



May 14, 1993 / Vol. 42 / No. RR-6

**MMWR**

*Recommendations  
and  
Reports*

MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY WEEKLY REPORT

---

**Prevention and Control of Influenza:  
Part I, Vaccines**

**Recommendations of the Advisory  
Committee on Immunization Practices  
(ACIP)**

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES**  
Public Health Service  
Centers for Disease Control  
and Prevention (CDC)  
Atlanta, Georgia 30333



The *MMWR* series of publications is published by the Epidemiology Program Office, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Public Health Service, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, Georgia 30333.

SUGGESTED CITATION

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention and control of influenza. Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR* 1993;42(No. RR-6):[inclusive page numbers].

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ..... William L. Roper, M.D., M.P.H.  
*Director*

The material in this report was prepared for publication by:

National Center for Infectious Diseases ..... James M. Hughes, M.D.  
*Director*

Division of Viral & Rickettsial Diseases ..... Brian W. J. Mahy, Ph.D., Sc.D.  
*Director*

The production of this report as an *MMWR* serial publication was coordinated in:

Epidemiology Program Office ..... Barbara R. Holloway, M.P.H.  
*Acting Director*

Richard A. Goodman, M.D., M.P.H.  
*Editor, MMWR Series*

Scientific Information and Communications Program  
*Recommendations and Reports* ..... Suzanne M. Hewitt, M.P.A.  
*Managing Editor*

Rachel J. Wilson  
*Project Editor*

Morie M. Higgins  
*Visual Information Specialist*

Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Copies can be purchased from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402-9325. Telephone: (202) 783-3238.

## Contents

Introduction.....	1
Options for the Control of Influenza .....	2
Inactivated Vaccine for Influenza A and B .....	2
Recommendations for Use of Influenza Vaccine .....	3
Target Groups for Special Vaccination Programs.....	4
Vaccination of Other Groups .....	5
Persons Who Should Not Be Vaccinated.....	6
Side Effects and Adverse Reactions .....	6
Simultaneous Administration of Other Vaccines, Including Childhood Vaccines .....	7
Timing of Influenza Vaccination Activities.....	8
Strategies for Implementing Influenza Vaccine Recommendations .....	8
Sources of Information on Influenza-Control Programs .....	10
Selected Bibliography .....	11



## Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices Membership List, February 1993

### CHAIRMAN

Samuel L. Katz, M.D.  
Duke University Medical Center

### EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

Claire V. Broome, M.D.  
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

### MEMBERS

Mary Lou Clements, M.D.  
Johns Hopkins University

Barbara Ann Debuono, M.D.  
Rhode Island Department of Health

Kathryn M. Edwards, M.D.  
Vanderbilt University School  
of Medicine

Neal A. Halsey, M.D.  
Johns Hopkins University

Gregory R. Istre, M.D.  
University of Texas Southwest Medical  
Center at Dallas

Rudolph E. Jackson, M.D.  
Morehouse School of Medicine

Carlos H. Ramirez-Ronda, M.D.  
University of Puerto Rico School of  
Medicine

Fred E. Thompson, Jr., M.D.  
Mississippi State Department of Health

Joel I. Ward, M.D.  
Harbor-UCLA Medical Center

### EX OFFICIO MEMBERS

John La Montagne, Ph.D.  
National Institutes of Health

Carolyn Hardegree, M.D.  
Food and Drug Administration

### LIAISON REPRESENTATIVES

**American Academy of  
Family Physicians**  
Ronald C. Van Buren, M.D.  
Columbus, Ohio

**American Academy of Pediatrics**  
Georges Peter, M.D.  
Providence, Rhode Island  
  
Caroline B. Hall, M.D.  
Rochester, New York

## Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices Membership List, February 1993 — Continued

**American College of Physicians**

Pierce Gardner, M.D.  
Stonybrook, New York

**American College of Obstetricians  
and Gynecologists**

Marvin S. Amstey, M.D.  
Rochester, New York

**National Association of State Public  
Health Veterinarians**

Keith Clark, D.V.M., Ph.D.  
Austin, Texas

**American Medical Association**

Edward A. Mortimer, Jr., M.D.  
Cleveland, Ohio

**Canadian National Advisory Committee  
on Immunization**

Susan E. Tamblyn, M.D.  
Stratford, Ontario (Canada)

**Department of Defense**

Michael Peterson, D.V.M., Dr. P.H.  
Falls Church, Virginia

**American Hospital Association**

William Schaffner, M.D.  
Nashville, Tennessee

**National Vaccine Program**

Kenneth J. Bart, M.D.  
Rockville, Maryland

**Prevention and Control of Influenza: Part I, Vaccines**  
**Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on  
Immunization Practices (ACIP)**

The following CDC staff members prepared this report:

*National Center for Infectious Diseases*  
*Division of Viral & Rickettsial Diseases*  
Nancy H. Arden, M.N.  
Nancy J. Cox, Ph.D.  
Lawrence B. Schonberger, M.D., M.P.H.



