Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola, Etiologic Agent of Snake Fungal Disease, in Europe since Late 1950s

Francesco C. Origgi, Simone R.R. Pisano, Olivier Glaizot, Stefan T. Hertwig, Andreas Schmitz, Sylvain Ursenbacher

The fungus *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* is the etiologic agent of snake fungal disease. Recent findings date US occurrence at least as far back as 1945. We analyzed 22 free-ranging snakes with gross lesions consistent with snake fungal disease from museum collections from Europe. We found 5 positive samples, the oldest collected in 1959.

n the past few decades, fungal agents have surfaced Las relevant threats to conservation and biodiversity among both ectotherms and endotherms (1–3). The emerging fungal agent Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola has been detected in both captive and free-ranging snakes in the United States in the past 16 years (4–7) and more recently in the United Kingdom and Czech Republic (8). O. ophiodiicola fungus has been associated with a variably severe dermatitis but also multisystemic disease (9). Experimental infections (10) demonstrated the causative association between O. ophiodiicola infection and snake fungal disease (SFD), the common name attributed to the disease caused by this fungus. The effect on free-ranging populations of snakes is not completely understood, but many species of snakes appear to be susceptible and different populations appear to have been negatively affected (9,11).

A recent article showed that the earliest evidence of *O. ophiodiicola* infection in North America dates

Author affiliations: University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland (F.C. Origgi, S.R.R. Pisano, S.T. Hertwig); Museum of Zoology, Lausanne, Switzerland (O. Glaizot); University of Lausanne, Lausanne (O. Glaizot); Naturhistorisches Museum Burgergemeinde Bern, Bern (S.T. Hertwig); Natural History Museum of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland (A. Schmitz); University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland (S. Ursenbacher); info fauna CSCF & karch, Neuchâtel, Switzerland (S. Ursenbacher).

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2810.220564

back to 1945 (12). However, records of *O. ophiodiicola* fungi in Europe date back only to 2010–2016 (8). Detection of 2 phylogenetically distinct lineages in the United States and Europe consistent with genetic differences between the clades, presumably reflects independent evolution of the lineages. To acquire additional data about the origins of this agent in Europe, we obtained skin samples from free-ranging snake collections from multiple natural history museums in Switzerland.

The Study

We selected 22 skin samples with macroscopic lesions consistent with SFD out of 1,100 free ranging snakes examined from the collections of 3 natural history museums in Switzerland (Table 1; Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/28/10/22-0564-App1.pdf). We collected tissue samples from the integument of snakes showing obvious macroscopic lesions consistent with dermatitis (Appendix Figure 1). Snake specimens were preserved in 100% ethanol. We collected tissue samples using sterile instrumentation changed between each sampling. We placed each tissue sample in a cryotube containing an aliquot of absolute ethanol. Upon delivery at the laboratory, tissue samples were split into 2 portions for processing for DNA extraction and histopathology (Appendix).

We performed PCR according to various protocols aiming to detect multiple gene targets belonging to the *O. ophiodiicola* genome. Initial screening for the presence of *O. ophiodiicola* fungi was performed by applying a modified PCR protocol (an original protocol performed in a conventional PCR setting) (13) targeting the partial sequence of the intergenic spacer (IGS). We then tested positive samples and, later, negative samples to rule out false-negative results

