Table of Contents

Part I. Narrative Report

Executive summary
Background5
Objectives
Methods5
Results5
Cases reported5
Seasonality6
2002 Rates
Rates by age
Rates by sex9
Hospitalizations10
Deaths10
Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome10
1996–2002 Rates12
Comments17
Prevention
Limitations
Database Differences and Rearchiving of 1996-2001 Data19
Other FoodNet data sources20
Burden of illness
Routes of transmission of foodborne pathogens
Other FoodNet activities in 200221
Publications and Abstracts, 2002
Online materials available on Web site25
2002 FoodNet working group26
Part II. Summary Tables and Graphs
Listing of Summary Tables and Graphs27

Part I

Narrative Report

Executive Summary

The Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) is the principal foodborne disease component of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC's) Emerging Infections Program (EIP). FoodNet is a collaborative project among CDC, the eleven EIP sites, the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), and the Center for Food Safety and Applied Nutrition of the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA). FoodNet augments, but does not replace, longstanding activities at CDC, USDA, FDA, and in states to identify, control, and prevent foodborne disease hazards.

FoodNet is a sentinel network that is producing more stable and accurate national estimates of the burden and sources of specific foodborne diseases in the United States through active surveillance and additional studies. Enhanced surveillance and investigation are integral parts of developing and evaluating new prevention and control strategies that can improve the safety of our food and the public's health. Ongoing FoodNet surveillance is being used to document the effectiveness of new food safety control measures, such as the USDA–FSIS Pathogen Reduction and Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (PR/HACCP) systems, in decreasing the number of cases of foodborne diseases that occur in the United States each year.

The following are key findings of FoodNet surveillance activities during 2002:

- After a six year decline in *Shigella* infections, FoodNet observed an increase in the number of *Shigella* infections in 2002. Many of these infections were associated with community-wide outbreaks in specialized settings (e.g., day care centers). This increase indicates the need for continued health education and intervention efforts to curb the transmission of *Shigella* in these settings.
- The lack of a sustained decline in *E. coli* O157 infections indicates a need for increased efforts to reduce the burden of these infections. Preventing *E. coli* O157 will not be a simple task because it can be transmitted through food, water, person-to-person contact, and direct animal exposure. FoodNet studies and recent outbreaks have shown that an important route of transmission is direct contact with cattle or their environment. Strategies that reduce *E. coli* O157 on farms could decrease direct contact infection and food contamination, as well as entry into the water supply.
- There has been a sustained decline in the incidence of infections caused by *Yersinia*, *Listeria*, *Campylobacter*, and *Salmonella* Typhimurium over the past six years. These declines indicate important progress toward achieving the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Healthy People 2010 objectives of reducing the incidence of several foodborne diseases by the end of the decade. However, additional measures will be needed to further reduce the incidence of these diseases to achieve our national health objectives by 2010.
- The decline in the incidence of infections caused by *Yersinia*, *Listeria*, *Campylobacter*, and *Salmonella* Typhimurium are unlikely to be due to surveillance artifacts. FoodNet conducts several studies to monitor the surveillance factors that can influence the incidence of these laboratory-diagnosed foodborne diseases. These factors include the frequency with which persons with gastrointestinal symptoms seek medical care, the frequency with which

diagnostic stool specimens are submitted to clinical laboratories, and the frequency with which the laboratories routinely test stool specimens for various pathogens. We are unaware of any changes in these factors that might explain the magnitude of the declines observed in the reported foodborne infections.

- Food animals are a major source of *Yersinia*, *Listeria*, *Campylobacter*, and *Salmonella* Typhimurium. One contributing factor to the decline in foodborne infections caused by these pathogens is likely to be a change in the industry and regulatory approach to meat and poultry safety. Beginning in 1997, the USDA-FSIS began implementing the Pathogen Reduction/Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (PR/HACCP) systems regulations in the meat and poultry slaughter and processing plants. Additional evidence of the contribution of changes in meat processing to the decline in the incidence of *Salmonella* infections in humans described in this report is the decline in the prevalence of *Salmonella* isolated from FSIS-regulated meat and poultry products.
- Enhanced surveillance and outbreak investigations have identified new control measures and focused industry attention on foodborne illness, so that control measures are more likely to be implemented. Recent interventions include egg safety programs for the prevention of *Salmonella* Enteritidis infections, increased attention to fresh produce safety through better agricultural practices on farms and food processing, regulation of fruit and vegetable juice, industry efforts to reduce food contamination, food safety education, and increased regulation of imported food.
- Although there have been important declines in the incidence of infection for several foodborne diseases, the incidence of foodborne diseases remains high. Efforts to reduce the rate of foodborne diseases might include steps to reduce the prevalence of these pathogens in their respective important animal reservoirs; e.g., cattle (*Escherichia coli* O157), egg-laying chickens (*Salmonella* Enteritidis), and seafood, particularly oysters (*Vibrio*). Implementation of nationwide, consistent on-farm preventive controls would reduce the risk of human illness from *Salmonella* Enteritidis-contaminated eggs.
- The high incidence of foodborne diseases in infants and young children is a major concern. FoodNet studies have shown that breast-feeding of infants is important in preventing foodborne disease in infants. To determine other opportunities for prevention of foodborne diseases among children, FoodNet began a case-control study in 2002 of sporadic cases of *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* among young children.
- The continued increase in the incidence of infections caused by *Salmonella* Newport represents an emerging challenge to public health. Many of these isolates are resistant to nine or more antimicrobial agents, including all agents approved for oral use in children. Further studies are necessary to understand and resolve these problems. FoodNet recently completed data collection in a case-control study of sporadic cases of *Salmonella* Newport to assess possible risk factors and opportunities for prevention.

Background

Foodborne infections are an important public health challenge. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has estimated that in 1997, foodborne infections caused 76 million illnesses, 325,000 hospitalizations, and 5,000 deaths. CDC, the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and the Emerging Infections Program (EIP) sites are actively involved in preventing foodborne diseases. In 1997, the interagency national Food Safety Initiative was established to meet the public health challenge of foodborne diseases. CDC's principal role in the Food Safety Initiative has been to enhance surveillance and investigation of infections that are usually foodborne. FoodNet has been instrumental in accomplishing this mission.

ObjectivesThe objectives of FoodNet are to determine the frequency and severity of
foodborne diseases; monitor trends in foodborne diseases over time; and
determine the association of common foodborne diseases with eating specific
foods. To address these objectives, FoodNet uses active surveillance and
conducts related epidemiologic studies. By monitoring the burden of
foodborne diseases over time, FoodNet can document the effectiveness of
new food safety initiatives, such as the USDA Hazard Analysis and Critical
Control Points (HACCP) system, in decreasing the rate of foodborne diseases
in the United States each year.

MethodsIn 2002, FoodNet conducted population-based active surveillance for clinical
laboratory isolations of Campylobacter, Cryptosporidium, Cyclospora, Shiga
toxin-producing E. coli (STEC) including E. coli O157, Listeria, Salmonella,
Shigella, Vibrio, and Yersinia infections in Connecticut, Georgia, Minnesota,
and Oregon, and selected counties in California, Colorado, Maryland, New
York, and Tennessee (total population 40.3 million). A case was defined as
isolation (for bacteria) or identification (for parasites) of an organism from a
clinical specimen. For simplicity, in this report all isolations are referred to as
infections, although not all strains of all pathogens have been proven to cause
illness in each case. To identify cases, FoodNet personnel contact each of the
more than 523 clinical laboratories serving the catchment areas either weekly
or monthly, depending on the size of the clinical laboratory. FoodNet also
conducts surveillance for foodborne disease outbreaks and hemolytic uremic
syndrome (HUS), the latter principally through pediatric nephrologists.

