

South Carolina

scdhec.net/administration/ophp

All response begins at the local level. Being prepared to prevent, respond to, and recover from all types of public health threats requires that states and localities improve their capabilities in surveillance, epidemiology, laboratories, and response readiness. Facts on laboratories and response readiness activities appear below. See appendices 1 and 7 for a more detailed description of data points and data sources.

A healthy population is more resilient in public health emergencies. People with chronic conditions may require additional care such as specialized medications, equipment, and other assistance. To develop an effective response plan, a state or locality must consider the unique needs of its own population. In South Carolina, 8.3% of adults reported having asthma, 10.1% diabetes, 6.4% heart disease, and 3.2% had a stroke. In addition, 21.7% reported a limiting disability and 65.9% were overweight or obese.* *CDC, ONCDIEH (NCCDPHP) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2008

	Laboratories: General		Labor	atories: Chemical Capabiliti	es
Maintaining core laboratory functions during an emergency Ensuring availability of	aboratory Status of continuity of operations plan (COOP):1 Participation ons during State public health laboratory had a COOP in Laboratory mergency State had a standardized Network for chemical agent. Ensuring State had a standardized (LRN-C	Participation in Laboratory Response Network for chemical agents (LRN-C)	LRN-C laboratories with capabilities for responding if the public is exposed to chemical agents ⁵ Note: There are three levels, with Level 1 having the most advanced capabilities. See appendix 1.	One Level 1 lab	
Laboratory Response Network (LRN) laboratory results for decision making	laboratory results between LRN laboratories and also to CDC ² Note: For a description of LRN laboratories, see appendix 1.	Yes	Evaluating LRN-C laboratory capabilities	Core methods successfully demonstrated by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories to rapidly detect chemical agents ⁵	6 out of 6 methods
Labo	ratories: Biological Capabiliti	es	through	Additional methods	
Participation in LRN for biological agents	LRN reference and/or national laboratories that could test for biological agents ³	1 reference lab	proficiency testing	successfully demonstrated by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories to rapidly detect chemical agents ⁵	4 out of 4 methods
Assessing if laboratory emergency contacts could be reached 24/7	LRN laboratories successfully contacted during a non- business hours telephone drill ³	1 out of 1 lab		LRN-C laboratory ability to collect, package, and ship samples properly during LRN exercise ⁵	Did not pass
Evaluating LRN laboratory capabilities	Proficiency tests passed by LRN reference and/or national laboratories ³	3 out of 3 tests	Assessing LRN-C laboratory capabilities	Chemical agents detected by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories in unknown samples during the LRN	0 out of 2
Rapid identification of disease-	 Rapidly identified <i>E. coli</i> 0157:H7 using advanced DNA tests (PFGE)⁴ Samples for which state performed tests Test results submitted to PulseNet database within 4 working days (target: 90%) 	22 86%	through exercises	Emergency Response Pop Proficiency Test (PopPT) Exercise ⁶ Hours to process and report on 500 samples by Level 1 laboratory during the LRN Surge Capacity Exercise (range was 71 to 126 hours) ⁵	agents 100 hours
causing bacteria by PulseNet	Rapidly identified		Response Readiness: Communication		
laboratories	 L. monocytogenes using advanced DNA tests (PFGE)⁴ Samples for which state performed tests Test results submitted to PulseNet database within 4 working days (target: 00%) 	7 29%		State public health department had a 24/7 reporting capacity system that could receive urgent disease reports any time of the day ⁷	Yes
Assessing laboratory competency and reporting through exercises	working days (target: 90%) State public health laboratory conducted exercises to assess competency of sentinel	Yes Passed		Responded to Health Alert Network (HAN) test message within 30 minutes ⁸	Yes
	laboratories to rule out bioterrorism agents ¹ CDC-funded LRN laboratory ability to contact the CDC Emergency Operations Center within 2 hours during LRN notification drill ³ Note: There is one CDC- funded LRN laboratory in DC and in each state, with the exception of CA, IL, and NY,		Communicating emerging health information	State public health laboratory used HAN or other rapid method (blast email or fax) to communicate with sentinel laboratories and other partners for outbreaks, routine updates, training events, and other applications ¹ Epidemic Information Exchange users responded to system-wide notification test within 3 hours ⁹	4 times 79%

