1	
2	
3	
4	
4	
5	IMMEDIATELY DANGEROUS TO LIFE OR HEALTH (IDLH) VALUE PROFILE
6	
7	
8	
9	FOR
10	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
45	
15	
16	BROMINE I RIFLUORIDE
17	
18	
19	
20	[CAS <sup>®</sup> No. 7787-71-5]
21	
22	
23	
24 25	
26	
27	Department of Health and Human Services
28	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
29	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

i

- 1 This document is in the public domain and may be freely copied or reprinted.
- 2

## **3 DISCLAIMER**

- 4 Mention of any company or product does not constitute endorsement by the National Institute for Occupational
- 5 Safety and Health (NIOSH). In addition, citations of Web sites external to NIOSH do not constitute NIOSH
- 6 endorsement of the sponsoring organizations or their programs or products. Furthermore, NIOSH is not
- 7 responsible for the content of these Web sites.
- 8

## 9 ORDERING INFORMATION

10 To receive NIOSH documents or other information about occupational safety and health topics, contact NIOSH:

### 11 Telephone: 1-800-CDC-INFO (1-800-232-4636)

- **12** TTY: 1-888-232-6348
- 13 E-mail: <u>cdcinfo@cdc.gov</u>
- 14 or visit the NIOSH Website at: www.cdc.gov/niosh
- 15 For a monthly update on news at NIOSH, subscribe to *NIOSH eNews* by visiting www.cdc.gov/niosh/eNews.
- 16

# 17 SUGGESTED CITATION

- 18
- 19 NIOSH [2018]. Immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) value profile: Bromine trifluoride. By Niemeier
- 20 RT, Dotson GS, Maier A, Parker A, Haber L. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services,
- 21 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS
- 22 (NIOSH) Publication 2018—XXX.

23

- 24 DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2018—XXX
- 25
- 26 DATE
- 27

ii

### 1 Foreword

Chemicals are a ubiquitous component of the modern workplace. Occupational exposures to chemicals have the 2 3 potential to adversely affect the health and lives of workers. Acute or short-term exposures to high concentrations 4 of some airborne chemicals have the ability to quickly overwhelm workers, resulting in a spectrum of undesirable 5 health outcomes that may inhibit the ability to escape from the exposure environment (e.g., irritation of the eyes 6 and respiratory tract or cognitive impairment), cause severe irreversible effects (e.g., damage to the respiratory 7 tract or reproductive toxicity), and in extreme cases, cause death. Airborne concentrations of chemicals capable of 8 causing such adverse health effects or of impeding escape from high-risk conditions may arise from a variety of 9 non-routine workplace situations, including special work procedures (e.g., in confined spaces), industrial 10 accidents (e.g., chemical spills or explosions), and chemical releases into the community (e.g., during 11 transportation incidents or other uncontrolled-release scenarios). 12 13 The immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) air concentration values developed by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) characterize these high-risk exposure concentrations and conditions 14 [NIOSH 2013]. IDLH values are based on a 30-minute exposure duration and have traditionally served as a key 15 component of the decision logic for the selection of respiratory protection devices [NIOSH 2004]. 16 17 Occupational health professionals have employed these values beyond their initial purpose as a component of the 18 NIOSH Respirator Selection Logic to assist in developing risk management plans for non-routine work practices 19 20 governing operations in high-risk environments (e.g., confined spaces) and the development of emergency 21 preparedness plans.

22

23 The approach used to derive IDLH values for high priority chemicals is outlined in the *NIOSH Current* 

24 Intelligence Bulletin (CIB) 66: Derivation of Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Values [NIOSH 2013].

25 CIB 66 provides (1) an update on the scientific basis and risk assessment methodology used to derive IDLH

values, (2) the rationale and derivation process for IDLH values, and (3) a demonstration of the derivation of

27 scientifically credible IDLH values using available data resources.

28

1	The purpose of this technical report is to present the IDLH value for Bromine Trifluoride (CAS® No. 7787-71-5).
2	The scientific basis, toxicologic data, and risk assessment approach used to derive the IDLH value are
3	summarized to ensure transparency and scientific credibility.
4	
5 6 7	John Howard, M.D. Director National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
8	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
9	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27 20	
20 29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	

# 1 Table of Contents

2	FORE	EWORD	
3	ABBR	REVIATIONS	VI
4	GLOS	SSARY	VII
5	ACKN	NOWLEDGMENTS	XI
6	1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
7 8 9	1.1 1.2 1.3	OVERVIEW OF THE IDLH VALUE FOR BROMINE TRIFLUORIDE PURPOSE GENERAL SUBSTANCE INFORMATION	1 1
10	2.0	HUMAN DATA	5
11	3.0	ANIMAL TOXICITY DATA	6
12	4.0	SUMMARY	12
13	REFE	ERENCES	13
14			