Results

Cases reportedIn 2002, a total of 16,962 laboratory-confirmed infections caused by the
pathogens under surveillance were identified in nine sites. Of these, 16,389
were bacterial, including 6,150 Salmonella infections, 5,059 Campylobacter
infections, 4,113 Shigella infections, 638 E. coli O157 infections, 169
Yersinia infections, 104 Vibrio infections, 98 Listeria infections, 35 Non-
O157 STEC infections, and 23 STEC O-Antigen undetermined infections
(Table 1A). Of the 5,759 Salmonella isolates that were serotyped, the most

commonly identified serotypes were Typhimurium (1,186 cases), Enteritidis (882), Newport (832), and Javiana (346). In addition, 573 cases of parasitic diseases were reported, including 531 cases of *Cryptosporidium* infection and 42 cases of *Cyclospora* infection (Table 1B).

Table 1A. Infections caused by specific bacterial pathogens, reported by FoodNet sites, 2002

_Pathogen	CA	CO	СТ	GA	MD	MN	NY	OR	TN	Total
Campylobacter	1018	347	542	664	374	941	431	562	180	5059
Escherichia coli O157	45	58	45	45	27	160	56	177	25	638
STEC, Non-O157	0	0	15	4	0	12	0	4	0	35
STEC, O-Ant Undet*	0	2	2	3	0	16	0	0	0	23
Listeria	12	3	16	16	21	4	15	8	3	98
Salmonella	521	323	457	1901	943	593	531	327	554	6150
Shigella	367	136	104	1831	1167	222	50	90	146	4113
Vibrio	11	3	11	27	20	5	4	16	7	104
Yersinia	17	3	16	47	15	19	21	16	15	169
Total	1991	875	1208	4538	2567	1972	1108	1200	930	16389

***STEC (O-Antigen Undetermined)**

Table 1B. Infections caused by specific parasitic pathogens, reported by FoodNet sites, 2002

Pathogen	CA	CO	СТ	GA	MD	MN	NY	OR	TN	Total
Cryptosporidium	55	20	19	118	20	206	43	37	13	531
Cyclospora	3	0	7	22	2	0	7	0	1	42
Total	58	20	26	140	22	206	50	37	14	573

Seasonality Isolation rates for pathogens showed seasonal variation: 57% of Vibrio, 57% of Non-O157 STEC, 50% of *E. coli* O157, 37% of Salmonella, 36% of *Campylobacter*, and 28% of Shigella were isolated between June and August (Figure 1). *Yersinia* infections were more likely to have occurred in winter months, with 39% of cases being reported during January, February, or December (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Cases of foodborne disease caused by specific pathogens, by month, FoodNet sites, 2002



2002 Rates

To compare the number of cases among sites with different populations, annual incidence rates were calculated (incidence is the number of cases divided by the population). All 2002 rates reported here were calculated with 2002 census population counts. Overall incidence rates were highest for infections with *Salmonella* (16.2/100,000), *Campylobacter* (13.3/100,000 population), and *Shigella* (10.8/100,000). Lower overall incidence rates were reported for *E. coli* O157 (1.7/100,000), *Cryptosporidium* (1.3/100,000), *Yersinia* (0.45/100,000), *Vibrio* (0.27/100,000), *Listeria* (0.26/100,000), *Cyclospora* (0.1/100,000), and Non-O157 STEC (0.09/100,000). The rates of foodborne disease caused by specific pathogen, by FoodNet site, are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Cases per 100,000 population of foodborne disease caused by specific pathogens, FoodNet sites, 2002



Rates by age

Annual incidence rates of foodborne illness varied by age, especially for *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* infections (Figure 3). For children <1 year of age, the rate of *Salmonella* infection was 139.4/100,000 and the rate of *Campylobacter* infection was 27.1/100,000, substantially higher than for other age groups.





Rates by sexIncidence rates also varied by sex (Table 2). Overall, males were more likely
than females to be infected with every pathogen except *E. coli* O157, *Shigella*,
and *Yersinia*. Among males, rates of *Campylobacter* infection were 31%
higher, rates of *Cryptosporidium* infection were 60% higher, rates of
Cyclospora were 22% higher, rates of *Listeria* infection were 21% higher, and
rates of *Vibrio* were 62% higher.

Pathogen	Male	Female
Campylobacter	15.1	11.5
Cryptosporidium	1.6	1.0
Cyclospora	0.11	0.09
<i>E. coli</i> O157	1.6	1.7
Listeria	0.28	0.23
Salmonella	16.1	16.0
Shigella	10.7	10.9
Vibrio	0.34	0.21
Yersinia	0.44	0.45

Table 2. Sex-specific incidence rates per 100,000 population,by pathogen, FoodNet sites, 2002

Hospitalizations	Overall, 18.8% of persons with culture-confirmed infection were hospitalized; hospitalization rates differed markedly by pathogen. The percentage of persons hospitalized was highest for <i>Listeria</i> (86.7% of reported cases), followed by <i>E. coli</i> O157 (39.0%), <i>Vibrio</i> (34.6%), <i>Yersinia</i> (24.3%), <i>Salmonella</i> (22.2%), <i>Cryptosporidium</i> (19.2%), <i>Shigella</i> (15.5%), <i>Campylobacter</i> (13.2%), and Non-O157 STEC (5.7%).
Deaths	Eighty-four persons died; of those, 28 were infected with <i>Salmonella</i> , 18 with <i>Listeria</i> , 13 with <i>Vibrio</i> , 11 with <i>Campylobacter</i> , seven with <i>Shigella</i> , four with <i>E. coli</i> O157, two with <i>Cryptosporidium</i> , and one with <i>Yersinia</i> . The pathogen with the highest case-fatality rate was <i>Listeria</i> ; 18% of persons infected with <i>Listeria</i> died.
HUS	Hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS) is a life-threatening illness characterized by hemolytic anemia, thrombocytopenia, and acute renal failure. Most cases of HUS in the United States are preceded by diarrhea caused by infection with STEC. <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 is the most easily and frequently isolated STEC, but other serotypes of <i>E. coli</i> can also cause HUS.
	 Active surveillance for pediatric HUS cases was established in 1997 in five FoodNet sites (California, Connecticut, Georgia, Minnesota, and Oregon). Surveillance was expanded to include areas of Maryland and New York in 1999, Tennessee in 2000, and Colorado in 2001. Maryland, Tennessee, and Colorado submitted pilot HUS data in 1998, 1999, and 2000, respectively. These data were included, but were considered as outside the catchment area. Active surveillance is accomplished through pediatric nephrologists, who report all cases of HUS, including those from outside the FoodNet catchment area. Data on HUS cases in adults are also collected, but surveillance is passive and often incomplete. The primary objectives of HUS surveillance are to 1) determine the incidence of HUS, 2) monitor long-term trends in STEC infection using HUS as a marker, and 3) identify and monitor STEC strains that cause HUS over time. A total of 375 cases of HUS were reported between 1997 and 2001 (Table 3A). Sixty-one percent of reported cases occurred in females. The median age was 4.8 years and the median length of hospitalization was 11 days.
	In 2001, 103 HUS cases were reported, and deaths occurred in nine (9%) of these cases. Among children less than 15 years of age, 82 HUS cases were reported and six (7%) died. Consistent with the seasonal distribution of 2001 <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 infections, 47 (46%) of the HUS cases were diagnosed between June and September.
	The overall rate of HUS among children under five years of age in the nine sites from 1997 to 2001 was 1.6/100,000, and among children 5 to 14 years of age it was 0.3/100,000 (Table 3B). <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 was isolated from 56% of stools that were specifically tested for this pathogen (Table 3C). Eight patients had
	10

stool samples that tested positive for Shiga toxin, but stool cultures did not yield *E. coli* O157:H7. Only two other STEC were identified by stool culture, but it is unclear how rigorously they were sought. Serology was done on 29 cases to identify anti-O157, O111, or O126 antibodies; 16 cases (55%) had detectable antibody to O157 and three cases (10%) had detectable antibodies to O111.

