1,269 135 1,306 866 1,657 2,067	7,755 115 745 63 967 159 1,112 735 1,434 2,425	5,877 112 853 U 1,041 136 1,219 692 1,210 614	5,728 127 701 906 147 1,101 553 1,679 514		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	34 12 12 6 4	45 18 12 14 1	200 27 105 64 4	200 21 102 76 1	2,553 359 628 724 842	2,344 367 647 647 683	1,752 217 765 474 296	1,763 258 790 585 130		
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	12 3 5 3 1	71 3 13 9 46	1,044 20 3 59 962	866 20 4 56 786	3,809 880 415 465 2,049	4,799 704 859 379 2,857	2,537 92 952 375 1,118	2,942 569 734 294 1,345		
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	60 3 - 22 3 16 4 9	51 1 - 24 - 9 6 7	231 38 28 20 - 14 115 15 15 1	264 64 9 56 - 21 95 10 9	2,073 72 136 55 561 273 603 214 159	2,647 93 125 70 671 227 732 474 255	1,666 4 52 566 235 594 192 23	2,410 111 58 652 203 736 469 181		
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	206 14 167 1 10	263 32 39 182 10	337 3 297 37	308 7 270 31	4,852 519 234 3,697 49 353	5,200 568 281 4,069 57 225	3,780 491 309 2,622 28 330	4,832 643 346 3,574 36 233		
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	5 - U	2 5 U U	87 - - -	- 78 - U U	536 - U 16	26 667 U U		U U U U		

TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending December 8, 2001, and December 9, 2000 (49th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. -: No reported cases. * Incidence data for reporting year 2001 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). Incidence data for reporting year 2000 are finalized and cumulative (year-to-date). † Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).

	NE.	Shigel	losis [†]	II IC	Syl (Primary 8)	ohilis Secondary)	Tuboroulosis			
	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Cum.	Culosis Cum.		
Reporting Area	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000	2001	2000		
UNITED STATES NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	16,961 260 6 7 195 22 24	21,270 390 10 6 4 274 30 66	7,568 274 3 4 5 184 26 52	12,165 367 11 - 249 32 67	5,525 64 1 3 40 9 10	5,649 81 1 2 - 58 4 16	11,531 382 3 16 4 227 37 95	13,641 405 19 18 4 232 30 102		
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	1,175 464 335 185 191	2,634 736 911 494 493	713 113 351 184 65	1,667 212 616 426 413	450 24 255 135 36	261 10 111 66 74	2,192 339 1,107 475 271	2,160 308 1,139 518 195		
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	4,187 2,879 218 518 290 282	4,005 393 1,497 1,147 648 320	1,749 1,172 46 288 216 27	1,253 312 151 145 590 55	961 74 150 337 378 22	1,140 67 337 397 294 45	1,279 258 102 590 253 76	1,393 284 135 656 238 80		
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	1,906 439 359 301 21 627 86 73	2,362 758 518 640 51 7 145 243	1,260 440 290 215 34 246 35	1,970 863 341 457 49 4 116 140	82 28 4 20 - 5 25	63 16 11 28 - - 2 6	424 215 34 128 3 12 32	498 161 36 182 5 16 23 75		
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	2,456 16 149 53 514 8 331 247 401 737	2,848 24 190 80 439 21 378 136 256 1,324	838 14 91 268 8 170 122 130 35	1,122 21 110 341 17 256 90 182 105	1,868 12 242 41 102 4 425 217 356 469	1,888 8 297 36 123 3 453 215 363 390	2,468 15 218 51 241 27 356 189 441 930	2,732 14 241 36 253 28 380 256 574 950		
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	1,538 705 106 203 524	1,141 495 338 92 216	573 300 112 130 31	558 113 366 72 7	627 43 314 137 133	820 81 490 117 132	756 109 273 249 125	868 113 327 289 139		
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	2,245 534 145 95 1,471	3,368 201 283 119 2,765	1,146 155 166 36 789	1,121 60 192 44 825	718 43 165 61 449	791 102 204 114 371	784 147 - 125 512	1,998 168 257 137 1,436		
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	932 8 40 3 240 118 395 62 66	1,196 7 44 5 253 160 530 77 120	675 5 255 79 275 53 8	838 - 25 3 211 114 337 82 66	219 - 1 22 17 162 8 8	217 1 9 16 184 1 5	475 14 8 3 113 25 216 33 63	493 17 9 4 76 42 210 45 90		
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	2,262 203 91 1,900 7 61	3,326 437 164 2,681 7 37	340 167 111 6 56	3,269 403 109 2,721 3 33	536 49 13 462 12	388 60 11 315 - 2	2,771 222 104 2,257 48 140	3,094 239 101 2,526 102 126		
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	- 8 - U 8	41 33 - U U	U U U U U	U U U U U	250 - U 13	3 157 - U U	- 76 - U 32	51 152 - U U		