16 17

15

18

# **1** Abbreviations

2

3	<b>ACGIH<sup>®</sup></b>	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
4	AEGLs	Acute Exposure Guideline Levels
5	AIHA®	American Industrial Hygiene Association
6	BMC	benchmark concentration
7	BMD	benchmark dose
8	BMCL	benchmark concentration lower confidence limit
9	BrF <sub>3</sub>	Bromine trifluoride
10	BrF5	Bromine pentafluoride
11	°C	degrees Celsius
12	CAS®	Chemical Abstracts Service, a division of the American Chemical Society
13	CIB	Current Intelligence Bulletin
14	ClF <sub>3</sub>	Chlorine trifluoride
15	ClF <sub>5</sub>	Chlorine pentafluoride
16	<b>ERPGs</b> <sup>TM</sup>	Emergency Response Planning Guidelines
17	ET <sub>50</sub>	Effective time to 50% mortality
18	°F	degrees Fahrenheit
19	g/cu cm	grams per cubic centimeter
20	HF	Hydrogen fluoride
21	IDLH	immediately dangerous to life or health
22	LC	lethal concentration
23	$LC_{50}$	median lethal concentration
24	LC <sub>LO</sub>	lowest concentration that caused death in humans or animals
25	LEL	lower explosive limit
26	LOAEL	lowest observed adverse effect level
27	mg/m <sup>3</sup>	milligram(s) per cubic meter
28	min	minutes
29	mmHg	millimeter(s) of mercury
30	NAC	National Advisory Committee
31	NAS	National Academy of Sciences
32	NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
33	NLM	National Library of Medicine
34	NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
35	NRC	National Research Council
36	OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
37	PEL	permissible exposure limit
38	ppm	parts per million
39	$RD_{50}$	concentration of a chemical in the air that is estimated to cause a 50% decrease in the respiratory
40		rate
41	REL	recommended exposure limit
42	STEL	short-term exposure limit
43	$\mathrm{TLV}^{\mathbb{B}}$	Threshold Limit Value

- 1 TWA time-weighted average
- 2 UEL upper explosive limit
- 3 WEELs<sup>®</sup> Workplace Environmental Exposure Levels

## 4 Glossary

5

6 Acute exposure: Exposure by the oral, dermal, or inhalation route for 24 hours or less.

- Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEGLs): Threshold exposure limits for the general public, applicable to
   emergency exposure periods ranging from 10 minutes to 8 hours. AEGL-1, AEGL 2, and AEGL-3 are
- 9 developed for five exposure periods (10 and 30 minutes, 1 hour, 4 hours, and 8 hours) and are distinguished
- 10 by varying degrees of severity of toxic effects, ranging from transient, reversible effects to life-threatening
- effects [NAS 2001]. AEGLs are intended to be guideline levels used during rare events or single once-in-a-
- 12 lifetime exposures to airborne concentrations of acutely toxic, high-priority chemicals [NAS 2001]. The
- 13 threshold exposure limits are designed to protect the general population, including the elderly, children, and
- other potentially sensitive groups that are generally not considered in the development of workplace exposure
- 15 recommendations (additional information available at http://www.epa.gov/oppt/aegl/).
- 16 Acute reference concentration (Acute RfC): An estimate (with uncertainty spanning perhaps an order of
- 17 magnitude) of a continuous inhalation exposure for an acute duration (24 hours or less) of the human
- 18 population (including sensitive subgroups) that is likely to be without an appreciable risk of deleterious
- 19 effects during a lifetime. It can be derived from a NOAEL, LOAEL, or benchmark concentration, with
- 20 uncertainty factors (UFs) generally applied to reflect limitations of the data used. Generally used in U.S. EPA
- 21 noncancer health assessments [U.S. EPA 2018].
- Acute toxicity: Any poisonous effect produced within a short period of time following an exposure, usually 24 to
   96 hours [U.S. EPA 2018].
- Adverse effect: A substance-related biochemical change, functional impairment, or pathologic lesion that affects
   the performance of an organ or system or alters the ability to respond to additional environmental challenges.
- Benchmark dose/concentration (BMD/BMC): A dose or concentration that produces a predetermined change in
   response rate of an effect (called the benchmark response, or BMR) compared to background [U.S. EPA
   (additional information available at http://www.epa.gov/ncea/bmds/).
- Benchmark response (BMR): An adverse effect, used to define a benchmark dose from which a reference dose
   or concentration can be developed. The change in response rate over background of the BMR is usually in the
   range of 5-10%, which is the limit of responses typically observed in well-conducted animal experiments
   [EPA 2018].
- **BMCL**: A statistical lower confidence limit on the concentration at the BMC [U.S. EPA 2018].
- **Bolus exposure**: A single, relatively large dose.

- Ceiling value ("C"): U.S. term in occupational exposure indicating the airborne concentration of a potentially
   toxic substance that should never be exceeded in a worker's breathing zone.
- Chronic exposure: Repeated exposure for an extended period of time. Typically exposures are more than
   approximately 10% of life span for humans and >90 days to 2 years for laboratory species.

7

- 5 Critical study: The study that contributes most significantly to the qualitative and quantitative assessment of risk
   6 [U.S. EPA 2018].
- 8 Dose: The amount of a substance available for interactions with metabolic processes or biologically significant
   9 receptors after crossing the outer boundary of an organism [U.S. EPA 2018].
- ECt<sub>50</sub>: A combination of the effective concentration of a substance in the air and the exposure duration that is
   predicted to cause an effect in 50% (one half) of the experimental test subjects.
- Emergency Response Planning Guidelines (ERPGs<sup>TM</sup>): Maximum airborne concentrations below which nearly
   all individuals can be exposed without experiencing health effects for 1-hour exposure. ERPGs are presented
- in a tiered fashion, with health effects ranging from mild or transient to serious, irreversible, or life
- threatening (depending on the tier). ERPGs are developed by the American Industrial Hygiene Association[AIHA 2016].
- Endpoint: An observable or measurable biological event or sign of toxicity, ranging from biomarkers of initial
   response to gross manifestations of clinical toxicity.
- Exposure: Contact made between a chemical, physical, or biological agent and the outer boundary of an
   organism. Exposure is quantified as the amount of an agent available at the exchange boundaries of the
   organism (e.g., skin, lungs, gut).
- Extrapolation: An estimate of the response at a point outside the range of the experimental data, generally
   through the use of a mathematical model, although qualitative extrapolation may also be conducted. The
   model may then be used to extrapolate to response levels that cannot be directly observed.
- Hazard: A potential source of harm. Hazard is distinguished from risk, which is the probability of harm under
   specific exposure conditions.
- Immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) condition: A condition that poses a threat of exposure to
   airborne contaminants when that exposure is likely to cause death or immediate or delayed permanent adverse
   health effects or prevent escape from such an environment [NIOSH 2004, 2013].
- 30 IDLH value: A maximum (airborne concentration) level above which only a highly reliable breathing apparatus
   31 providing maximum worker protection is permitted [NIOSH 2004, 2013]. IDLH values are based on a 30 32 minute exposure duration.
- LC<sub>01</sub>: The statistically determined concentration of a substance in the air that is estimated to cause death in 1% of
   the test animals.
- LC<sub>50</sub>: The statistically determined concentration of a substance in the air that is estimated to cause death in 50%
   (one half) of the test animals; median lethal concentration.