State	19	97	19	98	19	99	20	00	20	01	
	Age <15	Age ≥15	Age <15	Age ≥15	Age <15	Age ≥15	Age <15	Age ≥15	Age <15	Age ≥ 15	
	years										
California	10	0	10	0	5	1	18	0	10	1	
Colorado	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	8	0	8	3	
Connecticut	1	0	0	0	8	2	11	5	3	1	
Georgia	6	0	13	0	4	0	15	12	6	1	
Maryland	n/a	n/a	2	0	2	0	2	0	9	1	
Minnesota	9	3	17	3	9	4	12	1	19	3	
New York	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	15	5	4	3	2	3	
Oregon	6	3	6	1	3	3	6	5	12	1	
Tennessee	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	0	10	7	13	7	
Total	32	6	48	4	48	15	86	33	82	21	

Table 3A. HUS cases by site* and year, 1997–2001

*Includes cases among persons residing outside the formal catchment area. n/a means not applicable.

Table 3B.	Pediatric HUS cases, by site [†]	and age, 1997–2001
State	$\Lambda q_0 < 5$ years	

State	Age <	5 years	Age 5–14 years			
	Cases	Rate per 100,000	Cases	Rate per 100,000		
California	9	1.1	6	0.3		
Colorado***	2	1.3	4	1.3		
Connecticut	13	1.2	8	0.3		
Georgia	27	1.2	6	0.1		
Maryland*	7	0.8	6	0.3		
Minnesota	45	2.8	21	0.6		
New York*	12	2.2	5	0.4		
Oregon	22	2.0	9	0.4		
Tennessee**	8	2.0	4	0.5		
Total	145	1.6	69	0.3		

†Includes cases among persons residing within catchment area only

*Based only on 1999-2001 data

**Based only on 2000-2001 data

***Based only on 2001 data

Cable 3C. Results of microbiologic testing for STEC infection among HUS c	ases,
1997–2001	

Diarrhea in three weeks before HUS diagnosis/ Total patients	335/375 (89%)
Stool specimen obtained/ Total patients	334/375 (89%)
Stool cultured for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7/ Patients with stool specimen obtained	321/334 (96%)
<i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 isolated from stool/ Patients with stool cultured for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	179/321 (56%)
Stool tested for Shiga toxin/ Patients with stool specimen obtained	116/334 (35%)
Stool Shiga toxin positive/ Patients with stool tested for Shiga toxin	77/116 (66%)
Non-O157 STEC isolated from stool/ Patients with stool tested for Shiga toxin	2/116 (2%)
Stool yielding <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7, Non-O157 STEC and/or Shiga toxin/ Total patients with stool cultured for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	187/321 (58%)
Total patients with stool cultured for <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7	

1996-2002 Rates

The number of sites and the population under surveillance have nearly doubled since FoodNet began in 1996 (Tables 4A and 4B). Because of substantial variation in incidence among the sites, adding new sites influences overall incidence. To account for the increased population and variation in the incidence among sites, a log-linear Poisson regression model was used to estimate the effect of time on the incidence of various pathogens, treating time (i.e., calendar year) as a categorical variable, with 1996 as the reference year. The relative change in incidence between 1996 and 2002 was estimated and confidence intervals for that change were calculated.

The bacterial pathogens with the highest relative incidence during the period between 1996 and 2002 were Salmonella, Campylobacter, and Shigella (Figure 4A). Pathogens with lower incidence were E. coli O157, Yersinia, and Listeria, (Figure 4B). The incidence of infection with most pathogens decreased between 1996 and 2001. For three pathogens (Yersinia, Listeria, and Campylobacter), this decrease was observed consistently over several years. During the period of 1996 to 2002, the estimated incidence of Yersinia infections decreased 43% (95% confidence interval [CI]=55% to 27% decrease), Listeria decreased 41% (95% CI=54% to 23% decrease), Campylobacter decreased 25% (95% CI=32% to 16% decrease), and Salmonella decreased 5% (95% CI=15% decrease to 5% increase) (Table 5A). Considerable temporal variations were observed for the five most common Salmonella serotypes. Between 1996 and 2002, S. Typhimurium decreased 29% (95% CI=40% to 16% decrease), S. Enteritidis decreased 3% (95% CI=33% decrease to 42% increase), S. Newport increased 89% (95% CI=16% to 210% increase), S. Heidelberg increased 14% (95% CI=15% decrease to 53% increase), and S. Javiana increased 233% (95% CI=66% to 566%

increase) (Table 5B). A substantial decline in the incidence of *S*. Enteritidis infection during the period of 1996 through 1999 was partially reversed by increased incidence in 2000 through 2002. Between 1996 and 2002, the estimated incidence of *E. coli* O157 infections decreased 9% (95% CI=34% decrease to 25% increase).

The incidence of *Shigella* infections showed considerable variation by year and site. The estimated incidence in 2002 was 14% higher than in 1996 (95% CI=35% decrease to 101% increase). The incidence of *Vibrio* infections was 91% higher in 1997 than it was in 1996, reflecting the emergence of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* O3:K6, and has not shown a consistent change since; the incidence was 125% higher in 2002 than it was in 1996 (95% CI=27% to 298% increase) (Figure 4C).

Surveillance for the parasitic pathogens *Cryptosporidium* and *Cyclospora* began in 1997. Between 1997 and 2002, the incidence of *Cryptosporidium* cases decreased 43% (95% CI=59% to 22% decrease) (Figure 4D). Although the incidence of *Cyclospora* has decreased since 1997, the statistical model could not be applied to *Cyclospora* because of the rarity of cases (170 cases between 1997 and 2001).

Site	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
California	2,063,454	2,103,374	2,146,096	2,162,359	3,169,290	6,755,632	5,615,424
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	2,155,324	2,507,484
Connecticut	1,626,366	2,450,656	3,274,069	3,282,031	3,405,565	3,434,602	3,460,503
Georgia	2,729,783	3,627,184	3,746,059	7,788,240	8,186,453	8,405,677	8,560,310
Maryland	-	-	2,444,280	2,450,566	2,512,431	4,253,665	5,458,137
Minnesota	4,657,758	4,685,549	4,725,419	4,775,508	4,919,479	4,984,535	5,019,720
New York	-	-	1,106,085	2,084,453	2,109,694	2,115,056	3,330,456
Oregon	3,203,735	3,243,487	3,281,974	3,316,154	3,421,399	3,473,441	3,521,515
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	2,818,711	2,848,426	2,874,846
TOTAL	14,281,096	16,110,250	20,723,982	25,859,311	30,543,022	38,426,358	40,348,395
FoodNet population as % of U.S. population	5.4	6.0	7.7	9.5	10.9	13.7	14.0

 Table 4A. Population under surveillance in FoodNet sites, 1996-2002

"-" Indicates state was not a FoodNet site during indicated year.

