

All response begins at the local level. Being prepared to prevent, respond to, and recover from all types of public health threats requires that states and localities improve their capabilities in surveillance, epidemiology, laboratories, and response readiness. Facts on laboratories and response readiness activities appear below. See appendices 1 and 7 for a more detailed description of data points and data sources.

A healthy population is more resilient in public health emergencies. People with chronic conditions may require additional care such as specialized medications, equipment, and other assistance. To develop an effective response plan, a state or locality must consider the unique needs of its own population. In North Dakota, 7.9% of adults reported having asthma, 7.6% diabetes, 5.6% heart disease, and 2.4% had a stroke. In addition, 17.0% reported a limiting disability and 67.4% were overweight or obese.* *CDC, ONCDIEH (NCCDPHP) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2008

	ints and data sources.	
	Laboratories: General	
Maintaining core laboratory functions during an emergency	Status of continuity of operations plan (COOP): ¹ State public health laboratory had a COOP	
Ensuring availability of Laboratory Response Network (LRN) laboratory results for decision making	State had a standardized electronic data system capable of messaging laboratory results between LRN laboratories and also to CDC ² Note: For a description of LRN laboratories, see appendix 1.	Yes
Labor	atories: Biological Capabiliti	es
Participation in LRN for biological agents	LRN reference and/or national laboratories that could test for biological agents ³	1 reference lab
Assessing if laboratory emergency contacts could be reached 24/7	LRN laboratories successfully contacted during a non-business hours telephone drill ³	1 out of 1 lab
Evaluating LRN laboratory capabilities	Proficiency tests passed by LRN reference and/or national laboratories ³	3 out of 4 tests
Rapid identification of disease- causing bacteria by PulseNet laboratories	Rapidly identified <i>E. coli</i> O157:H7 using advanced DNA tests (PFGE) ⁴ • Samples for which state performed tests • Test results submitted to PulseNet database within 4 working days (target: 90%) Rapidly identified <i>L. monocytogenes</i> using advanced DNA tests (PFGE) ⁴	7
	 Samples for which state performed tests Test results submitted to PulseNet database within 4 working days (target: 90%) 	N/A
Assessing laboratory competency and reporting through exercises	State public health laboratory conducted exercises to assess competency of sentinel laboratories to rule out bioterrorism agents ¹	Yes
	CDC-funded LRN laboratory ability to contact the CDC Emergency Operations Center within 2 hours during LRN notification drill ³ Note: There is one CDC-funded LRN laboratory in DC and in each state, with the exception of CA, IL, and NY, which have two.	Passed

Laboratories: Chemical Capabilities			
Participation in Laboratory Response Network for chemical agents (LRN-C)	LRN-C laboratories with capabilities for responding if the public is exposed to chemical agents ⁵ Note: There are three levels, with Level 1 having the most advanced capabilities. See appendix 1.	One Level 2 lab*	
Evaluating LRN-C laboratory capabilities through proficiency testing	Core methods successfully demonstrated by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories to rapidly detect chemical agents ⁵	3 out of 6 methods*	
	Additional methods successfully demonstrated by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories to rapidly detect chemical agents ⁵	0 out of 0 methods*	
Assessing LRN-C Iaboratory capabilities through exercises	LRN-C laboratory ability to collect, package, and ship samples properly during LRN exercise ⁵	Passed	
	Chemical agents detected by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories in unknown samples during the LRN Emergency Response Pop Proficiency Test (PopPT) Exercise ⁶	Not eligible	
	Hours to process and report on 500 samples by Level 1 laboratory during the LRN Surge Capacity Exercise (range was 71 to 126 hours) ⁵	N/A	
Respon	se Readiness: Communicat	ion	
Communicating emerging health information	State public health department had a 24/7 reporting capacity system that could receive urgent disease reports any time of the day ⁷	Yes	
	Responded to Health Alert Network (HAN) test message within 30 minutes ⁸	Yes	
	State public health laboratory used HAN or other rapid method (blast email or fax) to communicate with sentinel laboratories and other partners for outbreaks, routine updates, training events, and other applications ¹	7 times	
	Epidemic Information Exchange users responded to system-wide notification test within 3 hours ⁹ CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2008 ⁷ State data; 2	69%	

APHL; 2008 CDC, OSELS; 2008 CDC, OID (NCEZID); 2008 CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008 CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2009 CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2008 CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); °CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2009 °CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2008 *North Dakota elected to acquire elemental analysis capabilities reflected in only three core methods.