viii

LC<sub>LO</sub>: The lowest lethal concentration of a substance in the air reported to cause death, usually for a small
 percentage of the test animals.

3

27

- 4 LD<sub>50</sub>: The statistically determined lethal dose of a substance that is estimated to cause death in 50% (one half) of
   5 the test animals; median lethal concentration.
- 6 LD<sub>LO</sub>: The lowest dose of a substance that causes death, usually for a small percentage of the test animals.
- 7 LEL: The minimum concentration of a gas or vapor in air, below which propagation of a flame does not occur in
   8 the presence of an ignition source.
- 9 Lethality: Pertaining to or causing death; fatal; referring to the deaths resulting from acute toxicity studies. May
   10 also be used in lethality threshold to describe the point of sufficient substance concentration to begin to cause
   11 death.
- Lowest observed adverse effect level (LOAEL): The lowest tested dose or concentration of a substance that has
   been reported to cause harmful (adverse) health effects in people or animals.
- Mode of action: The sequence of significant events and processes that describes how a substance causes a toxic
   outcome. By contrast, the term *mechanism of action* implies a more detailed understanding on a molecular
   level.
- No observed adverse effect level (NOAEL): The highest tested dose or concentration of a substance that has
   been reported to cause no harmful (adverse) health effects in people or animals.
- Occupational exposure limit (OEL): Workplace exposure recommendations developed by governmental agencies and nongovernmental organizations. OELs are intended to represent the maximum airborne
   concentrations of a chemical substance below which workplace exposures should not cause adverse health
   effects. OELs may apply to ceiling limits, STELs, or TWA limits.
- 23 Peak concentration: Highest concentration of a substance recorded during a certain period of observation.
- Permissible exposure limits (PELs): Occupational exposure limits developed by OSHA (29 CFR 1910.1000) or
   MSHA (30 CFR 57.5001) for allowable occupational airborne exposure concentrations. PELs are legally
   enforceable and may be designated as ceiling limits, STELs, or TWA limits.
- Point of departure (POD): The point on the dose–response curve from which dose extrapolation is initiated. This point can be the lower bound on dose for an estimated incidence or a change in response level from a concentration-response model (BMC), or it can be a NOAEL or LOAEL for an observed effect selected from a dose evaluated in a health effects or toxicology study.
- RD<sub>50</sub>: The statistically determined concentration of a substance in the air that is estimated to cause a 50% (one half) decrease in the respiratory rate.
- Recommended exposure limit (REL): Recommended maximum exposure limit to prevent adverse health
   effects, based on human and animal studies and established for occupational (up to 10-hour shift, 40-hour
   week) inhalation exposure by NIOSH. RELs may be designated as ceiling limits, STELs, or TWA limits.

ix

- Short-term exposure limit (STEL): A worker's 15-minute time-weighted average exposure concentration that
   shall not be exceeded at any time during a work day.
- 3 Target organ: Organ in which the toxic injury manifests in terms of dysfunction or overt disease.
- 4 Threshold Limit Values (TLVs<sup>®</sup>): Recommended guidelines for occupational exposure to airborne
- 5 contaminants, published by the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH<sup>®</sup>).
- 6 TLVs refer to airborne concentrations of chemical substances and represent conditions under which it is
- 7 believed that nearly all workers may be repeatedly exposed, day after day, over a working lifetime, without
- 8 adverse effects. TLVs may be designated as ceiling limits, STELs, or 8-hr TWA limits.
- 9 Time-weighted average (TWA): A worker's 8-hour (or up to 10-hour) time-weighted average exposure
  10 concentration that shall not be exceeded during an 8-hour (or up to 10-hour) work shift of a 40-hour week.
  11 The average concentration is weighted to take into account the duration of different exposure concentrations.
- 11 The average concentration is weighted to take into account the duration of different exposure concentration
- **12 Toxicity**: The degree to which a substance is able to cause an adverse effect on an exposed organism.
- 1314 Uncertainty factors (UFs): Mathematical adjustments applied to the POD when developing IDLH values. The
- 15 UFs for IDLH value derivation are determined by considering the study and effect used for the POD, with
- 16 further modification based on the overall database.
- 17 Workplace Environmental Exposure Levels (WEELs<sup>®</sup>): Exposure levels developed by the American
- 18 Industrial Hygiene Association (AIHA<sup>®</sup>) that provide guidance for protecting most workers from adverse
- 19 health effects related to occupational chemical exposures, expressed as TWA or ceiling limits.