## Prevention and Control of Influenza: Part I, Vaccines

### Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)

*These recommendations update information on the vaccine available for controlling influenza during the 1993–94 influenza season (superseding MMWR 1992;41(No. RR-9):1–17.) The principal changes include information about a) the influenza strains in the trivalent vaccine for 1993–94, b) the effectiveness of influenza vaccine, and c) side effects and adverse reactions.*

*Antiviral agents also have an important role in the control of influenza. Recommendations for the use of antiviral agents will be published later in 1993 as Part II of these recommendations.*

#### INTRODUCTION

Influenza A viruses are classified into subtypes on the basis of two surface antigens: hemagglutinin (H) and neuraminidase (N). Three subtypes of hemagglutinin (H1, H2, H3) and two subtypes of neuraminidase (N1, N2) are recognized among influenza A viruses that have caused widespread human disease. Immunity to these antigens — especially to the hemagglutinin — reduces the likelihood of infection and lessens the severity of disease if infection occurs. Infection with a virus of one subtype confers little or no protection against viruses of other subtypes. Furthermore, over time, antigenic variation (antigenic drift) within a subtype may be so marked that infection or vaccination with one strain may not induce immunity to distantly related strains of the same subtype. Although influenza B viruses have shown more antigenic stability than influenza A viruses, antigenic variation does occur. For these reasons, major epidemics of respiratory disease caused by new variants of influenza continue to occur. The antigenic characteristics of current strains provide the basis for selecting which virus strains to include in each year's vaccine.

Typical influenza illness is characterized by abrupt onset of fever, myalgia, sore throat, and nonproductive cough. Unlike other common respiratory infections, influenza can cause severe malaise lasting several days. More severe illness can result if primary influenza pneumonia or secondary bacterial pneumonia occurs. During influenza epidemics, high attack rates of acute illness result in increased numbers of visits to physicians' offices, walk-in clinics, and emergency rooms and increased hospitalizations for management of lower respiratory tract complications.

Elderly persons and persons with underlying health problems are at increased risk for complications of influenza infection. If infected, members of high-risk groups (listed as "groups at increased risk for influenza-related complications" under Target Groups for Special Vaccination Programs) are more likely than the general population to require hospitalization. During major epidemics, hospitalization rates for persons at high risk may increase 2- to 5-fold, depending on the age group. Previously healthy children and younger adults may also require hospitalization for influenza-related

complications, but the relative increase in their hospitalization rates is less than for persons who belong to high-risk groups.

An increase in mortality further indicates the impact of influenza epidemics. Increased mortality results not only from influenza and pneumonia, but also from cardiopulmonary and other chronic diseases that can be exacerbated by influenza infection. It is estimated that >10,000 excess deaths occurred during each of seven different U.S. epidemics in the period 1977–1988, and >40,000 excess deaths occurred during each of two of these epidemics. Approximately 80%–90% of the deaths attributed to pneumonia and influenza occurred among persons  $\geq 65$  years of age.

Because the proportion of elderly persons in the U.S. population is increasing and because age and its associated chronic diseases are risk factors for severe influenza illness, the toll from influenza can be expected to increase unless control measures are administered more vigorously. The number of younger persons at increased risk for influenza-related complications is also increasing. Better survival rates for organ-transplant recipients, the success of neonatal intensive care units, and better management of diseases such as cystic fibrosis and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS) result in a higher survival rate for younger persons at high risk.

## **OPTIONS FOR THE CONTROL OF INFLUENZA**

In the United States, two measures are available that can reduce the impact of influenza: immunoprophylaxis with inactivated (killed-virus) vaccine and chemoprophylaxis or therapy with an influenza-specific antiviral drug (e.g., amantadine). Vaccination of persons at high risk before each annual influenza season is currently the most effective measure for reducing the impact of influenza. Vaccination can be highly cost effective when a) it is directed at persons who are most likely to experience complications or who are at increased risk for exposure, and b) it is administered to persons at high risk during hospitalization or a routine health-care visit before the influenza season, thus making special visits to physicians' offices or clinics unnecessary. When vaccine and epidemic strains of virus are well matched, achieving high vaccination rates among closed populations can reduce the risk of outbreaks by inducing herd immunity.

Other indications for vaccination include the desire of any person to avoid influenza infection, reduce the severity of disease, or reduce the chance of transmitting influenza to close contacts who are members of high-risk groups.

## **INACTIVATED VACCINE FOR INFLUENZA A AND B**

Influenza vaccine is made from highly purified, egg-grown viruses that have been rendered noninfectious (inactivated). Each year's influenza vaccine contains three virus strains (usually two type A and one type B) representing influenza viruses believed likely to circulate in the United States in the upcoming influenza season. The composition of the vaccine rarely causes systemic or febrile reactions. Whole-virus, subvirion, and purified-surface-antigen preparations are available. To minimize febrile reactions, only subvirion or purified-surface-antigen preparations should be used for children; any of the preparations may be used for adults.