Response Readiness: Communication (continued)				
Improving public health information exchange	Participated in a Public Health Information Network forum (community of practice) to leverage best practices for information exchange ¹⁰	Yes		
R	esponse Readiness: Planning			
Assessing plans to receive, distribute, and dispense medical assets from the Strategic National Stockpile and other sources	CDC technical assistance review (TAR) state score 11,12	2007-08: 77		
	Scoring Note: A score of 69 or higher indicates performance in an acceptable range in plans to receive, distribute, and dispense medical assets.	2008-09: 83		
	Cities Readiness Initiative (CRI) location and 2007-08 TAR score ¹¹			
	*Cohort I: No sites *Cohort II: No sites *Cohort III: Fargo, ND: 70			
	See Scoring Note above. CRI locations can consist of multiple jurisdictions, some located in more than one state. See appendix 6.			
	*Cohort I, II or III refers to the year when the location was added to CRI. See appendix 1.			
Enhancing response capability for chemical events	CHEMPACK nerve-agent antidote containers ¹¹	6		
Meeting preparedness standards for local health departments	Local health departments meeting voluntary Project Public Health Ready preparedness standards ¹³	0		

Response Readiness: Exercises and Incidents			
Notifying emergency operations center staff	Pre-identified staff notified to fill all eight Incident Command System core functional roles due to a drill, exercise, or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 notifications.	2 times	
	Pre-identified staff acknowledged notification within the target time of 60 minutes ¹⁴	2 out of 2 times	
	Conducted at least one unannounced notification outside of normal business hours14	Yes	
Activating the emergency operations center (EOC)	Public health EOC activated as part of a drill, exercise, or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 activations.	2 times	
	Pre-identified staff reported to the public health EOC within the target time of 2.5 hours ¹⁴	2 out of 2 times	
	Conducted at least one unannounced activation ¹⁴	Yes	
R	esponse Readiness: Evaluation		
Assessing response capabilities through after action report/ improvement plans (AAR/IPs)	AAR/IPs developed following an exercise or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 AAR/IPs.	2 AAR/IPs	
	AAR/IPs developed within target time of 60 days 14	2 out of 2 AAR/IPs	
	Re-evaluated response capabilities following approval and completion of corrective actions identified in AAR/IPs ¹⁴	Yes	

¹⁰CDC, OSTLTS; 2008 ¹¹CDC, OPHPR (DSNS); 2008 ¹²CDC, OPHPR (DSNS); 2009 ¹³NACCHO; 2008 ¹⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008

In addition to the activities listed above, CDC supported other projects and activities to enhance preparedness efforts. Snapshots of these CDC efforts are provided below.

Research, Training, Education, and Promising Demonstration Projects				
Project	Location/Project Name	Amount		
Centers for Public Health Preparedness ¹⁵	_	N/A		
Preparedness and Emergency Response Research Centers ¹⁵	_	N/A		
Advanced Practice Centers ¹⁶	_	N/A		
Centers of Excellence in Public Health Informatics ¹⁷	_	N/A		
Pandemic Influenza Promising Practices Demonstration Projects14	Countermeasure and State Immunization Information Systems Integration	\$180,348		
Additional CDC Resources Supporting Preparedness in States and Localities				
 Epidemic Intelligence Service Epidemic Intelligence Service Field Officers¹⁷ Investigations conducted by Epidemic Intelligence Service Field Officers¹⁷ 	_ _			
Deployments Type of Incident (number of CDC staff) ¹⁸	Wild Game Contamination (3); Resistant Meningococcus (2)			
Career Epidemiology Field Officers ¹⁵	1			
Quarantine Stations ¹⁹	_			

¹⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008 ¹⁵CDC, OPHPR (OD); 2008 ¹⁶NACCHO; 2008 ¹⁷CDC, OSELS; 2008 ¹⁸CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2008 ¹⁹CDC, OID (NCEZID); 2008