### 1 Acknowledgments

- 2
- 3 This document was developed by the Education and Information Division, Paul Schulte, Ph.D., Director. R. Todd
- 4 Niemeier, MS, CIH, was the project officer and lead NIOSH author, assisted in great part by G. Scott Dotson,
- 5 Ph.D. The basis for this document was a report contracted by NIOSH and prepared by Andrew Maier, Ph.D., Ann
- 6 Parker, and Lynn Haber, Ph.D. (Toxicology Excellence for Risk Assessment [TERA]).
- 7
- 8 The following NIOSH staff are acknowledged for providing technical and editorial review of the report:

### 9 Education and Information Division

- 10 Devin Baker, M.Ed.
- 11 Charles L. Geraci, Ph.D.
- 12 Thomas J. Lentz, Ph.D.
- 13 Richard W. Niemeier, Ph.D. (retired)
- 14 Pranav Rane, M.P.H.
- 15 Chris Whittaker, Ph.D.
- 16 17

19

26

18 NIOSH acknowledges the following subject matter experts for their critical technical reviews:

- 20 Michael S. Bisesi, Ph.D., R.E.H.S., C.I.H., Senior Associate Dean for Academic Affairs; Director, Center for
- 21 Public Health Practice; Interim Chair and Associate Professor, Division of Environmental Health Science,
- College of Public Health, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH
- Mary A. Fox, Ph.D., Assistant Professor; Co-Director, Risk Sciences and Public Policy Institute; Department of
   Health Policy and Management, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD
- Bill Luttrell, Ph.D., Chair and Professor, Department of Chemistry and Physics, College of Natural and Health
  Sciences, Oklahoma Christian University, Edmond, OK
- Richard B. Schlesinger, Ph.D., Fellow A.T.S., Senior Associate Dean for Academic Affairs and Research and
   Professor of Biology, Dyson College of Arts and Sciences, Pace University, New York, NY
- 32 33
- 33 34
- 35
- 36
- 37
- 38
- 39



### 1 **1.0 Introduction**

- 2 1.1 Overview of the IDLH Value for Bromine Trifluoride
- 4 **IDLH Value:** 10 ppm (56 mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

**Basis for IDLH Value:** Data were inadequate to directly derive an IDLH value for bromine trifluoride (BrF<sub>3</sub>). 5 6 For this reason, data from studies with chlorine trifluoride ( $ClF_3$ ) were used to develop an IDLH value for  $BrF_3$ because their structures, reaction mechanisms, and potencies are assumed to be similar. Therefore, deriving an 7 IDLH value based on the toxicity data for  $ClF_3$  is appropriately health-protective. The mouse  $LC_{50}$  of 178 ppm of 8 ClF<sub>3</sub> is used as the basis for the IDLH value since it is the most protective [Darmer et al. 1972]. The duration 9 10 adjusted 30-minute LC<sub>50</sub> is 303 ppm. An uncertainty factor of 30 was applied to account for extrapolation from a concentration that is lethal to animals, animal to human differences, and human variability, resulting in a derived 11 12 IDLH value of 10 ppm.

13

15

3

### 14 **1.2 Purpose**

16 This *IDLH Value Profile* presents (1) a brief summary of technical data associated with acute inhalation 17 exposures to  $BrF_3$  and (2) the rationale behind the immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) value for  $BrF_3$ . IDLH values are developed on the basis of scientific rationale and logic outlined in the NIOSH Current 18 19 Intelligence Bulletin (CIB) 66: Derivation of Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health (IDLH) Values [NIOSH] 20 2013]. As described in CIB 66, NIOSH performs in-depth literature searches to ensure that all relevant data from human and animal studies with acute exposures to the substance are identified. Information included in CIB 66 on 21 the literature search includes pertinent databases, key terms, and guides for evaluating data quality and relevance 22 for the establishment of an IDLH value. The information that is identified in the in-depth literature search is 23 evaluated with general considerations that include description of studies (i.e., species, study protocol, exposure 24 concentration and duration), health endpoint evaluated, and critical effect levels (e.g., NOAELs, LOAELs, and 25  $LC_{50}$  values). For BrF<sub>3</sub>, the in-depth literature search was conducted through November 2017. 26

27



#### **Table 1: Physiochemical Properties of Bromine Trifluoride** 1

### 2

\_

Property	Value*
Molecular weight	136.91
Chemical formula	BrF <sub>3</sub>
Description	Colorless to pale yellow liquid
Odor	Not available
Odor Threshold	Not available
UEL	Not flammable
LEL	Not flammable
Vapor pressure	2.803 g/cu cm at 25°C (77°F)
Flash point	Not flammable
Ignition temperature	Not flammable
Solubility	Reacts with water
Reference: * NAS [2014]	

3 4

### 5

### 6 7

8

### Table 2: Alternative Exposure Values for Bromine Trifluoride

Organization	Value	
NIOSH (1994) IDLH value*	None	
NIOSH REL <sup>†</sup>	None	
OSHA PEL <sup>‡</sup>	None	
ACGIH <sup>®</sup> TLV <sup>®§</sup>	None	
AIHA <sup>®</sup> ERPGs <sup>TM¶</sup>	None	
AIHA <sup>®</sup> WEELs <sup>®**</sup>	None	
References: *NIOSH [1994]; <sup>†</sup> NIOSH [20	005]; <sup>‡</sup> OSHA [2018]; <sup>§</sup> ACGIH [2016]; <sup>¶</sup> AIHA [2016]; <sup>**</sup> TERA [2014]	