Most vaccinated children and young adults develop high postvaccination hemagglutination-inhibition antibody titers. These antibody titers are protective against infection by strains similar to those in the vaccine or the related variants that may emerge during outbreak periods. Elderly persons and persons with certain chronic diseases may develop lower postvaccination antibody titers than healthy young adults and thus may remain susceptible to influenza upper respiratory tract infection. Nevertheless, even if such persons develop influenza illness, the vaccine has been shown to be effective in preventing lower respiratory tract involvement or other complications, thereby reducing the risk of hospitalization and death.

The effectiveness of influenza vaccine in preventing or attenuating illness varies, depending primarily on the age and immunocompetence of the vaccine recipient and the degree of similarity between the virus strains included in the vaccine and those that circulate during the influenza season. When there is a good match between vaccine and circulating viruses, influenza vaccine has been shown to prevent illness in approximately 70% of healthy children and younger adults. In these circumstances, studies have also shown influenza vaccine to be approximately 70% effective in preventing hospitalization for pneumonia and influenza among elderly persons living in the community.

Among elderly persons residing in nursing homes, influenza vaccine is most effective in preventing severe illness, secondary complications, and death. Studies of this population have shown the vaccine to be 50%–60% effective in preventing hospitalization and pneumonia and 80% effective in preventing death. However, efficacy in preventing influenza illness may often be in the range of 30%–40% among the frail elderly. Achieving high rates of vaccination among nursing home residents has been shown to reduce the spread of infection when it is introduced into a facility, thus preventing infection through herd immunity.

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR USE OF INFLUENZA VACCINE

Influenza vaccine is strongly recommended for any person  $\geq 6$  months of age who — because of age or an underlying medical condition — is at increased risk for complications of influenza. Health-care workers and others (including household members) in close contact with persons in high-risk groups should also be vaccinated. In addition, influenza vaccine may be administered to any person who wishes to reduce the chance of becoming infected with influenza. The trivalent influenza vaccine prepared for the 1993–94 season will include A/Texas/36/91-like (H1N1), A/Beijing/32/92-like (H3N2), and B/Panama/45/90-like hemagglutinin antigens. Recommended doses are listed in Table 1. Guidelines for the use of vaccine among different groups follow.

Although the current influenza vaccine can contain one or more antigens administered in previous years, annual vaccination using the current vaccine is necessary because immunity declines in the year following vaccination. Because the 1993–94 vaccine differs from the 1992–93 vaccine, supplies of 1992–93 vaccine should not be administered to provide protection for the 1993–94 influenza season.

Two doses administered at least 1 month apart may be required for a satisfactory antibody response among previously unvaccinated children  $< 9$  years of age; however, studies with vaccines similar to those in current use have shown little or no improve-

ment in antibody responses when a second dose is administered to adults during the same season.

During the past decade, data on influenza vaccine immunogenicity and side effects have been obtained when vaccine has been administered intramuscularly. Because there has been no adequate evaluation of recent influenza vaccines administered by other routes, the intramuscular route is recommended. Adults and older children should be vaccinated in the deltoid muscle, and infants and young children in the anterolateral aspect of the thigh.

## TARGET GROUPS FOR SPECIAL VACCINATION PROGRAMS

To maximize protection of persons at high risk, they and their close contacts should be targeted for organized vaccination programs.

### Groups at Increased Risk for Influenza-Related Complications:

- Persons  $\geq 65$  years of age.
- Residents of nursing homes and other chronic-care facilities housing persons of any age with chronic medical conditions.
- Adults and children with chronic disorders of the pulmonary or cardiovascular systems, including children with asthma.
- Adults and children who have required regular medical follow-up or hospitalization during the preceding year because of chronic metabolic diseases (including diabetes mellitus), renal dysfunction, hemoglobinopathies, or immunosuppression (including immunosuppression caused by medications).

**TABLE 1. Influenza vaccine\* dosage, by age group — United States, 1993–94 season**

Age group	Product <sup>†</sup>	Dosage	No. doses	Route <sup>§</sup>
6–35 mos.	Split virus only	0.25 mL	1 or 2 <sup>¶</sup>	IM
3–8 yrs.	Split virus only	0.50 mL	1 or 2 <sup>¶</sup>	IM
9–12 yrs.	Split virus only	0.50 mL	1	IM
>12 yrs.	Whole or split virus	0.50mL	1	IM

\* Contains 15  $\mu$ g each of A/Texas/36/91-like (H1N1), A/Beijing/32/92-like (H3N2), and B/Panama/45/90-like hemagglutinin antigens in each 0.5 mL. Manufacturers include: Connaught Laboratories, Inc. (Fluzone whole or split); Evans Medical Ltd.-Lederle Laboratories (distributed by Lederle Laboratories) (Flu-immune purified surface antigen vaccine); Parke-Davis (Fluogen split); and Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories (FluShield split). For further product information, call Connaught, (800) 822-2463; Lederle, (800) 533-3753; Parke-Davis, (800) 223-0432; Wyeth-Ayerst, (800) 950-5099.

<sup>†</sup> Because of the lower potential for causing febrile reactions, only split-virus vaccines should be used for children. The vaccines may be labeled as "split," "subvirion," or "purified-surface-antigen" vaccine. Immunogenicity and side effects of split- and whole-virus vaccines are similar for adults when vaccines are administered at the recommended dosage.

