lexas

dshs.state.tx.us/preparedness

All response begins at the local level. Being prepared to prevent, respond to, and recover from all types of public health threats requires that states and localities improve their capabilities in surveillance, epidemiology, laboratories, and response readiness. Facts on laboratories and response readiness activities appear below. See appendices 1 and 7 for a more detailed description of data points and data sources.

A healthy population is more resilient in public health emergencies. People with chronic conditions may require additional care such as specialized medications, equipment, and other assistance. To develop an effective response plan, a state or locality must consider the unique needs of its own population. In Texas, 7.3% of adults reported having asthma, 9.7% diabetes, 6.1% heart disease, and 2.5% had a stroke. In addition, 19.2% reported a limiting disability and 66.2% were overweight or obese.* *CDC, ONCDIEH (NCCDPHP) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2008

· ·	Laboratories: General		Laboratories: Chemical Capabilities			
	Laboratories. General			atories, enemicar capabiliti		
Maintaining core laboratory functions during an emergency	Status of continuity of operations COOP was under develop		Participation in Laboratory Response Network for	LRN-C laboratories with capabilities for responding if the public is exposed to chemical agents ⁵ Note: There are three levels,	One Level 2 lab	
Ensuring availability of Laboratory Response Network (LRN) laboratory results for decision making	State had a standardized electronic data system capable of messaging laboratory results between	Yes	chemical agents (LRN-C)	with Level 1 having the most advanced capabilities. See appendix 1.		
	LRN laboratories and also to CDC ² Note: For a description of LRN		Evaluating LRN-C laboratory capabilities	Core methods successfully demonstrated by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories to rapidly detect chemical	6 out of 6 methods	
<u> </u>	laboratories, see appendix 1.			agents ⁵		
Labor	atories: Biological Capabiliti	es	through proficiency	Additional methods successfully demonstrated		
Participation in LRN for biological agents	LRN reference and/or national laboratories that could test for biological agents ³	14 reference labs	testing	by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories to rapidly detect chemical agents ⁵	0 out of 0 methods	
Assessing if laboratory emergency contacts could be reached 24/7	LRN laboratories successfully contacted during a non- business hours telephone drill ³	11 out of 14 labs		LRN-C laboratory ability to collect, package, and ship samples properly during LRN exercise ⁵	Passed	
Evaluating LRN laboratory capabilities	Proficiency tests passed by LRN reference and/or national laboratories ³	23 out of 25 tests	Assessing LRN-C laboratory capabilities	Chemical agents detected by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories in unknown samples during the LRN Emergency Response Pop Proficiency Test (PopPT) Exercise ⁶	2 out of 2 agents	
Rapid identification of disease-	Rapidly identified <i>E. coli</i> <i>O157:H7</i> using advanced DNA tests (PFGE) ⁴		through exercises			
	 Samples for which state performed tests Test results submitted to PulseNet database within 4 working days (target: 90%) 	74 89%		Hours to process and report on 500 samples by Level 1 laboratory during the LRN Surge Capacity Exercise (range was 71 to 126 hours) ⁵	N/A	
causing bacteria by PulseNet	Rapidly identified		Response Readiness: Communication		ion	
laboratories	L. monocytogenes using advanced DNA tests (PFGE) ⁴			State public health		
	 Samples for which state performed tests Test results submitted to 	36		department had a 24/7 reporting capacity system that could receive urgent disease reports any time of	Yes	
	PulseNet database within 4 working days (target: 90%)	86%		the day/		
Assessing laboratory competency and reporting through exercises	State public health laboratory conducted exercises to assess competency of sentinel laboratories to rule out bioterrorism agents'	Yes Did not pass		Responded to Health Alert Network (HAN) test message within 30 minutes ⁸	Yes	
			Communicating emerging health	State public health laboratory used HAN or other rapid method (blast email or fax) to communicate with sentinel laboratories 7 tim and other partners for outbreaks, routine updates, training events, and other applications ¹		
	CDC-funded LRN laboratory ability to contact the CDC Emergency Operations Center within 2 hours during LRN notification drill ³		information		7 times	
	Note: There is one CDC- funded LRN laboratory in DC and in each state, with the exception of CA, IL, and NY, which have two.			Epidemic Information Exchange users responded to system-wide notification test within 3 hours ⁹	44%	

¹APHL; 2008 ²CDC, OSELS; 2008 ³CDC, OID (NCEZID); 2008 ⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008 ⁵CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2009 ⁶CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2008 ⁷State data; 2008 ⁸CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2009 ⁹CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2008

Response Readiness: Communication (continued)		Respons	Response Readiness: Exercises and Incidents		
Improving public health information exchange	Participated in a Public Health Information Network forum (community of practice) to leverage best practices for information exchange ¹⁰	Yes	Notifying	Pre-identified staff notified to fill all eight Incident Command System core functional roles due to a drill, exercise, or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 notifications.	3 times
Response Readiness: Planning			emergéncy	Pre-identified staff acknowledged	
Assessing plans to receive, distribute, and dispense medical assets from the Strategic National Stockpile and other sources	CDC technical assistance review (TAR) state score ^{11, 12}	2007-08:	operations center staff	notification within the target time of 60 minutes ¹⁴	1 out of 3 times
	Scoring Note: A score of 69 or higher indicates performance in an acceptable range in plans to	97 2008-09:		Conducted at least one unannounced notification outside of normal business hours ¹⁴	Yes
	receive, distribute, and dispense medical assets. 100 Cities Readiness Initiative (CRI) location and 2007-08 TAR score ¹¹			Public health EOC activated as part of a drill, exercise, or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 activations.	0 times
	*Cohort I: Dallas, TX: 91; Houston, TX: 79 *Cohort II: San Antonio, TX: 55 *Cohort III: No sites		Activating the emergency operations center (EOC)	Pre-identified staff reported to the public health EOC within the target time of 2.5 hours ¹⁴	0 out of 0 times
	See Scoring Note above. CRI locations can consist of multiple jurisdictions, some located in more than one state. See appendix 6.			Conducted at least one unannounced activation ¹⁴	No
	*Cohort I, II or III refers to the year when the		Response Readiness: Evaluation		
Enhancing	Iocation was added to CRI. See appendix 1. Enhancing response capability containers ¹¹ CHEMPACK nerve-agent antidote 140 Assisting		AAR/IPs developed following an exercise or real incident ¹⁴	7	
response		140	Assessing response	Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 AAR/IPs.	AAR/IPs
events		2	capabilities through after action report/	AAR/IPs developed within target time of 60 days ¹⁴	7 out of 7 AAR/IPs
Meeting preparedness standards for local health departments	Local health departments meeting voluntary Project Public Health Ready preparedness standards ¹³		improvement plans (AAR/IPs)	Re-evaluated response capabilities following approval and completion of corrective actions identified in AAR/IPs ¹⁴	Yes

¹⁰CDC, OSTLTS; 2008 ¹¹CDC, OPHPR (DSNS); 2008 ¹²CDC, OPHPR (DSNS); 2009 ¹³NACCHO; 2008 ¹⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008

In addition to the activities listed above, CDC supported other projects and activities to enhance preparedness efforts.

Research, Training, Education, and Promising Demonstration Projects							
Project	Location/Project Name	Amount					
Centers for Public Health Preparedness ¹⁵	Texas A & M - Center for Rural Public Health Preparedness; University of Texas - Center for Biosecurity and Public Health Preparedness	\$525,760 \$525,760					
Preparedness and Emergency Response Research Centers ¹⁵	—	N/A					
Advanced Practice Centers ¹⁶	Tarrant County Advanced Practice Center	\$450,000					
Centers of Excellence in Public Health Informatics ¹⁷	—	N/A					
Pandemic Influenza Promising Practices Demonstration Projects ¹⁴	Electronic Laboratory Data Exchange	\$799,798					
Additional CDC Resources Supporting Preparedness in States and Localities							
 Epidemic Intelligence Service Epidemic Intelligence Service Field Officers¹⁷ Investigations conducted by Epidemic Intelligence Service Field Officers¹⁷ 	2 7						
Deployments Type of Incident (number of CDC staff)¹⁸ 	Hurricane Ike (61); Hurricane Gustav (12); Tropical Storm Dolly (1); Hemodialysis Reactions (3); Salmonella (7); Cryptosporidiosis (2); Typhus (2); Infusion Center Infections (1)						
Career Epidemiology Field Officers ¹⁵							
Quarantine Stations ¹⁹	DFW International Airport, Dallas; George Bush Intercontinental Airport, Houston; Sunland Park Drive, El Paso						

¹⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008 ¹⁵CDC, OPHPR (OD); 2008 ¹⁶NACCHO; 2008 ¹⁷CDC, OSELS; 2008 ¹⁸CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2008 ¹⁹CDC, OID (NCEZID); 2008