### 9 10

11

-
<b>ר</b>
/
~

3 4

Classification	10-min	30-min	1-hour	4-hour	8-hour	End point (Reference)
AEGL-1	0.12 ppm 0.67 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Set equal to AEGL-1 values for chlorine trifluoride [NAS 2007]				
AEGL-2	8.1 ppm 45 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	3.5 ppm 20 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	2 ppm 11 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.7 ppm 3.9 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.41 ppm 2.3 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Set equal to AEGL-2 values for chlorine trifluoride [NAS 2007]
AEGL-3	84.0 ppm 470 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	36 ppm 200 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	21 ppm 120 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	7.3 ppm 41 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	7.3 ppm 41 mg/m <sup>3</sup>	Set equal to AEGL-3 values for chlorine trifluoride [NAS 2007]
Reference: NAS [2014]						

### 1 2.0 Human Data

2 3

No reliable toxicity data were available for  $BrF_3$ . Human toxicity information is limited to a statement that 4 concentrations of 50 ppm or more may be fatal in 30 minutes to 2 hours [Braker and Mossman 1980] but 5 insufficient details were available to use this as the basis for an IDLH value. However, based on the chemical and 6 physical properties, as well as data from animal studies (Section 3.0),  $B3F_3$  is likely irritating and corrosive to 7 skin, eyes, mucous membranes and respiratory tract. Hydrogen fluoride (HF) is the principle hydrolysis product 8 of both BrF<sub>3</sub> and chlorine trifluoride (ClF<sub>3</sub>) (3 moles of HF formed per mole of BrF<sub>3</sub>/ ClF<sub>3</sub>). Braker and Mossman 9 10 [1980] reported that the toxic effects of  $BrF_3$  are comparable to those of  $ClF_3$  since the toxicity of halogen fluorides is consistent with their relative reactivity. Limited data indicate that bromine pentafluoride (BrF5) is 11 less toxic than chlorine pentafluoride ( $ClF_5$ ) (consistent with their relative reactivity); BrF<sub>3</sub> is similarly predicted 12 to be less toxic than ClF<sub>3</sub> [Braker and Mossman 1980; NIOSH 2016a, b]. The NAS used a similar strategy for 13 development of the AEGL values for BrF<sub>3</sub> [NAS 2014]. In this case, the AEGL-1, AEGL-2, and AEGL-3 values 14 for BrF<sub>3</sub> were based on ClF<sub>3</sub>, due to their similarities in structural activity with halogen fluorides. In addition, 15 NAS chose to set the AEGL values for  $BrF_3$  to the more toxic analogue,  $ClF_3$  and did not apply any modifying 16 17 factors since BrF<sub>3</sub> was considered to be less toxic [NAS 2007, 2014]. NAS indicated that the use of the ClF<sub>3</sub> AEGL values for BrF<sub>3</sub> would be "reasonably protective" [NAS 2014]. In the absence of human and animal data 18 19 for BrF<sub>3</sub>, ClF<sub>3</sub> data were used to derive an IDLH value for BrF<sub>3</sub>. 20 Reliable human toxicity data for CIF<sub>3</sub> were limited as well. CIF<sub>3</sub> is used as a fluorinator in uranium enrichment 21 and as an igniter in rocket propellants. Depending on ambient temperature it exists as a liquid or gas (boiling 22 point 12°C/53°F) that reacts violently with water and organic or siliceous materials. With moist air or in the 23 respiratory tract CIF<sub>3</sub> disintegrates rapidly into HF, chlorine, chlorine dioxide, and other highly reactive 24 compounds [Dost et al. 1974]. Consequently, the chemical is a potent irritant of mucous membranes, eyes, and 25 skin [Teitelbaum 2001]. Reliable acutely toxic concentration values or an odor threshold for humans were not 26

identified, although Reed et al. [1966] reported without further detail that 50 ppm were lethal to humans within 30minutes to 2 hours.

5

1

2 At sufficiently high concentrations, ClF<sub>3</sub> causes gasping, ocular irritation with lacrimation, cloudiness of the 3 cornea, severe salivation, coughing and dyspnea, skin burns, headache, abdominal pain, and convulsions after a few minutes of exposure. Fatigue may last some time beyond the end of exposure, the corneal damage may 4 5 remain permanent, and skin damage may heal poorly [Cloyd and Murphy 1965]. The National Resource Council (NRC) cited an accident report in which one worker was exposed for 1-2 minutes to unknown concentration of 6 ClF<sub>3</sub> [Longley et al. 1965 (as cited in NRC 1984)]. The worker complained of headache, abdominal pain, and 7 8 breathing difficulty that lasted for approximately 2 hours, however no local or systemic effects were observed. The report indicated that the worker reported to work the day following exposure "with no apparent after-effects 9 except fatigue [Longley et al. 1965 (as cited in NRC 1984)]." The acute symptoms of CIF<sub>3</sub> poisoning resemble 10 11 those caused by HF [Darmer et al. 1972; MacEwen and Vernot 1970]. Also similar to HF, more severe respiratory effects of ClF<sub>3</sub> exposure may develop in a delayed fashion [HSDB 2017; MacEwen and Vernot 1970]. 12

## 13 **3.0 Animal Toxicity Data**

BrF<sub>3</sub> is irritating and corrosive to the skin, eyes, mucous membranes, and respiratory tract. Because of the
absence of empirical data for BrF<sub>3</sub>, this assessment uses ClF<sub>3</sub> as a surrogate because of chemical and toxicological
similarities [Braker and Mossman 1980; NAS 2014] (see Section 2.0 for full explanation of read-across).