Table 4B. FoodNet sites conducting statewide versus selected county

surveillance, 1996-2002

Site	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002
California	SC						
Colorado	-	-	-	-	SC	SC	SC
Connecticut	SC	SC	SW	SW	SW	SW	SW
Georgia	SC	SC	SC	SW	SW	SW	SW
Maryland	-	-	-	-	-	SW	SW
Minnesota	SW						
New York	-	-	SC	SC	SC	SC	SC
Oregon	SW						
Tennessee	-	-	-	-	SC	SC	SC

SC: selected counties within the state

Ж

SW: statewide, includes all counties in the state









Figure 4C. Relative rates of laboratory-diagnosed cases of *Vibrio*, by year, 1996–2002





Figure 4D. Relative rates of laboratory-diagnosed cases of *Cryptosporidium*, by year, 1997-2002

Table 5A. Percent change in incidence* of diagnosed infections for pathogens under surveillance in FoodNet, by pathogen, 1996–2002

Bacterial Pathogen	Percent Change	95% Confidence Interval	
Campylobacter	-25	32% to 16% decrease	
Escherichia 157	-9	34% decrease to 25% increase	
Listeria	-41	54% to 23% decrease	
Salmonella	-5	15% decrease to 5% increase	
Shigella	+14	35% decrease to 101% increase	
Vibrio	+125	27% to 298% increase	
Yersinia	-43	55% to 27% decrease	
*Per 100,000 population			

Parasitic Pathogen	Percent Change*	95% Confidence Interval
Cryptosporidium	-43	59% to 22% decrease
¥		

*1997–2001

Pathogen	Percent Change	95% Confidence Interval
Salmonella Typhimurium	-29	40% to 16% decrease
Salmonella Enteritidis	-3	33% decrease to 42% increase
Salmonella Newport	+89	16% to 210% increase
Salmonella Heidelberg	+14	15% decrease to 53% increase
Salmonella Javiana	+233	66% to 566% increase

 Table 5B. Percent change in incidence* of diagnosed infections for the five most common

 Salmonella serotypes, by serotype, 1996–2002

*Per 100,000 population

Table 6.	Comparison	of 2002 incidence	e with the	e Healthy	Peopl	e 2010 ob	jectives
				•/			

Pathogen	2002 Actual Incidence*	2010 Objective Incidence*
Campylobacter	12.61	12.3
Escherichia coli O157	1.22	1.0
Salmonella	15.09	6.8
Listeria	0.26	0.25

*Per 100,000 population

Comments

From 1996 to 2002, the incidence of *Campylobacter*, *Listeria*, and *Yersinia* has shown substantial declines. *Campylobacter* and *Listeria* incidences are approaching their respective national health objectives, indicating important progress in food safety. For infections caused by the three most common *Salmonella* serotypes, a sustained decline in incidence has occurred only for *S*. Typhimurium.

From 1996 to 2002, the incidence did not decline for *Salmonella, Shigella, Vibrio, Cryptosporidium, E. coli* O157, and HUS. Although the incidence of *Salmonella* declined initially, it has increased since 2000. *Salmonella* infections are caused by many different *Salmonella* serotypes with different animal reservoirs; therefore, changes in overall incidence of *Salmonella* are influenced strongly by the most common serotypes and their reservoirs. For example, the incidence of *S.* Enteritidis infections declined during the late 1990s but has since increased, similar to the incidence of *Salmonella* infections caused by all serotypes combined. Similarly, the largest increase in incidence of *Vibrio* infections occurred from 1996 to 1998, and this increase was associated with the emergence of a new pandemic strain of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (1). However, from 2000 to 2002, other *Vibrio* species have contributed substantially to the overall increase in *Vibrio* infections.

Some year-to-year variation in incidence can be attributed to outbreaks. For example, in 2002, both Georgia and Maryland experienced large community outbreaks of *Shigella sonnei* infections. Oregon experienced a large outbreak

¹ Daniels NA, Ray B, Easton A, et al. Emergence of a new *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* serotype in raw oysters: a prevention quandary. JAMA 2000;284:1541--5.

of *E. coli* O157 infections, many of which were complicated by HUS, associated with a county fair. A large outbreak of multidrug-resistant *S*. Newport infections from ground beef in 2002 might be related to emergence of this strain in dairy cattle (2). In 2002, a large multistate outbreak of infections caused by pansusceptible *S*. Newport was traced to contaminated tomatoes (3).

The changes in the incidence of these infections occurred in the context of several control measures, including implementation by the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) of the Pathogen Reduction/Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) systems regulations in meat and poultry slaughter and processing plants beginning in 1997. The decline in the rate of *S*. Typhimurium infections in humans coincided with a decline in the prevalence of *Salmonella* isolated from FSIS-regulated products to levels below baseline levels before HACCP was implemented (4). The Food and Drug Administration has introduced additional interventions to prevent foodborne diseases. These interventions include increased attention to fresh produce safety through better agricultural practices, regulations requiring the refrigeration and safety labeling of shell eggs, implementation of HACCP in the seafood and juice industries, food safety education, increased regulation of imported food, and industry efforts, including new intervention technologies, to reduce food contamination.

PreventionAdditional control and prevention efforts from farm to table are needed to
reduce the incidence of these infections, including measures outlined in the
recent National Academy of Science Report (5). Targeted efforts to reduce
the rate of foodborne illnesses could include steps to reduce the prevalence of
pathogens in their respective important animal reservoirs and the foods
derived from them: cattle and ground beef (*E. coli* O157), egg-laying chickens
(*S.* Enteritidis), and seafood, particularly oysters (*Vibrio*). Implementation of
nationwide, mandatory, on-farm preventive controls would reduce the risk for
human illness from *S*. Enteritidis contaminated eggs; such controls have been
effective in reducing *S*. Enteritidis contamination of eggs where implemented

² CDC. Outbreak of multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Newport---United States, January--April 2002. MMWR 2002;51:545--8.

³ Kretsinger K, Noviello S, Moll M, et al. Tomatoes sicken hundreds: multistate outbreak of *Salmonella* Newport. Presented at the 52nd Annual Epidemic Intelligence Service Conference. Atlanta, Georgia, April 2003.

⁴ U.S. Department of Agriculture. Pathogen reduction/HACCP & HACCP implementation. Available from: http://www.fsis.usda.gov/oa/haccp/imphaccp.htm.

⁵ National Academy of Sciences. 2003. *Scientific Criteria to Ensure Safe Food*. Available from: <u>http://books.nap.edu/books/030908928X/html/index.html</u>

⁶ Hogue A, White P, Guard-Petter J, et al. Epidemiology and control of egg-associated *Salmonella* Enteritidis in the United States of America. Rev Sci Tech 1997;16:542-53.

(6). Greater use of foods processed for safety, including pasteurized eggs and irradiated meats, could also reduce the incidence of infection. Educating consumers and food handlers in good food handling practices is also important.

Limitations The findings in this report are subject to at least three limitations. First, FoodNet data are limited to diagnosed illnesses; however, the majority of foodborne illnesses are neither laboratory-diagnosed nor reported to state health departments. Second, some illnesses are acquired through nonfoodborne routes (e.g., contaminated water, person-to-person contact, and direct animal exposure); reported rates do not represent foodborne sources exclusively. Finally, although FoodNet data provide the most comprehensive information available for these infections, the findings might not be generalizable to the entire U.S. population.

Database DifferencesIn August 2002, FoodNet personnel, in cooperation with state partners,and Rearchiving ofidentified discrepancies in some of the age values for FoodNet cases reported1996-2001 Datain years 1999-2000. FoodNet personnel compared records contained in
selected state-based Public Health Laboratory Information System (PHLIS)
datasets to the same records contained in the CDC-based PHLIS database. Of
these, 375 (14%) records had discrepant age values (e.g., one patient had age
recorded as seven years in the CDC database and seven months in the state
database).

Discussions with personnel familiar with the internal structure of PHLIS led to the hypothesis that the hierarchical structure of PHLIS might be causing these discrepancies. This structure preserves ownership of demographic data for local health departments and ownership of laboratory-specific data (e.g., species and serotype) for state health departments that enter or import data into PHLIS. The fundamental issue was that, after initial reports were transmitted for any given case, corrections or other data edits made in the site for that same case were not reliably transmitted to or corrected in the CDC database.

