

3. Wang G, Zhan D, Li L, Lei F, Liu B, Liu D, et al. H5N1 avian influenza re-emergence of Lake Qinghai: phylogenetic and antigenic analyses of the newly isolated viruses and roles of migratory birds in virus circulation. *J Gen Virol*. 2008;89:697–702. DOI: 10.1099/vir.0.83419-0
4. Liu D, Liu X, Yan J, Liu W-J, Gao GF. Interspecies transmission and host restriction of avian H5N1 influenza virus. *Sci China C Life Sci*. 2009;52:428–38. DOI: 10.1007/s11427-009-0062-z
5. Sharshov K, Silko N, Sousloparov I, Zaykovskaya A, Shestopalov A, Drozdov I. Avian influenza (H5N1) outbreak among wild birds, Russia, 2009. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16:349–51.
6. Chen H. H5N1 avian influenza in China. *Sci China C Life Sci*. 2009;52:419–27. DOI: 10.1007/s11427-009-0068-6
7. Monne I, Joannis TM, Fusaro A, De Benedictis P, Lombin LH, Ularanu H, et al. Reassortant avian influenza virus (H5N1) in poultry, Nigeria, 2007. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2008;14:637–40. DOI: 10.3201/eid1404.071178
8. Stamatakis A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. *Bioinformatics*. 2006;22:2688–90. DOI: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446
9. Zhou J, Sun W, Wang J, Guo J, Yin W, Wu N, et al. Characterization of the H5N1 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus derived from wild pikas in China. *J Virol*. 2009;83:8957–64. DOI: 10.1128/JVI.00793-09

Address for correspondence: George F. Gao, CAS Key Laboratory of Pathogenic Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, People's Republic of China; email: gaof@im.ac.cn

EID
online
www.cdc.gov/eid

Diagnosis and Treatment of Tuberculosis in the Private Sector, Vietnam

To the Editor: In many countries, the private sector (practitioners not employed by government and nongovernment institutions, e.g., hospitals, pharmacies) is a major source of care, even for poor persons, and the area where services for the public are widely available (1,2). However, little information is available from high-incidence countries about the role of the private sector in tuberculosis (TB) detection and treatment (3). In Vietnam, $\leq 40\%$ of all TB cases in Ho Chi Minh City (the largest city in Vietnam and with the highest rate of economic growth in the country) were estimated to be treated in the private sector (4), and half of all patients with a diagnosis of TB in the public sector (National Tuberculosis Program [NTP]) in Ho Chi Minh City initially sought help in the private sector (5). However, this estimate does not reflect private care in the entire country.

In 2006–2007, a countrywide TB prevalence survey was conducted in Vietnam (6) in which data were obtained for previous TB treatment. This survey provided an opportunity to calculate a nationally representative estimate of the proportion of TB cases treated in the private sector and to investigate demographic characteristics of persons choosing treatment in this sector.

The study was reviewed and approved by the Research Board of the Vietnam National Lung Hospital. Details of survey methods have been reported (6). All eligible persons were screened to identify suspected cases of TB by using a short, structured, screening questionnaire and chest radiograph. Persons with suspected TB were those who reported per-

sistent productive cough, who had radiographic abnormalities suggestive of TB, or who received TB treatment either currently or in the 2 years preceding the survey. Persons had an in-depth interview that included questions on where they were treated for TB. Assessment of socioeconomic status was based on 9 household characteristics (7).

Missing data were imputed by using multiple imputation methods, assuming that these data were missing at random to adjust for nonparticipation and missing data on facility of TB treatment (8). We used the `ice` and `mi` commands in Stata version 11 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA), which included age, area, zone, and socioeconomic status.

Of the 103,924 eligible persons in selected districts, 94,179 (91%) were screened, 7,498 were identified as having suspected TB, and 407 reported having been recently treated for TB: 316 (77.6%) in public health facilities (PHFs) reporting cases to the NTP, 8 (2.0%) in PHFs not reporting cases to the NTP, and 29 (7.1%) in private health care facilities not reporting to the NTP. Fifty-four (13.3%) did not provide information about where they were treated. Multiple imputation led to adjusted proportions of 88.9%, 2.9%, and 8.2%, respectively. Sensitivity analyses, which assigned 54 persons with missing data for location of TB treatment to PHFs or private clinics, resulted in a range of 7.1%–20.3% for private sector treatment.

Characteristics of participants by type of facility where they received TB treatment are shown in the Table. Women, younger persons, and residents of southern Vietnam were more likely to seek treatment in the private sector. Urban populations and those with the highest socioeconomic status were most likely to seek private care, but these differences were not significant (Table).