18

14

Only limited data on non-lethal effects of ClF<sub>3</sub> were available. Twenty rats exposed to 5.15 ppm ClF<sub>3</sub> for 6 hours 19 appeared unaffected [Horn and Weir 1955]. Two of two dogs exposed to this concentration for 6 hours exhibited 20 salivation, lacrimation, rhinorrhea and blinking of the eyes [Horn and Weir 1955]. The effects seen in dogs were 21 22 not considered escape-impairing. In the same study, a group of 20 rats and 2 dogs were exposed to 21 ppm  $ClF_3$ for 6 hours per day for 2 consecutive days [Horn and Weir 1955]. Rats experienced rhinorrhea and lacrimation 23 after the first exposure period, however no information was provided as to the severity of these effects. It was 24 25 reported that both dogs began experiencing rhinorrhea and lacrimation within 10 minutes of the start of exposure. It was also reported that the dogs "blinked continuously at first and later kept their eyes tightly closed," however, 26 27 the time that these symptoms began was not noted [Horn and Weir 1955]. These effects were considered escapeimpairing in the dogs. Table 4 summarizes non-lethal data reported in animal studies with 30-minute equivalent 28

6

derived values for ClF<sub>3</sub>. Information included in these tables includes species of test animals, toxicological

1

2 metrics (i.e., LC, BMCL, NOAEL, LOAEL), adjusted 30-minute concentration, and the justification for the 3 composite uncertainty factors applied to calculate the derived values. 4 5 Median lethal concentration ( $LC_{50}$ ) and lethal time ( $ET_{50}$ ) values for ClF<sub>3</sub> were evaluated in several animal species. MacEwen and Vernot [1970] exposed mice, rats, and monkeys to ClF<sub>3</sub> for 60 minutes and observed 6 7 lacrimation, salivation, rhinorrhea, and dyspnea that, within a few hours after exposure, turned into bloody 8 discharges if the animals survived. Monkeys also showed signs typical of bronchial and gastrointestinal irritation. 9 Death occurred with delays as long as 36 hours after exposure. Upon death, massive alveolar and interstitial hemorrhage were noted. Near-fatal concentrations resulted in concentration-dependent pulmonary congestion, 10 edema, emphysema, and hemorrhage. The 60-minute LC<sub>50</sub> values were 178 ppm for mice, 299 ppm for rats, and 11 12 230 ppm for monkeys (also reported in Darmer et al. [1972]). 13 Horn and Weir [1955] exposed rats to two concentrations of ClF<sub>3</sub> and determined the effective median time to 14 15 death of 50% of the animals (ET<sub>50</sub>). In rats, the ET<sub>50</sub> at 480 ppm was 40 minutes (all dead within 70 minutes), at 16 96 ppm it was 3.7 hours (observation time after the end of the 4.5-hour exposure to 96 ppm was not stated). Clinical signs appeared within minutes of exposure and included increased activity, nasal flow and salivation, 17 respiratory difficulty, eye irritation, and convulsions and coma shortly before death. Dost et al. [1974, 1967] 18 reported that ClF<sub>3</sub> caused severe inflammation in all exposed tissues, lacrimation, and shallow breathing in male 19 rats. High concentrations made hair appear "singed," caused skin burns, and produced corneal ulceration. These 20 authors also observed that rats surviving  $ClF_3$  exposure for 4 hours did not eat for several days thereafter. Time to 21 death was tested in presence of 400 and 800 ppm CIF<sub>3</sub>; all animals died within 45–90 minutes of exposure to 22 800 ppm for 15 minutes; at longer exposure times, up to 30 minutes, the earliest deaths occurred within 20 23 minutes but some animals survived as long as 160 minutes. At 400 ppm, death occurred after 55–140 minutes 24 25 with  $\geq$ 30 minutes exposure but no deaths were observed at  $\leq$ 25 minutes exposure. NAS [2014] provided an 26 estimated 1-hour LC<sub>50</sub> value of 222 ppm based on these data but indicated that this value may be an underestimate 27 since post-exposure observations were not completed [NAS 2014]. Table 5 summarizes the LC data identified in animal studies and provides 30-minute equivalent derived values for ClF<sub>3</sub>. Information in this table includes 28

7

- 1 species of test animals, toxicological metrics (i.e., LC, BMCL, NOAEL, LOAEL), adjusted 30-minute
- 2 concentration, and the justification for the composite uncertainty factors applied to calculate the derived values.
- 3 4

## Table 4: Non-lethal Concentration Data for Chlorine Trifluoride (CIF3)\*

Reference	Species	Critical non- lethal effect	LOAEL (ppm)	Time (min)	Adjusted 30-min Concentration <sup>†</sup> (ppm)	Composite Uncertainty Factor <sup>‡</sup>	30-min Equivalent Derived Value (ppm) <sup>§</sup>	Final Value (ppm)¶
Horn and Weir [1955]	Dog	Severe lacrimation	21	360	142	10	14.2	14

4 <sup>\*</sup> Data for  $ClF_3$  data is used as a surrogate for derivation of an IDLH value for  $BrF_3$ 

<sup>†</sup>For exposures other than 30 minutes the ten Berge et al. [1986] relationship is used for duration adjustment ( $C^n \times t = k$ ); NAS [2014] provided an empirically estimated n

6 of 1.3 for all time-scaling. Additional information on the calculation of duration adjusted concentrations can be found in NIOSH [2013].

<sup>7</sup> <sup>\*</sup>Composite uncertainty factor to account for interspecies differences, human variability, and extrapolation from a LOAEL to NOAEL.

8 <sup>§</sup>The derived value is the result of the adjusted 30-minute concentration divided by the composite uncertainty factor.