Sample	Museum	Species	ID	Sex	Year	Location (country)
1	NMBE	Natrix helvetica	1049780	F	2001	Erlach (Switzerland)
2†	NMBE	N. helvetica	1056184	NA	2007	Tavannes (Switzerland)
3‡	NMBE	Vipera aspis	1072979	NA	2015	Grandvillars (Switzerland)
4	MHNG	N. tessellata	1402.040	F	1972	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
5‡	MHNG	N. natrix	851.077	NA	NA	NS (Czech Republic)
6	MHNG	N. natrix	1342.87	NA	1963	Thurgau (Switzerland)
7	MHNG	N. helvetica	1137.18	NA	1967	NS (Italy)
8†	MHNG	N. tessellata	1386.55	F	1969	Tessin (Switzerland)
9	MHNG	N. helvetica	1397.21	NA	1959	NŠ (Italy)
10	MHNG	N. helvetica	2430.91	NA	1986	Zurich (Switzerland)
11†	MHNG	N. maura	1199.084	F	1971	Haute-Savoie (France)
12	MHNG	N. tessellata	1387.60	F	1961	Maggia (Switzerland)
13	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL41123	F	2008	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
14†	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL30407	F	2007	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
15‡	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL41142	М	2009	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
16	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL30508	F	2007	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
17‡	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL40905	F	2012	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
18	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL31837	F	2010	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
19	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL30505	NA	2007	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
20	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL41144	F	2009	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
21†	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL40911	F	2013	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
22	MZL	N. tessellata	MZL31839	М	2010	Lake Geneva (Switzerland)
*Bold indicated I	PCR-positive samples	with presence of fungal hypl	hae. MZL, Museum of	Zoology, Lau	sanne; NMBE	, Natural History Museum of Bern;

Table 1. Museum tissue samples from snakes of genuses Natrix and Vipera used in investigation of snake fungal disease in Europe*

*Bold indicated PCR-positive samples with presence of fungal hyphae. MZL, Museum of Zoology, Lausanne; NMBE, Natural History Museum of Bern; MHNG, Natural History Museum of Geneva; NA, not available; NS, not specified. †PCR-negative samples with presence of fungal hyphae and with histological lesions similar to those observed in the PCR-positive samples.

PCR-regative samples with presence of fungal hyphae and with histological lesions dissimilar to those observed in the PCR-positive samples.

by IGS PCR by using 3 additional newly developed protocols targeting distinct genome sequences: the 5.8–28s RNA internal transcribed spacer (ITS) 2, the transcription elongation factor (TEF), and the actin genes (Appendix). We used nucleotide sequences obtained from each of the readable PCR amplicons for phylogenetic analysis. We used partial sequences from the amplified ITS, TEF, and actin targets to build up a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree for each of the amplified genomic sequences (Appendix). All 22 samples examined for the presence of *O. ophiodiicola* genomic DNA were characterized by gross and microscopic lesions consistent with dermatitis (Table 2; Appendix Figures 1–3).

Overall, we observed fungal elements in 14/22 examined tissue sections. All samples positive for SFD by PCR were characterized by the presence of intralesional fungal hyphae and heterophilic granulomas or microabscesses (Appendix Figures 2, 3); we observed intradermal granulomas in 1 sample, in which we could not histologically detect any fungal elements. When we used the original IGS-PCR protocol (13), 5/22 samples yielded a detectable band (sample numbers 1, 6, 7, 9, and 12). Samples 6, 7, 9, and 12 were also confirmed positive when we used the ITS primer set. Four of 22 samples (6, 7, 9, and vielded a detectable band when we used the actin primer set. Two of 22 samples (9 and 12) yielded a detectable band when we used the TEF primer set. Despite positive IGS amplification, we could not

amplify sample 1 with either the actin or the TEF primer sets. We obtained a nonspecific amplification with the ITS primer set and consequently did not further consider sample 1 for sequence comparison and phylogenetic analysis (Appendix, Figure 4).

Sequence alignments, reflected in the phylogenetic trees (Appendix Figure 4), showed unique singlenucleotide polymorphisms clearly separating the museum samples from Switzerland into either the clade circulating in Europe or the one circulating in North America (Figure) (δ). Results were consistent across the partial sequences of the targeted ITS, TEF, and actin genomic regions. Specifically, samples 7 and 9 from Italy always clustered within the clade from Europe, whereas 6 and 12 from Switzerland clustered within the clade from North America (Appendix Figure 4).

Conclusions

Our research, conducted similarly to an investigation performed in North America, provided evidence of the presence of *O. ophiodiicola* infection in free-ranging snakes in Europe at least since 1959 (12). Our findings were supported by test results for 4 distinct molecular targets and consistent histological findings. Furthermore, all PCR-positive samples confirmed by sequencing were also associated with the presence of intralesional fungal structures consistent with *O. ophiodiicola* and associated with an obvious inflammatory reaction.