<sup>§</sup> The recommended site of vaccination is the deltoid muscle for adults and older children. The preferred site for infants and young children is the anterolateral aspect of the thigh.

<sup>¶</sup> Two doses administered at least 1 month apart are recommended for children <9 years of age who are receiving influenza vaccine for the first time.

- Children and teenagers (6 months–18 years of age) who are receiving long-term aspirin therapy and therefore may be at risk of developing Reye syndrome after influenza.

#### **Groups That Can Transmit Influenza to Persons at High Risk:**

Persons who are clinically or subclinically infected and who attend or live with members of high-risk groups can transmit influenza virus to them. Some persons at high risk (e.g., the elderly, transplant recipients, or persons with AIDS) can have low antibody responses to influenza vaccine. Efforts to protect these members of high-risk groups against influenza may be improved by reducing the chances of exposure to influenza from their care providers. Therefore, the following groups should be vaccinated:

- Physicians, nurses, and other personnel in both hospital and outpatient-care settings.
- Employees of nursing homes and chronic-care facilities who have contact with patients or residents.
- Providers of home care to persons at high risk (e.g., visiting nurses, volunteer workers).
- Household members (including children) of persons in high-risk groups.

## **VACCINATION OF OTHER GROUPS**

### **General Population**

Physicians should administer influenza vaccine to any person who wishes to reduce the chance of acquiring influenza infection. Persons who provide essential community services may be considered for vaccination to minimize disruption of essential activities during influenza outbreaks. Students or other persons in institutional settings, such as those who reside in dormitories, should be encouraged to receive vaccine to minimize the disruption of routine activities during epidemics.

### **Pregnant Women**

Influenza-associated excess mortality among pregnant women has not been documented except in the pandemics of 1918–19 and 1957–58. However, pregnant women who have other medical conditions that increase their risks for complications from influenza should be vaccinated, as the vaccine is considered safe for pregnant women. Regardless of the stage of pregnancy, it is undesirable to delay vaccination of pregnant women who have high-risk conditions and who will still be in the first trimester of pregnancy when the influenza season begins.

### **Persons Infected with Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV)**

Little information exists regarding the frequency and severity of influenza illness among (HIV)-infected persons, but reports suggest that symptoms may be prolonged and the risk of complications increased for some HIV-infected persons. Because influ-

enza may result in serious illness and complications, vaccination is a prudent precaution and will result in protective antibody levels in many recipients. However, the antibody response to vaccine may be low in persons with advanced HIV-related illnesses; a booster dose of vaccine has not improved the immune response for these persons.

### **Foreign Travelers**

The elderly and persons with high-risk medical conditions are traveling outside of the United States in increasing numbers. The risk of exposure to influenza during foreign travel varies, depending on season and destination. In the tropics, influenza can occur throughout the year; in the southern hemisphere, the season of greatest activity is April–September. Because of the short incubation period for influenza, exposure to the virus during travel can result in clinical illness that also begins while traveling, an inconvenience or potential danger, especially for persons at increased risk for complications. Persons preparing to travel to the tropics at any time of year or to the southern hemisphere during April–September should review their influenza vaccination histories. If they were not vaccinated the previous fall or winter, they should consider influenza vaccination before travel. Persons among the high-risk categories should be especially encouraged to receive the most currently available vaccine. Persons at high risk who received the previous season's vaccine before travel should be revaccinated in the fall or winter with the current vaccine.

## **PERSONS WHO SHOULD NOT BE VACCINATED**

Inactivated influenza vaccine should not be administered to persons known to have anaphylactic hypersensitivity to eggs or to other components of the influenza vaccine without first consulting a physician (see Side Effects and Adverse Reactions). Use of an antiviral agent (e.g., amantadine) is an option for prevention of influenza A in such persons. However, persons who have a history of anaphylactic hypersensitivity to vaccine components but who are also at higher risk for complications of influenza infections may benefit from vaccine after appropriate allergy evaluation and desensitization. Specific information about vaccine components can be found in warnings and contraindications in package inserts for each manufacturer.

Adults with acute febrile illnesses usually should not be vaccinated until their symptoms have abated. However, minor illnesses with or without fever should not contraindicate the use of influenza vaccine, particularly among children with mild upper respiratory tract infection or allergic rhinitis (see American Academy of Pediatrics, *The Red Book*, 1991).