9 Values rounded to the appropriate significant figure.

10 11 12

9

This information is distributed solely for the purpose of pre dissemination peer review under applicable information quality guidelines. It has not been formally disseminated by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health. It does not represent and should not be construed to represent any agency determination or policy.

1 2

3

 Table 5: Lethal Concentration Data for Chlorine Trifluoride (CIF3)\*

Reference	Species	LC <sub>50</sub> (ppm)	ET50 (ppm)	Time (min)	Adjusted 30-min Concentration <sup>†</sup> (ppm)	Composite Uncertainty Factor <sup>‡</sup>	30-min Equivalent Derived Value (ppm) <sup>§</sup>	Final Value (ppm) ¶
Darmer et al. [1972]; MacEwan and	Mouse	178		60	303	30	10.1	10
Vernot [1970]								
Darmer et al.	Monkey	230		60	392	30	13.1	13
[1972]; MacEwen and Vernot [1970]								
Darmer et al. [1972];	Rat	299		60	510	30	17.0	17
MacEwen and Vernot [1970]								
Horn and Weir [1955]	Monkey		480	40	599	30	19.9	20
Horn and Weir [1955]	Monkey		96	222	448	30	14.9	15
Dost [1974]	Rat	222**		60	378	30	12.6	13

10

- 1 \* Data for ClF<sub>3</sub> data is used as a surrogate for derivation of an IDLH value for BrF<sub>3</sub>
- 2 <sup>†</sup>For exposures other than 30 minutes the ten Berge et al. [1986] relationship is used for duration adjustment ( $C^n \times t = k$ ); NAS [2014] provided an empirically estimated n
- 3 of 1.3 for all time-scaling. Additional information on the calculation of duration adjusted concentrations can be found in NIOSH [2013].
- 4 <sup>‡</sup>Composite uncertainty factor to account for adjustment of LC<sub>50</sub> values to LC<sub>01</sub> values, use of lethal concentration threshold in animals, interspecies differences and
- 5 human variability.
- 6 <sup>§</sup>The derived value is the result of the adjusted 30-minute concentration divided by the composite uncertainty factor.
- 7 <sup>¶</sup>Values rounded to the appropriate significant figure.
- 8 \*\*Estimated value based on NAS [2014] extrapolation of Dost [1974] data.
- 9 10

11

### 1 4.0 Summary

2

Inadequate toxicity data were available for BrF<sub>3</sub>. The data on ClF<sub>3</sub> are used to derive an IDLH for BrF<sub>3</sub> because
their structures, reaction mechanisms, and potencies are assumed to be similar. Therefore deriving an IDLH value
based on the toxicity data for ClF<sub>3</sub> is appropriately health-protective [NIOSH 2018]. Braker and Mossman [1980]
reported that the toxic effects of BrF<sub>3</sub> are comparable to those of ClF<sub>3</sub> since the toxicity of halogen fluorides is
consistent with their relative reactivity. In the absence of such data for BrF<sub>3</sub>, ClF<sub>3</sub> was used as a surrogate to
derive an IDLH value for BrF<sub>3</sub>. The NAS used a similar strategy for development of the AEGL values for BrF<sub>3</sub>
[NAS 2014].

10

After adjustment to a 30-minute exposure duration, LC<sub>50</sub> values in experimental animals range from 303 to 599 ppm [Darmer 1972; MacEwen and Vernot 1970; Horn and Weir 1955; Dost et al. 1974, 1967].The mouse LC<sub>50</sub> of 178 ppm is used as the basis for the IDLH value since it results in the most protective adjusted 30 minute LC<sub>50</sub> value[Darmer et al. 1972; MacEwen and Vernot 1970]. The adjusted 30-minute LC<sub>50</sub> is 303 ppm. An uncertainty factor of 30 was applied to account for extrapolation from a concentration that is lethal to animals, animal to human differences, and human variability, resulting in an IDLH value of 10 ppm.

Even though information on sublethal endpoints was available [Horn and Weir 1955], the resulting calculated IDLH value was less protective than the  $LC_{50}$  data that were used to derive the final IDLH value. In addition, the sub-lethal endpoint data presented by Horn and Weir [1955] did not provide sufficient documentation of time to relevant health effects and there was additional uncertainty with the low number of animals tested.

22 23

## 1 **References**

ACGIH (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists) [2017]. Annual TLVs® (Threshold Limit
 Values) and BEIs® (Biological Exposure Indices) booklet. Cincinnati, OH: ACGIH Signature Publications.

AIHA (American Industrial Hygiene Association) [2014]. AIHA Emergency Response Planning (ERP)
 Committee procedures and responsibilities. Fairfax, VA: American Industrial Hygiene Association.

AIHA (American Industrial Hygiene Association) [2016]. Emergency response planning guidelines (ERPG) and
 workplace environmental exposure levels (WEEL) handbook. Fairfax, VA: American Industrial Hygiene
 Association Press.

Braker W, Mossman AL [1980]. Bromine pentafluoride. In: Matheson gas data book. 6<sup>th</sup> ed. Lyndhurst, NJ:
Matheson.

Cloyd DR, Murphy WJ [1965]. Handling hazardous materials. Washington, DC: National Aeronautics and Space
 Administration, NASA SP-5032.

18
19 Darmer KI, Haun CC, MacEwen JD [1972]. The acute inhalation toxicity of chlorine pentafluoride. Am Ind Hyg
20 Assoc J *33*:661–668.

Dost FN, Reed DJ, Smith VN, Wang CH [1974]. Toxic properties of chlorine trifluoride. Toxicol Appl
 Pharmacol 27:527–536.