Of note, supporting data are consistent with the surprising finding that the proposed clades from both North America and Europe (8) have been present at least since the early 1960s. Furthermore, because our dataset spanned only 1959-2012, O. ophiodiicola fungi might have been present in Europe even before 1959. The significance of both clades existing in Europe will require further investigations. In spite of the absence in the United States of any strain proven to belong to the clade from Europe, introduction cannot be completely ruled out (14). In an alternative scenario, the clade from North America might have been introduced into Europe before the 1950s. At the moment, the colonization of O. ophiodiicola fungi on the European continent appears to have occurred several decades before proposed (8).

Detection of *O. ophiodiicola* fungi in Italy and Switzerland north of the Alps, further expands its known distribution in Europe. Curiously, Switzerland appears to be the only country in Europe where the clade of *O. ophiodiicola* fungi from North America has to date been identified. However, sampling bias secondary to the restricted sampling area selected cannot be ruled out. Finally, although the 2 samples from Switzerland that clustered with the clade from North America were from different regions, the regions are located relatively close geographically to one another (160 km or \approx 100 miles).

In summary, this investigation supports the presence of *O. ophiodiicola* fungi in Europe since at least 1959 with genomic sequences compatible with the 2 known lineages. These results provide critical elements for helping to rethink disease ecology and global distribution of *O. ophiodiicola* fungi and reconstructing its natural history.

Table 2.	Histologic findings from investigation of snake fungal disease in Europe*		
Sample	Light microscopy descriptions	PAS findings	Score†
1	Epidermal hyperplasia with serocellular crusts and histiocytic	Septate fungal hyphae, 3 µm thick,	3
	granulomas; mononuclear to heterophilic dermatitis	branching both at 90 and 45 degrees	
2	Epidermal hyperplasia with serocellular crusts and microabscesses	Rare, septate fungal hyphae, 2–3 µm	2
		thick, branching at 90 degrees	
3	Epidermal ulceration with heterophilic infiltration and histiocytic	Septate fungal hyphae, 3 µm thick,	1
	dermatitis, intralesional bacteria and foreign material	branching at 90 degrees	
4	Ulcerative dermatitis with serocellular crusts and hyperkeratosis	No evidence of fungal hyphae	0
5	Hyperkeratosis	Septate fungal hyphae, embedded in the	1
		keratin, 2–3 μm thick, branching at 90	
		degrees and acute angle	
6	Hyperkeratosis with histiocytic (granulomatous) dermatitis	Septate fungal hyphae, 3–4 µm thick,	3
		branching at acute angle	
7	Heterophilic granulomas and microabscesses in the epidermis	Rare fungal hyphae, 3 µm thick embedded	3
		or associated with the microgranulomas	
8	Hyperkeratosis with serocellular crusts, epidermal microgranulomas	Septate fungal hyphae, 3 µm thick,	2
	and lymphocytic dermatitis	branching at 90 degrees and acute angle	
9	Large crusts surrounded by histiocytic to heterophilic infiltrate and	Fungal hyphae in the crusts, 2–3 µm thick	3
	multifocal microgranulomas		
10	Few crust fragments admixed with bacteria	No detectable fungal hyphae	0
11	Lympho-histiocytic dermatitis with dermal heterophilic granulomas	Rare fragmented hyphae in the	2
		heterophilic granulomas	
12	Serocellular crusts together with large heterophilic granulomas and	Septate fungal hyphae, 3 µm thick,	3
	more diffused histiocytic infiltration; lympho-histiocytic dermatitis	branching at 90 degrees or acute angle	
13	Small serocellular crusts	No evidence of fungal hyphae	0
14	Small and rare heterophilic granulomas	Fragments of fungal hyphae in	2
		microgranulomas	
15	A small serocellular crust	Few fungal septate hyphae, 2–3 µm thick,	1
	• • • • • • • • • • •	branching at 90 degrees	
16	Severe dermal edema with isolated inflammatory cells	No obvious fungal elements	0
17	Serocellular crusts with intralesional bacteria	Fragments of non-septate hyphae	1
18	Hyperkeratosis with upper keratin heterophilic to histiocytic infiltration	No obvious fungal elements	0
19	Serocellular crust	No obvious fungal elements	0
20	Intradermal heterophilic granulomas	No obvious fungal elements	0
21	Epidermal heterophilic granulomas with serocellular crusts	Septate fungal hyphae, 2–3 µm thick,	2
		branching at 90 degrees	
22	Intraepidermal crusts with heterophilic granulomas and intralesional	No obvious fungal elements	0
	bacteria		