## **SIDE EFFECTS AND ADVERSE REACTIONS**

Because influenza vaccine contains only noninfectious viruses, it cannot cause influenza. Respiratory disease after vaccination represents coincidental illness unrelated to influenza vaccination. The most frequent side effect of vaccination is soreness at the vaccination site that lasts for up to 2 days; this is reported by fewer than one-third of vaccinees. In addition, two types of systemic reactions have occurred:

- Fever, malaise, myalgia, and other systemic symptoms occur infrequently and most often affect persons who have had no exposure to the influenza virus antigens in the vaccine (e.g., young children). These reactions begin 6–12 hours after vaccination and can persist for 1 or 2 days.
- Immediate — presumably allergic — reactions (such as hives, angioedema, allergic asthma, or systemic anaphylaxis) occur rarely after influenza vaccination. These reactions probably result from hypersensitivity to some vaccine component — the majority are most likely related to residual egg protein. Although current influenza vaccines contain only a small quantity of egg protein, this protein may induce immediate hypersensitivity reactions among persons with severe egg allergy. Persons who have developed hives, had swelling of the lips or tongue, or experienced acute respiratory distress or collapse after eating eggs should consult a physician for appropriate evaluation to assist in determining whether vaccination may proceed or should be deferred. Persons with documented immunoglobulin E (IgE)-mediated hypersensitivity to eggs — including those who have had occupational asthma or other allergic responses from exposure to egg protein — may also be at increased risk for reactions from influenza vaccine, and similar consultation should be considered. The protocol for influenza vaccination developed by Murphy and Strunk may be considered for patients who have egg allergies and medical conditions that place them at increased risk for influenza infection or its complications (see Murphy and Strunk, 1985).

The potential exists for hypersensitivity reactions to any vaccine component. Although exposure to vaccines containing thimerosal can lead to induction of hypersensitivity, most patients do not develop reactions to thimerosal when administered as a component of vaccines even when patch or intradermal tests for thimerosal indicate hypersensitivity. When reported, hypersensitivity to thimerosal has usually consisted of local delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions.

Unlike the 1976–77 swine influenza vaccine, subsequent vaccines prepared from other virus strains have not been clearly associated with an increased frequency of Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS). However, it is difficult to make a precise estimate of risk for a rare condition such as GBS. In 1990–91, although there was no overall increase in frequency of GBS among vaccine recipients, there may have been a small increase in GBS cases in vaccinated persons 18–64 years of age, but not in those aged ≥65 years. In contrast to the swine influenza vaccine, the epidemiologic features of the possible association of the 1990–91 vaccine with GBS were not as convincing. Even if GBS were a true side effect, the very low estimated risk of GBS is less than that of severe influenza that could be prevented by vaccine.

## **SIMULTANEOUS ADMINISTRATION OF OTHER VACCINES, INCLUDING CHILDHOOD VACCINES**

The target groups for influenza and pneumococcal vaccination overlap considerably. Both vaccines can be administered at the same time at different sites without

increasing side effects. However, influenza vaccine must be administered each year, whereas pneumococcal vaccine is not administered yearly (reference ACIP statement, MMWR 1989;38:64-8, 73-6.). Children at high risk for influenza-related complications may receive influenza vaccine at the same time as measles-mumps-rubella, haemophilus b, pneumococcal, oral polio vaccines, diphtheria and tetanus toxoids, and pertussis absorbed and acellular pertussis vaccines. Vaccines should be administered at different sites on the body.

### **TIMING OF INFLUENZA VACCINATION ACTIVITIES**

Beginning each September, when vaccine for the upcoming influenza season becomes available, persons at high risk who are seen by health-care providers for routine care or as a result of hospitalization should be offered influenza vaccine. Opportunities to vaccinate persons at high risk for complications of influenza should not be missed.

The optimal time for organized vaccination campaigns for persons in high-risk groups is usually the period between mid-October and mid-November. In the United States, influenza activity generally peaks between late December and early March. High levels of influenza activity infrequently occur in the contiguous 48 states before December. It is particularly important to avoid administering vaccine too far in advance of the influenza season in facilities such as nursing homes because antibody levels may begin to decline within a few months of vaccination. Vaccination programs can be undertaken as soon as current vaccine is available if regional influenza activity is expected to begin earlier than December.

Children <9 years of age who have not previously been vaccinated should receive two doses of vaccine at least 1 month apart to maximize the chance of a satisfactory antibody response to all three vaccine antigens. The second dose should be administered before December, if possible. Vaccine should be offered to both children and adults up to and even after influenza virus activity is documented in a community — which has been as late as April in some years.

### **STRATEGIES FOR IMPLEMENTING INFLUENZA VACCINE RECOMMENDATIONS**

Despite the recognition that optimum medical care for both adults and children includes regular review of immunization records and administration of vaccines as appropriate, <30% of persons among high-risk groups receive influenza vaccine each year. More effective strategies are needed for delivering vaccine to members of high-risk groups, their health-care providers, and their household contacts.

In general, successful vaccination programs have combined education for health-care workers, publicity and education targeted toward potential recipients, a plan for identifying (usually by medical-record review) persons at high-risk, and efforts to remove administrative and financial barriers that prevent persons from receiving the vaccine.

Persons for whom influenza vaccine is recommended can be identified and vaccinated in the settings described in the following paragraphs.

**Outpatient Clinics and Physicians' Offices**

Staff in physicians' offices, clinics, health-maintenance organizations, and employee health clinics should be instructed to identify and label the medical records of patients who should receive vaccine. Vaccine should be offered during visits beginning in September and throughout the influenza season. The offer of vaccine and its receipt or refusal should be documented in the medical record. Patients among high-risk groups who do not have regularly scheduled visits during the fall should be reminded by mail or telephone of the need for vaccine. If possible, arrangements should be made to provide vaccine with minimal waiting time and at the lowest possible cost.