Dost FN, Reed DJ, Smith VN, Wang CH [1967]. Metabolism and pharmacology of inorganic and fluorine
 containing compounds. Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH: Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories,
 Aerospace Medical Division, Air Force Systems Command, AMRL-TR-67-224.

29 EPA [2018]. Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS): glossary

30 [https://ofmpub.epa.gov/sor\_internet/registry/termreg/searchandretrieve/glossariesandkeywordlists/search.do?deta
 31 ils=&glossaryName=IRIS%20Glossary#formTop]. Date accessed: February 1, 2018.

Horn HJ, Weir RJ [1955]. Inhalation toxicity of chloride trifluoride. I. Acute and subacute toxicity. AMA Arch
Ind Health *12*:515–521.

HSDB [2017]. Hazardous Substances Data Bank [http://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?HSDB] CAS No.
 7790-91-2. Date accessed: November 6, 2017.

38 Longley MY, Pierce JF, Griesemer EC [1965]. A toxic hazard study of selected missile propellants. AMRL-TR-

39 65-99. Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH: Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories (as cited in NRC 1984).

40

21

24

28

- MacEwen JD, Vernot EH [1970]. Toxic Hazards Research Unit. Annual Technical Report: 1970. Wright Patterson Air Force Base, OH: Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, Aerospace Medical Division, Air Force
   Sustame Command, AMPL, TP, 70, 77
- 3 Systems Command, AMRL-TR-70-77. 4 5 NAS [2001]. Standing operating procedures for developing Acute Exposure Guidelines Levels for hazardous 6 chemicals. National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council (NRC), Committee on Toxicology, 7 Subcommittee on Acute Exposure Guide-line Levels. Washington, DC: National Academy Press, IBSN: 0-309-8 07553-X [http://www.epa.gov/sites/production/ files/2015-09/documents/sop final standing operating procedures 2001.pdf]. Date accessed: January 10, 2018 9 10 NAS (National Academy of Science) [2014]. Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEGLs) for selected airborne 11 chemicals, Volume 18: selected halogen fluorides [https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-12 09/documents/halogen\_fluorides\_final\_volume-18\_aug-2014.pdf]. Date accessed January 10, 2018. 13 14 NAS (National Academy of Science) [2007]. Acute Exposure Guideline Levels (AEGLs) for selected airborne 15 16 chemicals, Volume 5: chlorine trifluoride 17 [http://www.epa.gov/oppt/aegl/pubs/chlorinetrifluoride final volume5 2007.pdf]. Date accessed January 10, 2018. 18 19 20 NIOSH [1994]. Documentation for Immediately Dangerous To Life or Health Concentrations (IDLHs): Chlorine trifluroide [http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/idlh/7790912.html]. Date accessed: January 10, 2018. 21 22 NIOSH [2004]. NIOSH Respirator Selection Logic. By: Bollinger N. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health 23 and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and 24 25 Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2005-100. 26 NIOSH [2005]. NIOSH pocket guide to chemical hazards. Barsan ME, ed. Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of 27 Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational 28 29 Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2005-149. 30 NIOSH [2013]. Current intelligence bulletin #66: Derivation of Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health (IDLH) 31 Values, Cincinnati, OH: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and 32 Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 2014-100. 33 34 NIOSH [2016a]. Immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) value profile: Bromine pentafluoride. By Dotson 35 36 GS, Maier A, Parker A, Haber L. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) 37 38 Publication 2017-106. 39
- NIOSH [2016b]. Immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) value profile: Chlorine pentafluoride. By
  Dotson GS, Maier A, Parker A, Haber L. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers
  for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH)
  Publication 2016-170.

1 2 NIOSH [2018]. Immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH) value profile: Chlorine trifluoride (DRAFT). By 3 Niemeier RT, Dotson GS, Maier A, Parker A, Haber L. Cincinnati, OH: US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, 4 5 DHHS (NIOSH) Publication 2018-xxx 6 7 NLM (National Library of Medicine) [2018]. ChemIDplus lite 8 [http://chem.sis.nlm.nih.gov/chemidplus/chemidlite.jsp]. Date accessed: January 10, 2018. 9 10 NRC (National Research Council) [1984]. Emergency and continuous exposure limits for 11 selected airborne contaminants, Volume 2. Washington, DC: The National Academies. 12 OSHA (Occupational Safety and Health Administration) [2018]. Occupational Safety and Health Standards, 29 13 14 CFR 1910. Subpart Z -- Toxic and Hazardous Substances. OSHA; Washington, DC [http://www.osha.gov/pls/oshaweb/owadisp.show document?p table=standards&p id=9992]. Date accessed: 15 16 January 10, 2018. 17 Reed DJ, Dost FN, Wang CH [1966]. Inorganic fluoride propellant oxidizers, Volume I: their effects upon seed 18 germination and plant growth. Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH: Aerospace Medical Research Laboratories, 19 20 Aerospace Medical Division, Air Force Systems Command. 21 ten Berge WF, Zwart A, Appelman LM [1986]. Concentration-time mortality response relationship of irritant and 22 systematically acting vapors and gases. J Haz Mat 13:301-309. 23 24 25 Teitelbaum DT [2001]. The halogens. In: Bingham E, Cohrssen B, Powell CH (eds.), Patty's toxicology, Vol. 3. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., pp. 731-825. 26 27 TERA [2014]. Occupational Alliance for Risk Science-Workplace Environmental Exposure Levels (WEEL)<sup>TM</sup> 28 29 [http://www.tera.org/OARS/WEELs.pdf]. Date accessed: January 10, 2018. 30 31 32