*PAS, periodic acid-Schiff.

†Subjective scoring system complementing morphologic and molecular data; 0, PCR-negative with no histologic evidence of fungi; 1, PCR-negative with presence of fungi but without lesions consistent with those observed in PCR-positive samples (absence of heterophilic granulomas); 2, PCR-negative with presence of fungi and lesions consistent with snake fungal disease; 3, PCR-positive with presence of fungi consistent with *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola*.

Α	412	European clade)*
NHWC 45692-02	AGC TTG GA	A AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	ATTGG
NHWC 45692-12	AGC TTG GA	A AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	ATTGG
NHWC 45707-82	AGC TTG GA	A AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TT GG
NHWC 45707-83	AGC TTG GA	A AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TT GG
NHWC 45707-84	AGC TTG GA	A AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TT GG
Sample 7	AGC TTG GA	A AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TT GG
Sample 9	AGC TTG GA	A AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TT GG
NHWC 45707-81	AGC TTG GA	A AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TT GG
UAMC 6218	AGC TTG GA	GAG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TATT GG
UAMH 6642	AGC TTG GA.	GAG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TATT GG
UAMH 10768	AGC TTG GA	G AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA CI	TATT GG
UAMH 10769	AGC TTG GA	G AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TATT GG
NHWC 23942-01	AGC TTG GA.	G AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA CI	TATT GG
Sample 6	AGC TTG GA	GAG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA CI	TTGG
Sample 12	AGC TTG GA	GAG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA CI	TATT GG
UAMH 6688	AGC TTG GA	AG AT CTT AT GAG CT TCC TG ACG GC CAA GT CAT TA C	TTGG

	В	336	4 * ₃₅₇
ľ	UAMH 6688	-GTA1	G TTAATATGTTTCC CC
I	UAMH 6218	-GTA1	G TTAATATGTTTCA CC
I	UAMH 6642	-GTA]	G CTAAT ATGTT T <mark>CA</mark> CC C
I	UAMH 10768	-GTA1	G CTAATATGTTTCA CC
I	NWHC 23942-01	-GTA1	G TTAATATGTTTCA CC
I	UAMH 10769	-GTA]	G CTAATATGTTTCA CCC
l	Sample_12	-GTA1	G DTAATATGTTTCA CC
ſ	NWHC 45692-02	-GTAJZ	A CTAATATGTTTC <mark>C</mark> CC
I	NWHC 45692-12	-GTAJZ	A CTAAT ATGTT T <mark>CC</mark> CC C
I	NHWC 45707-82	-GTAJ	TAATATGTTTCC CC
I	NHWC 45707-83	-GTAJ	A CTAATATGTTTCC CC
I	NWHC 45707-84	-GTAJ	A CTAATATGTTTCC CC
I	Sample_9	-GTAJ	A CTAATATGTTTCC CCC
I	NWHC 45707-81	-GTAJ	A CTAATATGTTTCC CCC