To resolve this issue, FoodNet requested that each FoodNet site export all of their FoodNet data for calendar years 1996-2001 to CD-ROM and ship each CD-ROM to CDC. CDC FoodNet then reprocessed all of those data in an identical fashion to previous years to create a newly archived dataset. For 2002, data were exported for systems residing in the states and transferred to a secure website at CDC where FoodNet personnel downloaded those data for processing.

Other FoodNet Data Sources

Burden of Illness

Cases reported through active surveillance represent only a fraction of the number of cases in the community. To better estimate the number of cases of foodborne disease in the community, FoodNet conducts surveys of laboratories, physicians, and the general population in the participating EIP sites (Figure 5). Using these data, we can determine the proportion of people in the general population with a diarrheal illness, and from among those, the number who seek medical care for the illness. We can estimate the proportion of physicians who ordered a bacterial stool culture for patients with diarrhea, and we can evaluate how variations in laboratory testing for bacterial pathogens influence the number of culture-confirmed cases. Using FoodNet and other data, CDC estimated that 76 million foodborne illnesses, 325,000 hospitalizations, and 5,000 deaths occurred in 1997 in the United States (7).

This model can be used for developing estimates of the burden of illness caused by each foodborne pathogen. For example, data from this model suggest that in 1997 there were 1,400,000 *Salmonella* infections, resulting in 113,000 physician office visits and 37,200 culture-confirmed cases in this country. Laboratory-diagnosed cases alone resulted in an estimated 8,500 hospitalizations and 300 deaths; additional hospitalizations and deaths occur among persons whose illness is not laboratory diagnosed.



Figure 5. Burden of Illness Pyramid

Routes of Transmission of Foodborne Pathogens

FoodNet conducts case-control studies to determine the proportion of foodborne diseases that are caused by specific foods or food preparation and handling practices. To date, FoodNet has conducted case-control studies of *E. coli* O157; *Salmonella* serotypes Enteritidis, Heidelberg, Typhimurium, and Newport; infant salmonellosis; *Campylobacter*; *Cryptosporidium*; and *Listeria*. Case-control studies of infant *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* infections were launched in 2002. By determining the contribution to these foodborne diseases made by specific foods or food preparation and handling practices, prevention efforts can be made more specific and their effectiveness documented.

⁷ Mead P, Slutsker L, Dietz V, et al. Food-related illness and death in the United States. Emerging Infectious Disease 1999;5:607-25. Available at <u>http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/eid/vol5no5/mead.htm</u>

Other FoodNet	case-control study data collection phase was completed, enrolling 174 cases and
Activities in 2002	378 controls. Analysis will be completed in 2004.
	• Salmonella Newport and Salmonella Enteritidis case-control studies were
	launched. The S. Newport study was designed to identify behavioral, dietary, and
	medical risk factors for and medical consequences of S. Newport infections,
	including multidrug-resistant strains of S. Newport. The S. Enteritidis study was
	designed to identify behavioral, dietary, and medical risk factors for and medical

- consequences of *S*. Enteritidis infections.
 The infant salmonellosis and campylobacteriosis case-control study was launched to identify behavioral, dietary, and medical risk factors for infections of infants with *Salmonella* or *Campylobacter*.
- A retrospective cohort study was launched to evaluate the impact *Salmonella* Typhi infection with reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones have on clinical outcomes.

• The *Listeria*

Publications and Abstracts, 2002

Publications

- 1. Buzby JC. Older Adults at Risk of Complications from Microbial Foodborne Illness. USDA Economic Research Service (ERS) FoodReview 2002;25(2):30-5.
- 2. Herikstad H, Yang S, Van Gilder TJ, Vugia D, Hadler J, Blake P, Deneen V, Shiferaw B, Angulo FJ, and the FoodNet Working Group. A Population-Based Estimate of the Burden of Diarrheal Illness in the United States: FoodNet, 1996-1997. Epidemiology and Infection 2002;129:9-17.
- 3. Jones T, Kellum M, Porter S, Bell M, Schaffner W. An Outbreak of Community-Acquired Foodborne Illness Caused by Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Emerging Infectious Diseases 2002;8(1):82-4.
- 4. Preliminary FoodNet Data on the Incidence of Foodborne Illnesses ---Selected Sites, United States, 2001. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. MMWR 2002;51(15): 325-9.

Abstracts

- 1. Angulo FJ, Jones TJ, Hawkins MA, Hurd S, Smith P, Vugia DJ, Johnson S, Kennedy MH, Griffin PM, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Marked Regional Variation in the Incidence of Laboratory-Confirmed Bacterial Foodborne Illness: FoodNet, 2000. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 2. Banerjee A, Frierman MR, Hurd S, Jones TF, McCarthy PV, Medus C, Shiferaw B, Vugia DJ, Zansky SM, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Characterization of High Risk Food Consumption Practices among the Hispanic Population, FoodNet 2000-2001. Infectious Diseases Society of America. Chicago, IL, October 2002.
- 3. Braden CR, Lay JC, Boothe E, Vugia DJ, Dumas N, Shiferaw B, Wagstrom E, Tong S, Burnite S, Thomas SM, Hurd S, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Microbiologic Testing to Identify Shiga Toxin-producing *E. coli* in HUS Patients: FoodNet 1997-2001. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 4. Charles LE, Mølbak K, Hadler JL, Jones TF, Vugia DJ, Smith K, Hawkins MA, Shillam PJ, Cieslak P, Segler SD, Morse DL, Angulo FJ, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Decline in Major Bacterial Foodborne Illnesses in the United States: FoodNet, 1996-2001. Infectious Diseases Society of America. Chicago, IL, October 2002.
- 5. DeLong SM, Jones TF, McGavern M, Phan Q, Samuel M, Keene W, Lane KM, Woron RF, Angulo FJ, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Comparison of Disease Severity between Outbreaks of Known and Unknown Etiology, with ≥ 10 Ill Persons, FoodNet Sites, 1999-2000. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 6. Finke MP, Shillam PJ, McGivern T, Wang X, Vugia DJ, Segler SD, Gerber DE, Stenzel S, Zansky SM, Kennedy MH, Marcus R, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Hospitalizations among Cases with the Most Common Serotypes of Salmonella: FoodNet, 1996-2000. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 7. Garman RL, Jones TF, Bulens SN, Tong SF, Myers RA, Gettner SS, Mead PM, Parashar UD, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. A Pilot Study in FoodNet of the Use of Stool Collection Kits Delivered

to the Home to Improve Confirmation of Etiology in Gastroenteritis Outbreak Investigations. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.

- 8. Garman RL, Vugia DJ, Marcus R, Segler SD, Hawkins MA, Bogard A, Anderson BJ, Jones TF, Kirchhoff L, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Restaurant-Associated Behavior from the FoodNet Population Survey 1998-99. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- Hadler JL, Chan A, Chan M, Chemerynski S, Hahn J, Marshall K, Phan Q, McCarthy T, Rabatsky-Ehr, T. Knowledge, Attitudes and Practices Regarding Use of Irradiated Meats and Pasteurized Eggs in Healthcare Institutions, Universities, and Restaurants in Connecticut. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 10. Hardnett FP, Hoekstra RM, Kennedy MH, Angulo, FJ, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Comparability of FoodNet and United States Populations. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 11. Hawkins MA, DeLong SM, Marcus R, Jones TF, Shallow S, Morse DL, McCombs KG, Courtney AK, Medus C, Shiferaw B, Imhoff BC, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. The Burden of Diarrheal Illness in FoodNet, 2000-2001. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 12. Jones TF, Vugia DJ, Selman C, Angulo FJ, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Eating in Restaurants: A Risk Factor for Foodborne Illness? Findings from FoodNet to be Explored by EHS-Net. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- Kennedy MH, Rabatsky-Ehr T, Thomas SM, Lance-Parker S, Mohle-Boetani J, Smith K, Keene W, Sparling P, Hardnett FP, Mead PS, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Risk Factors for Sporadic *Escherichia coli* O157 Infections in the United States: a Case-control Study in FoodNet Sites, 1999-2000. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 14. Klatka LA, Hawkins MA, Pass MA, Angulo FJ, Rohn DD, Morris JG, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Risk Factors for Sporadic *Campylobacter* Infection in Maryland. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 15. Koehler KM, Lasky T, Fein SB, DeLong SM, McGavern M, Rabatsky-Ehr T, Ray SM, Shiferaw B, Swanson E, Vugia DJ, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Population-based Incidence of Infection with Selected Enteric Bacterial Pathogens for Children under 5 Years of Age, FoodNet, 1996-1998. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 16. Lay JC, Varma JK, Marcus R, Jones T, Tong SF, Medus C, Samuel M, Cassidy PM, Hardnett FP, Braden CR, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Higher Incidence of *Listeria* Infections among Hispanics: FoodNet, 1996-2000. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 17. Lay JC, Varma JK, Vugia DJ, Jones TF, Zansky SM, Marcus R, Segler SD, Medus C, Blythe D, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Foodborne Illness, 2000. Infectious Diseases Society of America. Chicago, IL, October 2002.
- 18. Lee SH, Levy DA, Hightower AW, Imhoff BC, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Drinking Water Exposures and Perceptions among 1998-1999 FoodNet Survey Respondents. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 19. Marcus R, Rabatsky-Ehr T, Lay JC, Mohle-Boetani J, Farley MM, Medus C, Shiferaw B, Hawkins MA, Zansky SM, Jones TF, Hadler JL, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Age, Ethnic and Racial

Disparity in *Salmonella* serotype Enteritidis (SE): FoodNet, 1998-2000. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.

- 20. McCarthy T, Phan Q, Mshar P, Mshar R, Howard R, Hadler JL. Outbreak of Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* Newport Associated with Consumption of Italian-style Soft Cheese, Connecticut. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 21. Phan Q, McCarthy, Mshar P, Welles C, Howard R, Rabatsky-Ehr T, Hadler JL. Epidemiology of Shiga Toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* (STEC) Infections in Connecticut, February 1, 2000- January 31, 2001. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 22. Shiferaw B, Steingraber K, Chapin W, Finnegan C, Kennedy M, Cieslak P, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Surveillance for Guillain-Barré Syndrome in Oregon. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 23. Torpey D, Golden N, Hawkins MA, Ruark J, Acheson D, Morris JG. Isolation and Characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* Isolates Obtained from Asymptomatic Human Volunteers. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 24. Varma JK, Mølbak K, Rossiter S, Hawkins MA, Jones TF, Mauvais SH, Rabatsky-Ehr T, Stenzel S, Vugia DJ, Park M, Joyce K, Stamey K, Chang H, Angulo FJ, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Antimicrobial Resistance in *Salmonella* is Associated with Increased Hospitalization: NARMS 1996-2000. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.
- 25. Voetsch AC, Rabatsky-Ehr T, Shallow S, Thomas SM, Cassidy PM, Swanson E, Root T, Gerber DE, Hawkins MA, Shillam PJ, Wells JG, Angulo FJ, Griffin PM, and the EIP FoodNet Working Group. Stool Specimen Practices in Clinical Laboratories, FoodNet Sites, 1995-2000. International Conference on Emerging Infectious Diseases. Atlanta, GA, March 2002.

Materials Available On-Line

The following reports are available on the FoodNet Web site:

http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet

CDC. 1996 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1998. CDC. 1997 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1998. CDC. 1998 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 1998. CDC. 1999 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2000. CDC. 2000 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2002. CDC. 2001 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2002. CDC. 2001 Final FoodNet Surveillance Report. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2003.

The following *MMWR* articles about FoodNet are available at this Web site:

http://www.cdc.gov/epo/mmwr/mmwr.html

CDC. The Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network, 1996. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 1997; 46:258-61.

CDC. Incidence of foodborne illness-FoodNet, 1997. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 1998; 47:782-86.

CDC. Incidence of foodborne illness: Preliminary data from the Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet) – United States, 1998. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 1999; 48:189-94.

CDC. Preliminary FoodNet data on the incidence of foodborne illnesses – selected sites, United States, 1999. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2000; 49: 201-5.

CDC. Preliminary FoodNet data on the incidence of foodborne illnesses – selected sites, United States, 2000. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2001; 50: 241-46.

CDC. Preliminary FoodNet data on the incidence of foodborne illnesses – selected sites, United States, 2001. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2002; 51: 325-29.

CDC. Preliminary FoodNet data on the incidence of foodborne illnesses – selected sites, United States, 2002. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. 2003; 52: 340-43.

The following *FoodNet News* newsletters are available at the FoodNet Web site:

http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet

FoodNet News. Volume 1, No. 1, Fall 1998 FoodNet News. Volume 1, No. 3, Fall 1999 FoodNet News. Volume 1, No. 2, Winter 1999 FoodNet News. Volume 3, No. 1, Spring 2000 FoodNet News. Volume 3, No. 2, Winter 2000 FoodNet News. Volume 4, No. 1, Fall 2002 FoodNet News. Volume 4, No. 2, Spring 2003

A list of FoodNet publications and presentations is available at the following FoodNet Web site: http://www.cdc.gov/foodnet/pub.htm

Additional information about the pathogens under FoodNet surveillance is available at the following Web sites:

http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/dbmd/diseaseinfo/foodborneinfections_g.htm http://www.cdc.gov/health/diseases.htm

Further information concerning FoodNet, including previous surveillance reports, *MMWR* articles, and other FoodNet publications, can be obtained by contacting the Foodborne and Diarrheal Diseases Branch at 404.371.5465.

2002 FoodNet Working Group

<u>CDC</u>

Frederick Angulo **Elizabeth Ailes** Timothy Barrett Anyana Banerjee Michael Beach Nancy Bean **Richard Bishop** Chris Braden Stephanie DeLong Patricia Fields Molly Frierman Patricia Griffin Felicia Hardnett Mike Hoekstra Jeff Jones Kevin Joyce Taha Kass-Hout Malinda Kennedy Jenny Lay Ewelina Lyszkowicz Kathleen Maloney Matthew Moore Paul Mead Thomas Navin Jennifer Nelson **Robert Pinner** Cathy Rebmann Cindi Snider Bala Swaminathan Robert Tauxe Casey Theriot Jay Varma Jean Whichard Yin Zhang

California

Sharon Abbott Mirasol Apostol Susan Brooks Pam Daily Peter Esko Sharmeen Gettner Janet Mohle-Boetani Joelle Nadle Jan O'Connell Suzanne Phelps Gretchen Rothrock Sam Shin Duc Vugia Ben Werner Kathryn Wymore

<u>Colorado</u>

James Beebe Steve Burnite Tessa Crume Matt Finke Karen Gieseker Patrick Hamel Lucinda Hammond Nicole Haubert Sean Lieske Pam Shillam

Connecticut

Matthew Cartter Paula Clogher Terry Rabatsky-Ehr James Hadler Robert Heimer Robert Howard Sharon Hurd Kati Kelley Aristea Kinney Ruthanne Marcus Donald Mayo Patricia Mshar Quyen Phan Charles Welles

<u>Georgia</u>

John Abellera Wendy Baughman Paul Blake Sandra Chaves Pat Martell-Cleary Monica Farley Tameka Hayes James Howgate Matthew Johns Julie Kalkbrenner Susan Lance-Parker Kimberly Lane Alicia McDonal Melissa Morrison Christina Payne Susan Ray Jody Schweitzer Suzanne Segler Stepy Thomas

Maryland

David Blythe Patricia Ryan Melanie Megginson Dale Rohn Leslie Edwards Jessica Totaro Karen Fujii Dipti Shah Jeffery Roche Jafar Razeq Robert Myers J. Glenn Morris Jr. Marguerite Hawkins Dave Torpey Jonigene Ruark Mary Warren Judy Johnson Sonya Saccurro Peggy Pass Laurie Thomson Sanza Kim Holmes Jackie Hunter **Yvonne Deane-Hibbert**

Minnesota

Jeff Bender John Besser April Bogard Richard Danila Craig Hedberg Jeff Luedeman Carlota Medus Joni Scheftel Kirk Smith Sara Stenzel Ellen Swanson

New York

Bridget Anderson Hwa-Gan Chang Nellie Dumas Christina Hidalgo Dina Hoefer Dale Morse Candace Noonan Tim Root Dianna Schoonmaker-Bopp Glenda Smith Perry Smith Nancy Spina Shelley Zansky

Oregon

Chris Biggs Paul Cieslak Emilio DeBess Julie Hatch Bill Keene Mel Kohn Steve Mauvais Melissa Plantenga Beletshachew Shiferaw Rob Vega Molly Wagner

Tennessee

Effie Boothe Allen Craig Diane Eigsti Gerber Timothy Jones William Moore William Schaffner Pat Turri

USDA-FSIS

DW Chen Michael Cooper David Goldman Kristin Holt Tamar Lasky Denise Lewis

FDA-CFSAN

Ken Falci Patrick McCarthy Eileen Parish Clifford Purdy Part II Summary Tables and Graphs

Part II: Listing of Summary Tables and Graphs

Tables

Population in catchment areas	.Table 1
Percent site by pathogen	.Table 1a
Percent pathogen by site	.Table 1b
Cases per 100,000 by pathogen for all sites	.Table 2a
Cases per 100,000 by pathogen by site	.Table 2b
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen for all sites	.Table 3
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, California	.Table 3a
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, Colorado	.Table 3b
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, Connecticut	.Table 3c
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, Georgia	.Table 3d
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, Maryland	.Table 3e
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, Minnesota	.Table 3f
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, New York	.Table 3g
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, Oregon	.Table 3h
Cases per 100,000 by month by pathogen by site, Tennessee	.Table 3i
Pathogen by month collected for all sites	.Table 4
Pathogen by month collected, by site, California	.Table 4a
Pathogen by month collected, by site, Colorado	.Table 4b
Pathogen by month collected, by site, Connecticut	.Table 4c
Pathogen by month collected, by site, Georgia	.Table 4d
Pathogen by month collected, by site, Maryland	.Table 4e
Pathogen by month collected, by site, Minnesota	.Table 4f
Pathogen by month collected, by site, New York	.Table 4g
Pathogen by month collected, by site, Oregon	.Table 4h
Pathogen by month collected, by site, Tennessee	.Table 4i
Age distribution by pathogen for all sites	.Table 5
Age distribution by pathogen by site, California	.Table 5a
Age distribution by pathogen by site, Colorado	.Table 5b
Age distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut	.Table 5c
Age distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia	.Table 5d
Age distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland	.Table 5e
Age distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota	.Table 5f
Age distribution by pathogen by site, New York	.Table 5g
Age distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon	.Table 5h
Age distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee	.Table 5i
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen for all sites	.Table 6
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen by site, California	.Table 6a
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen by site, Colorado	.Table 6b
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut	.Table 6c
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia	.Table 6d
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland	.Table 6e
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota	.Table 6f
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen by site, New York	.Table 6g
Cases per 100,000 by age distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon	.Table 6h