Table. Characteristics of patients treated for tuberculosis at time of prevalence survey (2006–2007) or in 2 preceding years, Vietnam*

Characteristic	Public health facilities reporting to NTP, no. (%)	Public health facilities not reporting to NTP, no. (%)	Private sector, no. (%)	OR (95% CI)
Total	316 (89.5)	8 (2.3)	29 (8.2)	NA†
Sex				
M	230 (92.4)	4 (1.6)	15 (6.0)	1
F	86 (82.7)	4 (3.8)	14 (13.5)	2.4‡ (1.0–5.6)
Age, y				
15–35	51 (79.7)	2 (3.1)	11 (17.2)	3.1 (1.3–7.4)
35–55	134 (93.1)	4 (2.8)	6 (4.2)	0.4 (0.1–0.9)
>55	131 (90.3)	2 (1.4)	12 (8.3)	1.0 (0.4–2.3)
Area				
Urban	95 (85.6)	2 (1.8)	14 (12.6)	2.2 (0.9–5.1)
Remote	59 (89.4)	2 (3.0)	5 (7.6)	0.9 (0.3–2.5)
Rural	162 (92.0)	4 (2.3)	10 (5.7)	0.5 (0.2–1.2)
Zone				
Northern	117 (93.6)	5 (4.0)	3 (2.4)	0.2 (0.0–0.7)
Central	50 (87.7)	1 (1.8)	6 (10.5)	1.4 (0.4–3.8)
Southern	149 (87.1)	2 (1.2)	20 (11.7)	2.5 (1.1–6.5)
Socioeconomic status§				
Lowest	104 (91.2)	2 (1.8)	8 (7.0)	0.8 (0.3–1.9)
Medium	85 (90.4)	2 (2.1)	7 (7.4)	0.9 (0.3–2.2)
Highest	103 (88.0)	2 (1.7)	12 (10.3)	1.5 (0.6–3.5)
No information	24 (85.7)	2 (7.1)	2 (7.1)	NA¶

*NTP, National Tuberculosis Program; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; NA, not available.

†Not available because ≥ 4 categories are needed for calculation of OR.

‡For difference between private and public sectors. All other comparisons were for differences between 1 group and the other groups combined.

§Based on a set of indicators (7) and expressed by tertiles of expenditure distribution among all survey participants.

¶Not available because there were only 28 persons with no information.

We estimated that 8.2% of persons with TB in Vietnam were treated in private clinics. Although sensitivity analysis showed a wide range around this estimate (7.1%–20.3%), our data suggest that private health care facilities treat a large proportion of TB patients. Use of the private sector was relatively high in southern Vietnam (11.7%), especially in southern urban areas (13.3%).

Taking into account observed patterns in our study (i.e., preference for private sector treatment among young persons, higher income groups, and those in urban areas) and general development in Vietnam, we expect that the private sector will provide increased diagnosis and treatment of TB. With availability of TB drugs in private pharmacies, (9) improved private TB care is needed (10) by establishing better collaboration and coordination between the NTP and the private sector through the public–private approach (4) and by improving and expanding reporting systems so

that all facilities where TB patients are diagnosed and treated are included.

A strength of our study is that it included a nationally representative sample of previously treated TB patients because all who reported having been treated recently for TB were defined as persons with suspected TB. A limitation of our study is that it depended on self-reported TB treatment, which is prone to recall bias and has potentially led to underestimation because some participants may not have been accurate regarding previous or current episodes of TB and private sector treatment because of social desirability bias. The NTP in Vietnam needs to implement and improve public–private mix projects, and private practitioners need to be appropriately trained to report TB patients according to NTP guidelines.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Vietnam National Tuberculosis Program Board and all

national, regional, provincial, district, and commune level staff for their involvement in the survey, and all the persons living in the study sites for participating in the tuberculosis prevalence survey.

This study was supported by the Vietnamese Ministry of Health; the Government of the Netherlands; the KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation; the Global Fund against AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria; and the World Health Organization.

**Nguyen B. Hoa,
Frank G.J. Cobelens,
Dinh N. Sy, Nguyen V. Nhung,
Martien W. Borgdorff,
and Edine W. Tiemersma**

Author affiliations: National Tuberculosis Program, Hanoi, Vietnam (N.B. Hoa, D.N. Sy, N.V. Nhung); Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, the Netherlands (F.G.J. Cobelens, M.W. Borgdorff, E.W. Tiemersma); Municipal Health Service, Amsterdam (M.W. Borgdorff); and KNCV Tuberculosis Foundation, The Hague, the Netherlands (E.W. Tiemersma)

DOI: 10.3201/eid1703.101468

References

1. Chengsom N, Bloss E, Anekvorapong R, Anuwatnonthakate A, Wattanaamornkiat W, Komsakorn S, et al. Tuberculosis services and treatment outcomes in private and public health care facilities in Thailand, 2004–2006. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2009;13:888–94.
2. Uplekar M, Pathania V, Raviglione M. Private practitioners and public health: weak links in tuberculosis control. *Lancet.* 2001;358:912–6. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(01)06076-7
3. Uplekar M. Involving private health care providers in delivery of TB care: global strategy. *Tuberculosis (Edinb).* 2003;83:156–64. DOI: 10.1016/S1472-9792(02)00073-2
4. Quy HT, Lan NT, Lönnroth K, Buu TN, Dieu TT, Hai LT. Public-private mix for improved TB control in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam: an assessment of its impact on case detection. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2003;7:464–71.
5. Lönnroth K, Thuong LM, Linh PD, Diwan VK. Utilization of private and public health-care providers for tuberculosis symptoms in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Health Policy Plan.* 2001;16:47–54. DOI: 10.1093/heapol/16.1.47
6. Hoa NB, Sy DN, Nhung NV, Tiemersma EW, Borgdorff MW, Cobelens FGJ. A national survey of tuberculosis prevalence in Vietnam. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2010;88:273–80. DOI: 10.2471/BLT.09.067801
7. Hoa NB, Tiemersma EW, Sy DN, Nhung NV, Gebhard A, Borgdorff MW, et al. Household expenditure and tuberculosis prevalence in Vietnam: prediction by a set of household indicators. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2010;15:32–7.
8. White IR, Royston P, Wood AM. Multiple imputation using chained equations: issues and guidance for practice. *Statistics in Medicine.* 2010; [Epub ahead of print].
9. Lönnroth K, Lambregts K, Nhien DT, Quy HT, Diwan VK. Private pharmacies and tuberculosis control: a survey of case detection skills and reported anti-tuberculosis drug dispensing in private pharmacies in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2000;4:1052–9.
10. Lönnroth K, Thuong LM, Lambregts K, Quy HT, Diwan VK. Private tuberculosis care provision associated with poor treatment outcome: comparative study of a semi-private lung clinic and the NTP in two urban districts in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.* 2003;7:165–71.

Address for correspondence: Nguyen B. Hoa, National Tuberculosis Program Vietnam, 463 Hoang Hoa Tham St, Badinh District, Hanoi, Vietnam; email: nguyenvinhhoatb@yahoo.com

Fluoroquinolone-Resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, Pakistan, 2005–2009

To the Editor: Pakistan is 1 of 22 countries listed by the World Health Organization (WHO) as having a high incidence of tuberculosis (TB). We recently reported an increase in rates of multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB with emergence of extensively drug-resistant TB (1). Fluoroquinolone resistance is associated with worse outcome in patients with MDR TB (2). Recent evidence suggests emergence and increasing incidence of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from several countries, particularly in MDR strains (3). We present data from a tertiary care referral center laboratory in Pakistan to assess fluoroquinolone resistance in MDR TB strains during 2005–2009.

The Aga Khan University Hospital and its clinical laboratory have been accredited by the Joint Commission of International Accreditation and designated as a technical partner of the National TB Program. *M. tuberculosis* susceptibility testing is also periodically validated by the WHO Supranational Reference Laboratory network. The microbiology laboratory serves different cities across Pakistan with ≈180 peripheral collection units. Specimens for TB cultures are requested by physicians and received through passive collection and thus are

not restricted to programmed surveys. All specimens received at each of the collection units are sent to the central laboratory in Karachi for culture and drug susceptibility testing (DST). Specimens reach the main laboratory within 24 hours after receipt.

During the past 4 years, the laboratory has received 12,000–15,000 specimens annually for *M. tuberculosis* culture; positivity rate has been 15%–20%. Culture and DST are performed at the laboratory in accordance with Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute and WHO recommendations, as described (4). During 2005–2008, fluoroquinolone susceptibilities for all MDR and polydrug-resistant isolates were determined by using ciprofloxacin (2 µg/mL). From 2009 onwards, fluoroquinolone susceptibilities were determined by using ofloxacin (2 µg/mL), and second-line DST was performed for all *M. tuberculosis* isolates.

During 2005–2009, a total of 11,263 cultures were reported positive for *M. tuberculosis*. Of these, 34.4% were MDR, and 50.1% were sensitive to all 4 first-line agents (isoniazid, rifampin, pyrazinamide, ethambutol). Because of inconsistencies in testing criteria for fluoroquinolones (fluoroquinolone testing being conducted primarily for MDR cases during 2005–2008), the overall fluoroquinolone-resistance rate could not be determined. However, for MDR strains, fluoroquinolone susceptibilities were consistently determined, and resistance rates increased from 17.41% in 2005 to 42.92% in 2009 ($p < 0.001$, by χ^2 test for trend analysis) (Table).

A progressive increase in fluoroquinolone use and its association with increase in resistance against organisms other than *M. tuberculosis* have been reported from Pakistan (5). We report a progressive increase in fluoroquinolone resistance rate in MDR *M. tuberculosis* isolates