	443	2 * 486
NHWC 23942-01	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	T GC CC ACC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
NWHC 24266-06-03-01	AG CCC GG CTT GT GTG TT GGG GG	F GC CCA CC CCG AA GTC CT CGG G
UAMH 6218	AG CCC GG CTT GT GTG TT GGG GG	F GC CCA CC CCG AA GTC CT CGG G
UAMH 9832	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGC	T GC CC ACC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
UAMH 6642	AG CC CGG CT TGT GT GTT GG GGG	T GC CC ACC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
UAMH 10717	AG CCC GG CTT GT GTG TT GGG GG	F GC CCA CC CCG AA GTC CT CGG G
UAMH 10079	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	T GC CC ACC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
UAMH 10769	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	T GC CC ACC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
Sample_6	AG CC CGG CT TGT GT GTT GG GGC	T GC CC ACC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
Sample_12	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	T GC CC ACC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
NWHC 45692-02	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	C GC CC GCC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
NWHC 45692-12	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	C GC CC GCC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
NWHC 45707-82	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGC	C GC CC GCC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
NWHC 45707-83	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGC	C GC CC GCC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
NWHC 45707-84	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	C GC CC GCC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
NWHC 45707-81	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	C GC CC GCC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
Sample_7	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	C GC CC GCC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
Sample_9	AG CC CGG CT TGT GT GTT GG GGG	C GC CC GCC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
R-3923	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGG	C GC CC ACC CC GAA GT CCT CG GG
NWHC 24281-01-04-01	AG CCC GG CTT GT GTG TT GGG GG	GCCCACCCCGAAGTCCTCGGG
Myco_Ariz-An0400001	AG CCC GG CTT GT GTG TT GGG GG	GCCCACCCCGAAGTCCTCGGG
UAMH 11295	AGCCCGGCTTGTGTGTGTGGGGC	C G C C C C C C G A A G T C C T C G G G
UAMH 10768	AG CC CGG CT TGT GT GTT GG GGC	CGCCCACCCCGAAGTCCTCGGG
UAMH 6688	AG CC CGG CT TGT GT GTT GG GGG	C GC CC ACC CC GA – GT CCT CG GG

Figure. Nucleotide 461 sequence alignment of selected sections of Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola from free-ranging snake collections from multiple natural history museums in Switzerland (bold) compared with reference sequences. Amplicons obtained with different PCR primer sets highlight singlenucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs, red boxes) unique to either the European (pastel gold) or American (pastel green) clades. PCR primer results: A) actin; B) transcription elongation factor; and C) internal transcribed spacer. The isolate UAMH 6688 (UK strain) shares 2/5 unique SNPs with the members of the clade from North America, whereas 3 of them (single asterisks) are shared with strains from Europe. These differences match the divergent branching of this strain in the clades from both North America and Europe. Similarly, 5 others fungal isolates (double asterisks)-R-3923; NWHC 24281-01-04-01, Myco Ariz-An0400001, UAMH 11295, and UAMH 10768, in addition to UAMH 6688, originating from the United States, Australia, and the United Kingdomshared the internal transcribed spacer SNP of the clade from Europe and clustered consistently in an intermediate group in the corresponding phylogenetic tree (Appendix Figure 4, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/ EID/article/28/10/22-0564-App1.pdf).

Emerging Infectious Diseases • www.cdc.gov/eid • Vol. 28, No. 10, October 2022

DISPATCHES

Acknowledgments

We thank the Natural History Museum of Bern, the Natural History Museum of Geneva, the Museum of Zoology of Lausanne, and David Guerra for help during the sampling.

This work was partially financed by the Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (grant no. 05.0040.PZ/R413-2016).

About the Author

Dr. Origgi is a veterinary microbiologist and pathologist with strong interest in infectious diseases and associated pathogenesis in wildlife and nondomestic animals.

References

- Hoyt JR, Kilpatrick AM, Langwig KE. Ecology and impacts of white-nose syndrome on bats. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2021;19:196– 210. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-020-00493-5
- Martel A, Spitzen-van der Sluijs A, Blooi M, Bert W, Ducatelle R, Fisher MC, et al. *Batrachochytrium* salamandrivorans sp. nov. causes lethal chytridiomycosis in amphibians. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2013;110:15325–9. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1307356110
- O'Hanlon SJ, Rieux A, Farrer RA, Rosa GM, Waldman B, Bataille A, et al. