

N aine

maine.gov/dhhs/boh

All response begins at the local level. Being prepared to prevent, respond to, and recover from all types of public health threats requires that states and localities improve their capabilities in surveillance, epidemiology, laboratories, and response readiness. Facts on laboratories and response readiness activities appear below. See appendices 1 and 7 for a more detailed description of data points and data sources.

A healthy population is more resilient in public health emergencies. People with chronic conditions may require additional care such as specialized medications, equipment, and other assistance. To develop an effective response plan, a state or locality must consider the unique needs of its own population. In Maine, 10.3% of adults reported having asthma, 8.3% diabetes, 7.2% heart disease, and 2.8% had a stroke. In addition, 22.2% reported a limiting disability and 61.9% were overweight or obese.* *CDC, ONCDIEH (NCCDPHP) Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 2008

	Laboratories: General			Labor	atories: Chemical Capabiliti	es
Maintaining core laboratory functions during an emergency	Status of continuity of operations State public health laboratory h			Participation in Laboratory Response Network for chemical agents	LRN-C laboratories with capabilities for responding if the public is exposed to chemical agents ⁵ Note: There are three levels,	One Level 2 lab
Ensuring availability of Laboratory Response Network (LRN) laboratory results for decision making	State had a standardized electronic data system capable of messaging laboratory results between	Yes		(LRN-C)	with Level 1 having the most advanced capabilities. See appendix 1.	
	LRN laboratories and also to CDC ² Note: For a description of LRN laboratories, see appendix 1.			Evaluating LRN-C laboratory capabilities through proficiency testing	Core methods successfully demonstrated by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories to rapidly detect chemical	5 out of 6 methods
Lab.					agents ⁵	
Labor Participation in LRN for biological agents	atories: Biological Capabiliti LRN reference and/or national laboratories that could test for biological agents ³	es 1 reference lab			Additional methods successfully demonstrated by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories to rapidly detect chemical agents ⁵	1 out of 1 method
Assessing if laboratory emergency contacts could be reached 24/7	LRN laboratories successfully contacted during a non- business hours telephone drill ³	1 out of 1 lab		Assessing LRN-C laboratory capabilities through exercises	LRN-C laboratory ability to collect, package, and ship samples properly during LRN exercise ⁵	Passed
Evaluating LRN laboratory capabilities	Proficiency tests passed by LRN reference and/or national laboratories ³	3 out of 3 tests			Chemical agents detected by Level 1 and/or Level 2 laboratories in unknown samples during the LRN Emergency Response Pop Proficiency Test (PopPT) Exercise ⁶	2 out of 2
Rapid identification	Rapidly identified <i>E. coli</i> <i>0157:H7</i> using advanced DNA tests (PFGE) ⁴					agents
	 Samples for which state performed tests Test results submitted to PulseNet database within 4 working days (target: 90%) 	16 50%			Hours to process and report on 500 samples by Level 1 laboratory during the LRN Surge Capacity Exercise (range was 71 to 126 hours) ⁵	N/A
of disease- causing bacteria by PulseNet	Rapidly identified			Response Readiness: Communication		
Assessing laboratories laboratory competency and reporting through exercises	 L. monocytogenes using advanced DNA tests (PFGE)⁴ Samples for which state performed tests Test results submitted to PulseNet database within 4 	— N/A			State public health department had a 24/7 reporting capacity system that could receive urgent disease reports any time of the day ⁷	Yes
	State public health laboratory conducted exercises to assess			Communicating emerging health	Responded to Health Alert Network (HAN) test message within 30 minutes ⁸	Yes
	competency of sentinel laboratories to rule out bioterrorism agents ¹	Yes	Yes		State public health laboratory used HAN or other rapid method (blast	
	CDC-funded LRN laboratory ability to contact the CDC Emergency Operations Center within 2 hours during LRN notification drill ³	Passed		information	email or fax) to communicate with sentinel laboratories and other partners for outbreaks, routine updates, training events, and other applications ¹	0 times
	Note: There is one CDC- funded LRN laboratory in DC and in each state, with the exception of CA, IL, and NY, which have two.				Epidemic Information Exchange users responded to system-wide notification test within 3 hours ⁹	59%