TABLE II. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases, United States, weeks ending December 8, 2001, and December 9, 2000 (49th Week)*

N: Not notifiable.
U: Unavailable.
·: No reported cases.
* Incidence data for reporting year 2001 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). Incidence data for reporting year 2000 are finalized and cumulative (year-to-date).

Individual cases can be reported through both the National Electronic Telecommunications System for Surveillance (NETSS) and the Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS).

H. influenzae,		uenzae,	Н	epatitis (Vi	iral), By Ty	be	Measles (Rubeola)						
	Inva	asive	Α		В		Indige	nous	Impo	rted⁺	Total		
Reporting Area	Cum. 2001 [§]	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	2001	Cum. 2001	2001	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	
UNITED STATES	1,269	1,219	9,642	12,276	6,059	6,654	-	52	-	43	95	77	
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I.	89 2 6 4 41 5	102 1 12 10 41 4	648 11 16 16 311 66	373 21 18 10 130 24	93 5 14 4 11 28	106 5 17 6 15 22	- - - -	4 - 1 2 -		1 - - 1 -	5 - 1 3 -	6 - 3 - -	
Conn.	31	34	228	170	31	41	-	1	-	-	1	-	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	184 74 47 43 20	220 95 59 39 27	977 262 288 232 195	1,445 242 490 278 435	931 124 402 169 236	1,103 127 536 173 267	- - - -	5 1 3 - 1		11 4 1 1 5	16 5 4 1 6	22 10 11 - 1	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	221 73 46 63 13 26	173 53 30 58 11 21	1,151 254 97 416 315 69	1,590 253 112 673 465 87	846 91 47 152 556	701 98 46 111 406 40	- - - -			10 3 4 3 -	10 3 4 3 -	8 2 3 3	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans.	66 40 - 16 7 - 2 1	76 42 - 22 4 1 3 4	390 41 37 104 3 3 32 170	630 171 64 249 4 3 32 107	210 29 25 109 2 1 25 19	283 38 32 139 2 1 44 27	- - - - U	4 2 - - - - -	- - - - U	1 - - - - -	5 3 - 2 - - - -	3 - - - 2	
S. ATLANTIC	353	268	2,239	1,372	1,387	1,209	-	4	-	1	5	4	
Del. Md.	- 87	- 77	285	15 195	133	14 122	-	2	-	- 1	- 3	-	
D.C. Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	- 27 14 46 9 97 73	37 8 23 7 68 48	59 129 27 223 71 893 552	25 150 55 135 83 288 426	13 172 20 208 29 452 360	29 156 21 241 23 220 383		- - - 1 -			- 1 - - 1 -	- - - - 2	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	73 2 43 26 2	48 12 22 12 2	379 123 156 71 29	380 50 139 50 141	407 43 231 79 54	451 77 208 62 104	- - - -	2 2 - -		- - - -	2 2 - -		
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	50 2 6 41 1	63 2 16 43 2	1,297 66 61 116 1,054	2,313 131 94 246 1,842	666 97 46 106 417	1,046 95 148 150 653	- - -	- - - -		1 - - 1	1 - - 1		
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	135 2 - 38 23 54 8 10	124 1 4 1 32 24 45 11 6	705 12 57 7 86 37 379 69 58	896 7 35 4 202 70 436 61 81	453 3 11 3 100 128 136 27 45	517 6 8 3 100 134 191 27 48		2 - - - - 1 -			2 - - - - 1 - -	12 - - 2 - 3 7	
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	98 5 19 44 6 24	145 8 32 35 45 25	1,856 150 74 1,615 14 3	3,277 275 165 2,811 13 13	1,066 138 112 789 9 18	1,238 109 115 991 11 12	- - - -	31 13 4 12 2		18 2 - 11 5	49 15 4 23 - 7	22 3 - 15 1 3	
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C.N.M.I.	- 1 - U	1 4 - U U	125 U	1 238 - U U	- 184 - U 35	10 278 - U U	U - U U -	- - U	U - U U -	- - U	- - U	2 - U U	