¹APHL; 2008 ²CDC, OSELS; 2008 ³CDC, OID (NCEZID); 2008 ⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008 ⁵CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2009 ⁶CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2008 ⁷State data; 2008 ⁸CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2009 ⁹CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2008

Fact Sheets 2

Response Readiness: Communication (continued)		Response Readiness: Exercises and Incidents			
Improving public health information exchange	Participated in a Public Health Information Network forum (community of practice) to leverage best practices for information exchange ¹⁰	Yes	Notifying	Pre-identified staff notified to fill all eight Incident Command System core functional roles due to a drill, exercise, or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 notifications.	4 times
Response Readiness: Planning			emergency operations	Pre-identified staff acknowledged	4 out of 4
	CDC technical assistance review (TAR) state score ^{11, 12}	2007.08	center staff	notification within the target time of 60 minutes ¹⁴	times
	Scoring Note: A score of 69 or higher indicates performance in an acceptable range in plans to	2007-08: 87 2008-09:		Conducted at least one unannounced notification outside of normal business hours ¹⁴	Yes
Assessing plans to receive, distribute, and dispense medical assets from the Strategic National Stockpile and other sources	receive, distribute, and dispense medical assets. 93 Cities Readiness Initiative (CRI) location and 2007-08 TAR score ¹¹ 93 *Cohort I: No sites *Cohort II: No sites *Cohort III: Charlotte, NC; 63; Columbia, SC: 83 See Scoring Note above. CRI locations can consist of multiple jurisdictions, some located in more than one state. See appendix 6.			Public health EOC activated as part of a drill, exercise, or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 activations.	1 time
			Activating the emergency operations center (EOC)	Pre-identified staff reported to the public health EOC within the target time of 2.5 hours ¹⁴	1 out of 1 time
				Conducted at least one unannounced activation ¹⁴	Yes
	*Cohort I, II or III refers to the year when the		Response Readiness: Evaluation		
Enhancing response	location was added to CRI. See app	endix 1.	Assessing	AAR/IPs developed following an exercise or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and	8 AAR/IPs
capability for chemical events	CHEMPACK nerve-agent antidote containers ¹¹	27	response capabilities through after	could report up to 12 AAR/IPs.	8 out of 8
Meeting preparedness standards for local health departments	Local health departments meeting voluntary Project Public Health Ready preparedness standards ¹³	1	action report/ improvement plans (AAR/IPs)	time of 60 days ¹⁴ Re-evaluated response capabilities following approval and completion of corrective actions identified in AAR/IPs ¹⁴	AAR/IPs Yes

¹⁰CDC, OSTLTS; 2008 ¹¹CDC, OPHPR (DSNS); 2008 ¹²CDC, OPHPR (DSNS); 2009 ¹³NACCHO; 2008 ¹⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008

In addition to the activities listed above, CDC supported other projects and activities to enhance preparedness efforts. Snapshots of these CDC efforts are provided below.

Research, Training, Education, and Promising Demonstration Projects							
Project	Location/Project Name	Amount					
Centers for Public Health Preparedness ¹⁵	University of South Carolina - Center for Public Health Preparedness	\$525,760					
Preparedness and Emergency Response Research Centers ¹⁵	— N/A						
Advanced Practice Centers ¹⁶	— N/A						
Centers of Excellence in Public Health Informatics ¹⁷	—	N/A					
Pandemic Influenza Promising Practices Demonstration Projects ¹⁴	_	N/A					
Additional CDC Resources Supporting Preparedness in States and Localities							
 Epidemic Intelligence Service Epidemic Intelligence Service Field Officers¹⁷ Investigations conducted by Epidemic Intelligence Service Field Officers¹⁷ 	2 8						
Deployments Type of Incident (number of CDC staff)¹⁸ 	TB Outbreak (4)						
Career Epidemiology Field Officers ¹⁵	_						
Quarantine Stations ¹⁹	_						

¹⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008 ¹⁵CDC, OPHPR (OD); 2008 ¹⁶NACCHO; 2008 ¹⁷CDC, OSELS; 2008 ¹⁸CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2008 ¹⁹CDC, OID (NCEZID); 2008