**Facilities Providing Episodic or Acute Care**

Health-care providers in these settings (e.g., emergency rooms, walk-in clinics) should be familiar with influenza vaccine recommendations. They should offer vaccine to persons among high-risk groups or should provide written information on why, where, and how to obtain the vaccine. Written information should be available in language(s) appropriate for the population served by the facility.

**Nursing Homes and Other Residential Long-Term-Care Facilities**

Vaccination should be routinely provided to all residents of chronic-care facilities with the concurrence of attending physicians rather than by obtaining individual vaccination orders for each patient. Consent for vaccination should be obtained from the resident or a family member at the time of admission to the facility, and all residents should be vaccinated at one time, immediately preceding the influenza season. Residents admitted during the winter months after completion of the vaccination program should be vaccinated when they are admitted.

**Acute-Care Hospitals**

All persons  $\geq 65$  years of age and younger persons (including children) with high-risk conditions who are hospitalized from September through March should be offered and strongly encouraged to receive influenza vaccine before they are discharged. Household members and others with whom they will have contact should receive written information about why and where to obtain influenza vaccine.

**Outpatient Facilities Providing Continuing Care to Patients at High Risk**

All patients should be offered vaccine during one period shortly before the beginning of the influenza season. Patients admitted to such programs (e.g., hemodialysis centers, hospital specialty-care clinics, outpatient rehabilitation programs) during the winter months after the earlier vaccination program has been conducted should be vaccinated at the time of admission. Household members should receive written information regarding the need for vaccination and the places to obtain influenza vaccine.

**Visiting Nurses and Others Providing Home Care to Persons at High Risk**

Nursing-care plans should identify patients in high-risk groups, and vaccine should be provided in the home if necessary. Care givers and others in the household (including children) should be referred for vaccination.

**Facilities Providing Services to Persons  $\geq 65$  Years of Age**

In these facilities (e.g., retirement communities, recreation centers) all unvaccinated residents/attendees should be offered vaccine on site during one time period before the influenza season. Education/publicity programs should also be provided to emphasize the need for influenza vaccine and should provide specific information on how, where, and when to obtain it.

**Clinics and Others Providing Health Care for Travelers**

Indications for influenza vaccination should be reviewed before travel and vaccine offered if appropriate (see Foreign Travelers).

**Health-Care Workers**

Administrators of all health-care facilities should arrange for influenza vaccine to be offered to all personnel before the influenza season. Personnel should be provided with appropriate educational materials and strongly encouraged to receive vaccine, with particular emphasis on vaccination of persons who care for members of high-risk groups (e.g., staff of intensive-care units, including newborn intensive-care units; staff of medical/surgical units; and employees of nursing homes and chronic-care facilities). Using a mobile cart to take vaccine to hospital wards or other work sites and making vaccine available during night and weekend work shifts may enhance compliance, as may a follow-up campaign early in the course of community outbreak.

**SOURCES OF INFORMATION ON INFLUENZA-CONTROL PROGRAMS**

Educational materials about influenza and its control are available from several sources, including CDC. Information can be obtained from Information Services, National Center for Prevention Services, Mailstop E06, CDC, Atlanta, GA 30333; (404) 639-1819. State and local health departments should also be consulted regarding availability of vaccine and access to vaccination programs.

## *Selected Bibliography*

### **GENERAL**

- Douglas RG. Drug therapy: prophylaxis and treatment of influenza. *N Engl J Med* 1990;322:443-50.
- Kendal AP, Patriarca PA, eds. Options for the control of influenza. New York: Alan R. Liss, 1986.
- Kilbourne EM. Influenza. New York: Plenum Publishing, 1987.
- Noble GR. Epidemiological and clinical aspects of influenza. In: Beare AS, ed. Basic and applied influenza research. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, 1982:11-50.

### **SURVEILLANCE, MORBIDITY, AND MORTALITY**

- Barker WH. Excess pneumonia and influenza associated hospitalization during influenza epidemics in the United States, 1970-78. *Am J Public Health* 1986;76:761-5.
- Barker WH, Mullooly JP. Impact of epidemic type A influenza in a defined adult population. *Am J Epidemiol* 1980;112:798-813.
- Barker WH, Mullooly JP. Pneumonia and influenza deaths during epidemics: implications for prevention. *Arch Intern Med* 1982;142:85-9.
- Baron RC, Dicker RC, Bussell KE, Herndon JL. Assessing trends in mortality in 121 U.S. cities, 1970-79, from all causes and from pneumonia and influenza. *Public Health Rep* 1988;103:120-8.
- Glezen WP. Serious morbidity and mortality associated with influenza epidemics. *Epidemiol Rev* 1982;4:25-44.
- Glezen WP, Six HR, Frank AL, Taber LH, Perrotta DM, Decker M. Impact of epidemics upon communities and families. In: Kendal AP, Patriarca PA, eds. Options for the control of influenza. New York: Alan R. Liss, 1986:63-73.
- Lui KJ, Kendal AP. Impact of influenza epidemics on mortality in the United States from October 1972 to May 1985. *Am J Public Health* 1987;77:712-16.
- Mullooly JP, Barker WH, Nolan TF Jr. Risk of acute respiratory disease among pregnant women during influenza A epidemics. *Public Health Rep* 1986;101:205-11.
- Couch RB, Kasel WP, Glezen TR, et al. Influenza: its control in persons and populations. *J Infect Dis* 1986;153:431-40.
- Perrotta DM, Decker M, Glezen WP. Acute respiratory disease hospitalizations as a measure of impact of epidemic influenza. *Am J Epidemiol* 1985;122:468-76.
- Thacker SB. The persistence of influenza A in human populations. *Epidemiol Rev* 1986;8:129-42.