TABLE III. Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending December 8, 2001, and December 9, 2000 (49th Week)*

N: Not notifiable.
U: Unavailable.
Incidence data for reporting year 2001 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). Incidence data for reporting year 2000 are finalized and cumulative (year-to-date).
For imported measles, cases include only those resulting from importation from other countries.
Of 263 cases among children aged <5 years, serotype was reported for 122, and of those, 21 were type b.

	Meningococcal Disease			Mumps			Pertussis		Rubella			
Reporting Area	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	2001	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	2001	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	2001	Cum. 2001	Cum. 2000	
UNITED STATES	2,092	2,060	10	213	304	120	4,631	6,777	-	19	165	
NEW ENGLAND Maine N.H. Vt. Mass. R.I. Conn.	108 6 12 6 54 6 24	118 8 12 3 68 9 18			4 - - 1 1 2	5 - 3 2 -	438 21 38 42 314 6 17	1,829 45 127 243 1,347 22 45			12 - - 8 1 1	
MID. ATLANTIC Upstate N.Y. N.Y. City N.J. Pa.	206 61 40 49 56	246 74 44 51 77	1 - - 1 -	23 3 12 4 4	27 11 7 3 6	7 3 - 4 -	277 136 49 22 70	683 336 84 36 227	- - -	5 1 3 1	9 1 8 -	
E.N. CENTRAL Ohio Ind. III. Mich. Wis.	317 91 41 72 66 47	368 89 42 84 111 42	1 - - 1 -	20 1 3 11 5	22 7 6 6 2	21 16 3 2 -	698 305 80 80 135 98	798 318 117 113 123 127	- - - -	2 - - 2 - -	1 - 1 - -	
W.N. CENTRAL Minn. Iowa Mo. N. Dak. S. Dak. S. Dak. Nebr. Kans	151 25 31 49 6 5 20 15	149 21 34 67 2 6 7 12	5 2 1 2 - U	16 5 1 - - 1 5	18 - 7 5 1 - 2 3	17 12 - - - U 3	372 179 50 97 5 4 7 30	573 347 57 88 7 7 27 40	- - - - U	3 - 1 - - - 1	2 1 - - 1	
S. ATLANTIC Del. Md. D.C.	348 5 41	281 1 26	- - -	37 - 7 -	44 - 9 -	5 - - -	252 38 1	496 9 122 3	- - -	7 1 -	112 1 -	
Va. W. Va. N.C. S.C. Ga. Fla.	38 13 62 34 48 107	40 13 36 26 46 93	- - - - -	8 - 5 5 7 5	10 - 7 11 2 5	1 - 2 2 -	50 4 72 34 27 26	111 1 110 38 40 62	- - - - -	- - 2 1 3	- 82 27 - 2	
E.S. CENTRAL Ky. Tenn. Ala. Miss.	128 22 56 34 16	129 26 54 34 15	- - - -	9 3 1 5	5 1 2 2	2 - 2 -	157 57 59 37 4	111 58 32 18 3	- - -		6 1 1 4 -	
W.S. CENTRAL Ark. La. Okla. Tex.	326 20 65 31 210	222 13 44 28 137	- - -	14 1 2 11	34 3 5 26	27 - - 27	501 45 3 27 426	359 37 21 47 254	- - -	1 - - 1	8 1 1 - 6	
MOUNTAIN Mont. Idaho Wyo. Colo. N. Mex. Ariz. Utah Nev.	90 4 7 5 34 11 14 8 7	97 6 7 1 32 11 29 7 4		13 1 1 3 2 1 1 3	22 1 1 1 1 4 7 6	32 - - 14 5 10 - 3	1,281 37 170 1 297 142 519 76 39	777 35 64 4 460 89 79 31 15			2 - - 1 - 1 - -	
PACIFIC Wash. Oreg. Calif. Alaska Hawaii	418 64 42 296 3 13	450 58 68 307 9 8	3 - N 3 -	81 2 N 42 1 36	128 10 N 87 8 23	4 - - -	655 165 51 395 11 33	1,151 400 106 584 21 40	- - - -	1 - - - 1	13 7 6 -	
Guam P.R. V.I. Amer. Samoa C. N.M.I	5 - U	- 10 - U	U - U U	- - - U	16 - - U	U - U U	2 - U	4 10 - U	U U U	- - - U	1 - - U U	