¹APHL; 2008 ²CDC, OSELS; 2008 ³CDC, OID (NCEZID); 2008 ⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008 ⁵CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2009 ⁶CDC, ONDIEH (NCEH); 2008 ⁷State data; 2008 °CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2009 °CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2008

Response Readiness: Communication (continued)		Response Readiness: Exercises and Incidents				
Improving public health information exchange	Participated in a Public Health Information Network forum (community of practice) to leverage best practices for information exchange ¹⁰	Yes	Notifying	Pre-identified staff notified to fill all eight Incident Command System core functional roles due to a drill, exercise, or real incident ¹⁴ Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 notifications.	5 times	
Response Readiness: Planning			emergency operations	Pre-identified staff acknowledged	5 out of 5	
	CDC technical assistance review (TAR) state score ^{11, 12}	2007-08:	center staff	notification within the target time of 60 minutes ¹⁴	times	
	Scoring Note: A score of 69 or higher indicates performance in an acceptable range in plans to	2008-09:		Conducted at least one unannounced notification outside of normal business hours ¹⁴	Yes	
Assessing plans to receive, distribute,	receive, distribute, and dispense medical assets.	90		Public health EOC activated as part of a drill, exercise, or real incident ¹⁴	3 times	
and dispense medical	Cities Readiness Initiative (CRI) location and 2007-08 TAR score ¹¹ *Cohort I: No sites *Cohort II: No sites *Cohort III: Portland, ME: 25		Activating	Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 activations.		
assets from the Strategic National Stockpile and other sources			the emergency operations center (EOC)	Pre-identified staff reported to the public health EOC within the target time of 2.5 hours ¹⁴	3 out of 3 times	
	See Scoring Note above. CRI locations can consist of multiple jurisdictions, some located in more than one state. See appendix 6.			Conducted at least one unannounced activation ¹⁴	Yes	
	*Cohort I, II or III refers to the year when the		Response Readiness: Evaluation			
Enhancing	location was added to CRI. See appendix 1.			AAR/IPs developed following an exercise or real incident ¹⁴	0	
response capability for chemical	CHEMPACK nerve-agent antidote containers ¹¹	10	Assessing response	Note: State must report 2 and could report up to 12 AAR/IPs.	AAR/IPs	
events			capabilities through after action report/	AAR/IPs developed within target time of 60 days ¹⁴	0 out of 0 AAR/IPs	
Meeting preparedness standards for local health departments	Local health departments meeting voluntary Project Public Health Ready preparedness standards ¹³	0	improvement plans (AAR/IPs)	Re-evaluated response capabilities following approval and completion of corrective actions identified in AAR/IPs ¹⁴	Yes	

¹⁰CDC, OSTLTS; 2008 ¹¹CDC, OPHPR (DSNS); 2008 ¹²CDC, OPHPR (DSNS); 2009 ¹³NACCHO; 2008 ¹⁴CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008

In addition to the activities listed above, CDC supported other projects and activities to enhance preparedness efforts. Snapshots of these CDC efforts are provided below.

Research, Training, Education, and Promising Demonstration Projects							
Project	Location/Project Name	Amount					
Centers for Public Health Preparedness ¹⁵	—	N/A					
Preparedness and Emergency Response Research Centers ¹⁵	—	N/A					
Advanced Practice Centers ¹⁶	—	N/A					
Centers of Excellence in Public Health Informatics ¹⁷	—	N/A					
Pandemic Influenza Promising Practices Demonstration Projects ¹⁴	Electronic Death Reporting; Electronic Laboratory Data Exchange	\$943,020 \$508,567					
Additional CDC Resources Supporting Preparedness in States and Localities							
 Epidemic Intelligence Service Epidemic Intelligence Service Field Officers¹⁷ Investigations conducted by Epidemic Intelligence Service Field Officers¹⁷ 	1 12						
Deployments Type of Incident (number of CDC staff)¹⁸ 	Pneumonia Cluster (3)						
Career Epidemiology Field Officers ¹⁵	1						
Quarantine Stations ¹⁹	_						

14CDC, OPHPR (DSLR); 2008 15CDC, OPHPR (OD); 2008 16NACCHO; 2008 17CDC, OSELS; 2008 18CDC, OPHPR (DEO); 2008 19CDC, OID (NCEZID); 2008