### **VACCINES**

#### ***Safety, Immunogenicity, Efficacy***

- ACIP. General recommendations on immunization. *MMWR* 1989;38:205-14,219-27.
- Arden NH, Patriarca PA, Kendal AP. Experiences in the use and efficacy of inactivated influenza vaccine in nursing homes. In: Kendal AP, Patriarca PA, eds. Options for the control of influenza. New York: Alan R. Liss, 1986:155-68.
- Barker WH, Mullooly JP. Effectiveness of inactivated influenza vaccine among non-institutionalized elderly persons. In: Kendal AP, Patriarca PA, eds. Options for the control of influenza. New York: Alan R. Liss, 1986:169-82.

- Beyer WEP, Palache AM, Baljet M, Masurel N. Antibody induction by influenza vaccines in the elderly: a review of the literature. *Vaccine* 1989;7:385-94.
- Cate TR, Couch RB, Parker D, Baxter B. Reactogenicity, immunogenicity, and antibody persistence in adults given inactivated influenza virus vaccines—1978. *Rev Infect Dis* 1983;5:737-47.
- CDC. Influenza vaccination levels in selected states—Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1987. *MMWR* 1989;38:124,129-33.
- Dowdle WR. Influenza immunoprophylaxis after 30 years' experience. In: Nayak DP, ed. Genetic variation among influenza viruses. New York: Academic Press, 1981:525-34.
- Glezen WP, Glezen LS, Alcorn R. Trivalent, inactivated influenza virus vaccine in children with sickle cell disease. *Am J Dis Child* 1983;137:1095-7.
- Gross PA, Quinnan GV, Rodstein M, et al. Association of influenza immunization with reduction in mortality in an elderly population. A prospective study. *Arch Intern Med* 1988;148:562-5.
- Gross PA, Weksler ME, Quinnan GV Jr, Douglas RG Jr, Gaerlan PF, Denning CR. Immunization of elderly people with two doses of influenza vaccine. *J Clin Microbiol* 1987;25:1763-5.
- Gruber WC, Taber LH, Glezen WP, et al. Live attenuated and inactivated influenza vaccine in school-aged children. *Am J Dis Child* 1990;144(5):595-600.
- Helliwell BE, Drummond MF. The costs and benefits of preventing influenza in Ontario's elderly. *Can J Public Health* 1988;79:175-80.
- La Montagne JR, Noble GR, Quinnan GV, et al. Summary of clinical trials of inactivated influenza vaccine—1978. *Rev Infect Dis* 1983;5:723-36.
- Patriarca PA, Weber JA, Parker RA, et al. Efficacy of influenza vaccine in nursing homes: reduction in illness and complications during an influenza A(H3N2) epidemic. *JAMA* 1985;253:1136-9.
- Quinnan GV, Schooley R, Dolin R, Ennis FA, Gross P, Gwaltney JM. Serologic responses and systemic reactions in adults after vaccination with monovalent A/USSR/77 and trivalent A/USSR/77, A/Texas/77, B/Hong Kong/72 influenza vaccines. *Rev Infect Dis* 1983;5:748-57.
- Wright PF, Cherry JD, Foy HM, et al. Antigenicity and reactogenicity of influenza A/USSR/77 virus vaccine in children—a multicentered evaluation of dosage and safety. *Rev Infect Dis* 1983;5:758-64.

### ***Side Effects, Adverse Reactions, Interactions***

- Aberer, W. Vaccination despite thimerosal sensitivity. *Contact Dermatitis* 24:6-10, 1991.
- American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Infectious Diseases. The Red Book, report of the Committee on Infectious Disease. 22nd Ed., 1991. American Academy of Pediatrics, Elk Grove, Illinois.
- Bierman CW, Shapiro GG, Pierson WE, Taylor JW, Foy HM, Fox JP. Safety of influenza vaccination in allergic children. *J Infect Dis* 1977;136:S652-5.
- Kaplan JE, Katona P, Hurwitz ES, Schonberger LB. Guillain-Barre syndrome in the United States, 1979-1980 and 1980-1981: lack of an association with influenza vaccination. *JAMA* 1982;248:698-700.