TABLE III. (Cont'd) Provisional cases of selected notifiable diseases preventable by vaccination, United States, weeks ending December 8, 2001, and December 9, 2000 (49th Week)*

N: Not notifiable. U: Unavailable. - : No reported cases. * Incidence data for reporting year 2001 are provisional and cumulative (year-to-date). Incidence data for reporting year 2000 are finalized and cumulative (year-to-date).

	All Causes, By Age (Years)			D9.It		All Causes, By Age (Years)									
Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total	Reporting Area	All Ages	≥65	45-64	25-44	1-24	<1	Total
NEW ENGLAND Boston, Mass. Bridgeport, Conn Cambridge, Mass Fall River, Mass. Hartford, Conn. Lowell, Mass. Lynn, Mass. New Bedford, Ma New Haven, Conn Providence, R.I. Somerville, Mass. Springfield, Mass	515 151 43 23 18 U 22 31 31 38 4 6 52 52	362 94 31 15 13 U 15 7 24 27 28 4 33	94 31 8 7 5 U 5 3 4 5 4 2 8	36 18 3 - U 1 - 2 3 1 - 6	11 5 1 - U - 1 1 - 2	9 3 - - U 1 - 2 1 - 1	¥942 · ∪2155 · · 71	S. ATLANTIC Atlanta, Ga. Baltimore, Md. Charlotte, N.C. Jacksonville, Fla. Miami, Fla. Norfolk, Va. Richmond, Va. Savannah, Ga. St. Petersburg, F Tampa, Fla. Washington, D.C. Wilmington, Del	1,274 178 157 115 143 96 60 70 38 1a. 53 245 . 100 . 19	799 103 100 73 95 36 42 21 34 166 49 17	272 40 31 22 27 19 14 16 6 9 54 32 2	119 15 21 10 2 6 8 8 8 18 9 -	38 7 3 2 6 2 3 4 3 1 4 3 -	43 13 2 4 5 2 5 2 - 1 3 6 -	79 11 10 11 13 8 1 4 2 4 14 1 1
Worcester, Mass. MID. ATLANTIC Albany, N.Y. Allentown, Pa. Buffalo, N.Y. Camden, N.J. Elizabeth, N.J. Erie, Pa.S	23 61 2,354 55 19 91 28 30 47	49 1,595 42 16 64 21 25 30	3 9 471 11 2 17 3 3 11	2 195 1 7 1 1 6 2	- 60 1 - 1 - 1 -	1 33 - 2 3 -	7 122 11 - 12 1 2	E.S. CENTRAL Birmingham, Ala Chattanooga, Te Knoxville, Tenn. Lexington, Ky. Memphis, Tenn. Mobile, Ala. Montgomery, Al Nashville, Tenn.	892 a. 193 nn. 106 U 85 195 89 a. 43 181	580 123 70 U 62 124 48 28 125	205 45 24 U 19 50 24 9 34	61 11 6 U 4 15 10 2 13	22 6 4 U - 1 4 2 5	23 7 2 U 5 3 2 4	66 11 13 U 5 12 6 17
New York, N.J. New York, City, N.J. Paterson, N.J. Philadelphia, Pa. Pittsburgh, Pa.§ Reading, Pa. Rochester, N.Y. Schenectady, N.Y. Scranton, Pa.§ Syracuse, N.Y. Trenton, N.J. Utica, N.Y. Yonkers, N.Y.	7. 1,281 U 25 342 40 21 117 18 31 127 23 15 U	820 U 17 228 28 95 14 27 92 92 17 92 U	9 271 5 69 11 2 18 4 25 2 4 U	3 122 2 32 1 1 4 - 8 3 2 U	48 U - 8 - - - 1 - U	20 U 1 5 - - 2 - U	38 U 2 17 3 - 15 2 2 13 2 1 U	W.S. CENTRAL Austin, Tex. Baton Rouge, La Corpus Christi, T Dallas, Tex. El Paso, Tex. Ft. Worth, Tex. Houston, Tex. Little Rock, Ark. New Orleans, La. San Antonio, Te: Shreveport, La. Tulsa, Okla.	1,591 71 ex. 62 251 128 406 61 U x. 257 55 138	1,062 55 59 37 152 63 82 258 39 U 186 33 98	311 8 16 14 57 8 24 84 16 U 43 14 27	133 5 4 5 28 6 12 46 5 U 15 3 4	48 2 1 4 9 4 2 10 1 U 10 2 3	37 1 2 5 - 8 8 - U 3 3 6	111 4 1 6 17 2 9 33 6 U 17 5 11