Sex distribution by pathogen by site, California. Table 7 Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Colorado. Table 7b Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Colorado. Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Gorgia. Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon. Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon. Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Coregon. Table 7a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Gorgia. Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Gorgia. Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Maryland. Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, New York. Table 8b Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8b Race by pathogen by site,	Sex distribution by pathogen for all sites	- 7
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, California. Table 7b Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut. Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia. Table 7d Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland. Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7f Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Coregon Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Coregon <td>Sex distribution by pathogen by site, California. Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathog</td> <td>e /</td>	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, California. Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathog	e /
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 70 Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 73 Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 74 Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 76 Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Table 75 Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 76 Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 71 Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8 Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8 Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8 Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 88 Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 88 Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 88 Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 88 Race by pathogen by site, New York Table 88 Race by pathogen by site, California Table 88 Race by pathogen by site, Concodo Table 88 Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 88 Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 84 Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 94 Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 94	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut. Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York	e 7a
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut. Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia. Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota. Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York. Table 7c Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York. Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Cencence Table 7h Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Cencence Table 7h Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Cencence Table 8h Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8b Race by pathogen by site, Conceticut Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Cencencicut Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Concecticut Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Concectic	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Connecticut. Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tab	e 7b
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 7d Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7f Race by pathogen by site, California Table 7a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Gorgia Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Gorgia Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Gorgia Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Naryland Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Coregon Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Coregon Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9d <t< td=""><td>Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl <tr< td=""><td>e 7c</td></tr<></td></t<>	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl <tr< td=""><td>e 7c</td></tr<>	e 7c
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 7e Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7h Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Coregon Table 7h Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Conceston Table 7h Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8h Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8k Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8k Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8k Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 8k Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8k Race by pathogen by site, Coregon Table 8k Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 9a <td>Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Ninnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Celifornia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Celifornia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Celifornia Tabl</td> <td>e 7d</td>	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Ninnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Celifornia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Celifornia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Celifornia Tabl	e 7d
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Table 7f Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7h Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Crennessee Table 7h Sex distribution by pathogen by site, California Table 7h Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 9b Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Cregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen	e 7e
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7g Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7h Sex distribution by pathogen by site, California Table 7h Race by pathogen for all sites Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8b Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8b Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, New York. Table 8g Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, California Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 9a<	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Cregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl	e 7f
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 7h Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee Table 7 Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8 Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8d Race by pathogen by site, New York Table 8g Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 8g Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8g Race by pathogen by site, California Table 9 Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, New York Table 9g Ethnicity by pathogen by site, California Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Cala	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Oregon	e 7g
Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee Table 7i Race by pathogen for all sites Table 8 Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 8b Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, Conrecticut Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, New York. Table 8g Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Table 8f Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8f Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8g Race by pathogen by site, California Table 9 Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Conrecticut Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Conreado Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Cregon Table 9f Eth	Sex distribution by pathogen by site, Tennessee	e 7h
Race by pathogen for all sites Table 8 Race by pathogen by site, California Table 8a Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 8c Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 8f Race by pathogen by site, New York Table 8f Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 8f Race by pathogen by site, California Table 9 Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Conrecticut Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Cregon Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Concelocut Table 9c	Race by pathogen for all sites Tabl Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Tabl Tabl Tabl	e 7i
Race by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 8aRace by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 8bRace by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 8cRace by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 8dRace by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 8dRace by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, OregonTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, OregonTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, OregonTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, CregonTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConceticutTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConceticutTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, NarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9fSalmonella serotypes by siteTablesSource of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoT	Race by pathogen by site, California Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Tabl Tabl Tabl	e 8
Race by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 8bRace by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 8dRace by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 8eRace by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 8eRace by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 8gRace by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 8hRace by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 8iEthnicity by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 9Ethnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9bEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConcecticutTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fSalmonella serotypes by siteTanheeseSalmonella serotypes by siteTanheeseSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site	Race by pathogen by site, Colorado Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Tabl Tabl Tabl	e 8a
Race by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 8cRace by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 8dRace by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, NinnesotaTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, OregonTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, OregonTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, CoregonTable 8iEthnicity by pathogen for all sitesTable 9Ethnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9Ethnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9cEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConsecteTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConcolTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConcolTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConcolTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, Ma	Race by pathogen by site, Connecticut Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Tabl Tabl Tabl	e 8b
Race by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 8dRace by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 8eRace by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 8gRace by pathogen by site, OregonTable 8iRace by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 8iEthnicity by pathogen for all sitesTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9cEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, CregonTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, CregonTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, CregonTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of	Race by pathogen by site, Georgia Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Maryland Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Tabl Tabl Tabl	e 8c
Race by pathogen by site, Maryland.Table 8eRace by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 8fRace by pathogen by site, New York.Table 8gRace by pathogen by site, OregonTable 8hRace by pathogen by site, Tennessee.Table 8hEthnicity by pathogen for all sitesTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9bEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9bEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9cEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConradoTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9hEthnicity by pathogen by site, CregonTable 9hEthnicity by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12d<	Race by pathogen by site, Maryland	e 8d
Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 8f Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 8g Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 8h Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Table 8i Ethnicity by pathogen by site, California Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, New York Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 9g Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Cregon Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Tennessee Table 9i Salmonella serotypes by site Tennessee Salmonella serotypes by site Table 9i Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 10 Percent Shigella species by site Table 12a Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 12b	Race by pathogen by site, Minnesota Tabl Race by pathogen by site, New York Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Tabl Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Tabl Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Tabl Fthnicity by pathogen by site, California Tabl	e 8e
Race by pathogen by site, New York.Table 8gRace by pathogen by site, OregonTable 8hRace by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 8iEthnicity by pathogen for all sitesTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9bEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9bEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9cEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, NinnesotaTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 9iSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, G	Race by pathogen by site, New York	e 8f
Race by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 8h Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee Table 8i Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Table 9 Ethnicity by pathogen by site, California Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9b Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen by site, New York Table 9g Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Table 9f Salmonella serotypes by site Table 9i Salmonella serotypes by site Table 11 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 12c Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 12d Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 12d Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Georgia	Race by pathogen by site, Oregon	e 8g
Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee. Table 8i Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites Table 9 Ethnicity by pathogen by site, California Table 9a Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado. Table 9b Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado. Table 9c Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9d Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Oregon. Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Oregon. Table 9f Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Tennessee Table 9i Salmonella serotypes by site Tablese Source of specimen by pathogen for all sites. Table 10 Percent Shigella species by site Table 11 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 12a Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 12a Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 12c Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 12c Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 12f Source of specimen by patho	Race by pathogen by site, Tennessee	e 8h
Ethnicity by pathogen for all sitesTable 9Ethnicity by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9bEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9cEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTennesseeTable 10Percent Shigella species by sitePercent Shigella species by siteTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSour	Ethnicity by pathogen for all sites	e 8i
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 9aEthnicity by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 9bEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9cEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by site.Table 9iSource of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 9iSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CongendTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CronecticutTable 12a <td>Fibricity by pathogen by site California Tabl</td> <td>e 9</td>	Fibricity by pathogen by site California Tabl	e 9
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado.Table 9bEthnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9cEthnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia.Table 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, Minnesota.Table 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New York.Table 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, New York.Table 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, Oregon.Table 9hEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by site.Table 10Percent Shigella species by site.Table 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado.Table 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado.Table 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CreanTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CreanTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 1	$\Delta u = \Delta u $	e 9a
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 9cEthnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 9iSource of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 13aPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, Color	Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Colorado	e 9b
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 9dEthnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9hEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 9iPercent Shigella species by siteTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CoregonTable 13hPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13b	Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Connecticut	e 9c
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 9eEthnicity by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9hEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GoregiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, Colorad	Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Georgia	e 9d
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 9fEthnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9hEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12eSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13hPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, Colorado <t< td=""><td>Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland</td><td>e 9e</td></t<>	Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Maryland	e 9e
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 9gEthnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9hEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 13hPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, C	Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Minnesota	e 9f
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, OregonTable 9hEthnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13hPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site,	Ethnicity by pathogen by site, New York	e 9g
Ethnicity by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 9iSalmonella serotypes by siteTable 10Percent Shigella species by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 13hPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13cPatient status by	Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Oregon	e 9h
Salmonella serotypes by site Table 10 Percent Shigella species by site Table 11 Source of specimen by pathogen for all sites Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, California Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Connecticut Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Georgia Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Maryland Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Minnesota Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, New York Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Oregon Table 12 Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Tennessee Table 12 Patient status by pathogen for all sites Table 13 Patient status by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 13 Patient status by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 13 Patient status by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 13 Patient status by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 13 Patient status by pathogen by site, Colorado Table 13 Patient status by pathogen by site, Colorado <td>Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Tennessee</td> <td>e 9i</td>	Ethnicity by pathogen by site, Tennessee	e 9i
Percent Shigella species by siteTable 11Source of specimen by pathogen for all sitesTable 12Source of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13	Salmonella serotypes by site	e 10
Source of specimen by pathogen for all sites	Percent Shigella species by site	e 11
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, California.Table 12aSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, Connecticut.Table 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12eSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13hPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13c </td <td>Source of specimen by pathogen for all sites</td> <td>e 12</td>	Source of specimen by pathogen for all sites	e 12
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 12bSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12eSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13hPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13h </td <td>Source of specimen by pathogen by site, California</td> <td>e 12a</td>	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, California	e 12a
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Connecticut.Table 12cSource of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12eSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 12iPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13Patient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13c <td>Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado</td> <td>e 12b</td>	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Colorado	e 12b
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, GeorgiaTable 12dSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12eSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 12hPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13Patient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13c	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Connecticut	e 12c
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 12eSource of specimen by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTable 12fSource of specimen by pathogen by site, New YorkTable 12gSource of specimen by pathogen by site, OregonTable 12hSource of specimen by pathogen by site, TennesseeTable 12iPatient status by pathogen for all sitesTable 13Patient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13aPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13bPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13cPatient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13c	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Georgia	e 12d
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Minnesota	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Maryland	e 12e
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, New York	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Minnesota	e 12f
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Oregon	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, New York	e 12g
Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Tennessee	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Oregon	e 12h
Patient status by pathogen for all sites	Source of specimen by pathogen by site, Tennessee	e 12i
Patient status by pathogen by site, CaliforniaTable 13a Patient status by pathogen by site, ColoradoTable 13b Patient status by pathogen by site, ConnecticutTable 13c	Patient status by pathogen for all sites	e 13
Patient status by pathogen by site, Colorado	Patient status by pathogen by site, California	e 13a
Patient status by pathogen by site, Connecticut	Patient status by pathogen by site, Colorado	e 13b
	Patient status by pathogen by site, Connecticut	e 13c
Patient status by pathogen by site, Georgia	Patient status by pathogen by site, Georgia	e 13d
Patient status by pathogen by site, MarylandTable 13e	Patient status by pathogen by site, MarylandTabl	e 13e
	Patient status by pathogen by site, MinnesotaTabl	e 13f

Patient status by pathogen by site, New York	Table 13g
Patient status by pathogen by site, Oregon	Table 13h
Patient status by pathogen by site, Tennessee	Table 13i
Patient outcome by pathogen for all sites	Table 14
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, California	Table 14a
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, Colorado	Table 14b
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, Connecticut	Table 14c
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, Georgia	Table 14d
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, Maryland	Table 14e
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, Minnesota	Table 14f
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, New York	Table 14g
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, Oregon	Table 14h
Patient outcome by pathogen by site, Tennessee	Table 14i

Graphs

Rates per 100,000 by pathogen per month Campylobacter Cryptosporidium Cyclospora Escherichia coli O157 Listeria Salmonella Salmonella Enteritidis Salmonella Typhimurium Salmonella Heidelberg Salmonella Newport Salmonella Montevideo Salmonella Agona Shigella Shigella sonnei Shigella flexneri Shigella dysentariae Vibrio Yersinia

Age-specific rates per 100,000 distribution by pathogen for all sites Campylobacter Cryptosporidium Cyclospora Escherichia coli O157 Listeria Salmonella Shigella Vibrio Yersinia