- Chen R, Kent J, Rhodes P, Simon P, Schonberger L. Investigation of a possible association between influenza vaccination and Guillain-Barré Syndrome in the United States, 1990–1991 (abstract). *Post Marketing Surveillance* 1992;6:5–6.
- Margolis KL, Poland GA, Nichol KL, et al. Frequency of adverse reactions after influenza vaccination. *Am J Med* 1990;88:27–30.
- Murphy KR, Strunk RC. Safe administration of influenza vaccine in asthmatic children hypersensitive to egg proteins. *J Pediatr* 1985;106:931–3.

### ***Simultaneous Administration of Other Vaccines***

- ACIP. Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. *MMWR* 1989;38:64–8,73–6.
- DeStefano F, Goodman RA, Noble GR, McClary GD, Smith J, Broome CV. Simultaneous administration of influenza and pneumococcal vaccines. *JAMA* 1982;247:2551–4.
- Peter G, ed. Summaries of infectious diseases: influenza. In: Report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. 21st ed. Elk Grove Village, Illinois: American Academy of Pediatrics, 1988:243–51.

### ***Vaccination of Persons Infected with HIV***

- Huang KL, Ruben FL, Rinaldo CR Jr, Kingsley L, Lyter DW, Ho M. Antibody responses after influenza and pneumococcal immunization in HIV-infected homosexual men. *JAMA* 1987;257:2047–50.
- Miotti PG, Nelson KE, Dallabetta GA, Farzadegan H, Margolick J, Clements ML. The influence of HIV infection on antibody responses to a two-dose regimen of influenza vaccine. *JAMA* 1989;262:779–83.
- Nelson KE, Clements ML, Miotti P, Cohn S, Polk BF. The influence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection on antibody responses to influenza vaccines. *Ann Intern Med* 1988;109:383–8.
- Safrin S, Rush JD, Mills J. Influenza in patients with human immunodeficiency virus infection. *Chest* 1990;98:33–7.
- Thurn JR, Henry K. Influenza A pneumonitis in a patient infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). *Chest* 1989;95:807–10.

### ***Vaccination of Foreign Travelers***

- CDC. Update: influenza activity—worldwide and recommendations for influenza vaccine composition for the 1990–91 influenza season. *MMWR* 1990;39:293–6.
- CDC. Acute respiratory illness among cruise-ship passengers—Asia. *MMWR* 1988;37:63–6.

### **INFLUENZA IN THE HOSPITAL SETTING**

- Bean B, Rhame FS, Hughes RS, Weiler MD, Peterson LR, Gerding DN. Influenza B: hospital activity during a community epidemic. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 1983;1:177–83.
- Pachucki CT, Walsh Pappas SA, Fuller GF, Krause SL, Lentino JR, Schaaff DM. Influenza A among hospital personnel and patients: implications for recognition, prevention, and control. *Arch Intern Med* 1989;149:77–80.

**STRATEGIES FOR VACCINATION OF HIGH-RISK GROUPS**

- CDC. Arm with the facts: a guidebook for promotion of adult immunization. Atlanta: US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, 1987.
- Fedson DS. Immunizations for health care workers and patients in hospitals. In: Wenzel RP, ed. Prevention and control of nosocomial infections. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1987:116-74.
- Fedson DS, Kessler HA. A hospital-based influenza immunization program, 1977-78. *Am J Public Health* 1983;73:442-5.
- Margolis KL, Lofgren RP, Korn JE. Organizational strategies to improve influenza vaccine delivery. A standing order in a general medical clinic. *Arch Intern Med* 1988;148:2205-7.
- Nichol KL, Korn JE, Margolis KL, Poland GA, Petzel RA, Lofgren RP. Achieving the national health objective for influenza immunization: success of an institution-wide vaccination program. *Am J Med* 1990;89:156-60.
- Weingarten S, Riedinger M, Bolton LB, Miles P, Ault M. Barriers to influenza vaccine acceptance. A survey of physicians and nurses. *Am J Infect Control* 1989;17:202-7.
- Williams WW, Garner JS. Personnel health services. In: Bennett JV, Brachman PS, eds. *Hospital infections*. 2nd ed. Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 1986:17-38.
- Williams WW, Hickson MA, Kane MA, Kendal AP, Spika JS, Hinman AR. Immunization policies and vaccine coverage among adults: the risk for missed opportunities. *Ann Intern Med* 1988;108:616-25.

**DIAGNOSTIC METHODS**

- Harmon MW. Influenza viruses. In: Lenette EH, ed. *Laboratory diagnosis of viral infections*, 2nd ed. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc., 1992:515-34.



## MMWR

The *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)* Series is prepared by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and is available on a paid subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402; telephone (202) 783-3238.

The data in the weekly *MMWR* are provisional, based on weekly reports to CDC by state health departments. The reporting week concludes at close of business on Friday; compiled data on a national basis are officially released to the public on the succeeding Friday. Inquiries about the *MMWR* Series, including material to be considered for publication, should be directed to: Editor, *MMWR* Series, Mailstop C-08, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30333; telephone (404) 332-4555.

☆U.S. Government Printing Office: 1993-733-131/83002 Region IV