E.N. CENTRAL Akron, Ohio Canton, Ohio Chicago, Ill. Cincinnati, Ohio Cleveland, Ohio Columbus, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Dayton, Ohio Detroit, Mich. Evansville, Ind. Fort Wayne, Ind. Gary, Ind. Grand Rapids, Mic Indianapolis, Ind. Lansing, Mich. Milwaukee, Wis. Peoria, Ill. Rockford, Ill. South Bend, Ind.	1,803 49 51 U 122 129 197 136 194 57 85 22 245 85 22 245 33 152 45 70	1,254 35 40 129 7 108 47 56 129 7 108 47 56 129 31 140 25 109 35 49 60	346 96 U 22 305 28 53 719 66 31 724 81 24 81 9	120 34 U 5916920352218101441	44 - U 11 3 3 1 7 - 3 2 4 4 - 1 1 -	39 2 1 1 4 4 1 6 - 2 6 - 8 - -	11875U6879277346115384	MOUNTAIN Albuquerque, N Boise, Idaho Colo. Springs, C Denver, Colo. Las Vegas, Nev. Ogden, Utah Phoenix, Ariz. Pueblo, Colo. Salt Lake City, U: Tucson, Ariz. PACIFIC Berkeley, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Glendale, Calif. Honolulu, Hawai Long Beach, Cali Dasadena, Cali	1,052 M. 93 42 olo. 63 103 199 30 195 30 tah 124 170 2,355 16 128 53 16 128 53 16 128 53 16 128 53 16 128 16 128 128 16 128 128 16 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128	732 67 29 43 131 28 132 20 85 128 1,662 10 101 53 45 610 25	187 19 5 12 37 5 37 7 19 25 427 4 15 11 7 21 172 6	77 7 4 3 10 17 - 14 2 10 186 2 10 5 7 5 86 1	28 3 3 5 - 6 1 4 5 - 1 1 - 31	25 1 2 9 - 3 - 6 2 27 - 1 1 1 1 8 1	58 3 1 7 12 4 11 10 8 188 2 8 1 4 4 53 7
Toledo, Ohio Youngstown, Ohi W.N. CENTRAL Des Moines, Iowa Duluth, Minn. Kansas City, Kans Kansas City, Mo. Lincoln, Nebr. Minneapolis, Min Omaha, Nebr. St. Louis, Mo. St. Paul, Minn. Wichita, Kans.	102 50 836 47 49 35 89 45 189 110 93 75 104	75 40 576 296 36 17 60 29 139 80 56 59 71	17 7 157 12 5 11 9 11 26 22 23 12 26	6 1 58 3 6 5 13 3 17 4 5 1 1	3 1 25 3 1 - 2 1 3 2 7 - 6	1 20 1 2 5 1 4 2 2 3	42 64363355 178397	Portland, Oreg. Sacramento, Cal San Diego, Calif. San Francisco, C San Jose, Calif. Santa Cruz, Calif Seattle, Wash. Spokane, Wash. Tacoma, Wash. TOTAL	138 if. 242 204 alif. U 195 . 29 109 64 97 12,672¶	104 172 151 U 136 17 79 53 71 8,622	24 44 39 U 35 6 21 4 18 2,470	7 17 10 15 5 7 5 4 985	2 6 3 U 3 1 2 1 - 327	1 3 1 0 6 - 1 2 256	9 24 29 U 21 5 10 8 6 849

TABLE IV. Deaths in 122 U.S. cities,* week ending December 8, 2001 (49th Week)

U: Unavailable. -:No reported cases. * Mortality data in this table are reported voluntarily from 122 cities in the United States, most of which have populations of ≥100,000. A death is reported by the place of its occurrence and by the week that the death certificate was filed. Fetal deaths are not included. [†] Pneumonia and influenza. [§] Because of changes in reporting methods in this Pennsylvania city, these numbers are partial counts for the current week. Complete counts will be available in 4 to 6 weeks. [§] Total includes unknown ages.

Contributors to the Production of the MMWR (Weekly)

Weekly Notifiable Disease Morbidity Data and 122 Cities Mortality Data

Samuel L. Groseclose, D.V.M., M.P.H. Wayne S. Brathwaite

State Support Team Robert Fagan Jose Aponte Gerald Jones David Nitschke Scott Noldy Jim Vaughan Carol A. Worsham **CDC Operations Team** Carol M. Knowles Deborah A. Adams Willie J. Anderson Lateka M. Dammond Patsy A. Hall Mechele A. Hester Felicia J. Connor Pearl Sharp

Informatics

T. Demetri Vacalis, Ph.D. Michele D. Renshaw Eri

Erica R. Shaver

All *MMWR* references are available on the Internet at <http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/>. Use the search function to find specific articles.

Use of trade names and commercial sources is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

References to non-CDC sites on the Internet are provided as a service to *MMWR* readers and do not constitute or imply endorsement of these organizations or their programs by CDC or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. CDC is not responsible for the content of pages found at these sites.

The Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR) Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available free of charge in electronic format and on a paid subscription basis for paper copy. To receive an electronic copy on Friday of each week, send an e-mail message to *listserv@listserv.cdc.gov*. The body content should read SUBscribe mmwr-toc. Electronic copy also is available from CDC's World-Wide Web server at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr or from CDC's file transfer protocol server at ftp://ftp.cdc.gov/pub/Publications/mmwr. To subscribe for paper copy, contact Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 512-1800.

Data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the following Friday. Address inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, CDC, 1600 Clifton Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (888) 232-3228.

All material in the *MMWR* Series is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

Director, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Jeffrey P. Koplan, M.D., M.P.H.	Director, Epidemiology Program Office Stephen B. Thacker, M.D., M.Sc.	Writers-Editors, <i>MMWR</i> (Weekly) Jill Crane David C. Johnson				
Deputy Director for Science and Public Health, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention David W. Fleming, M.D.	Editor, <i>MMWR</i> Series John W. Ward, M.D. Acting Managing Editor, <i>MMWR</i> (Weekly) Teresa F. Rutledge	Desktop Publishing Lynda G. Cupell Morie M. Higgins				
☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 2002-733-100/49032 Region IV						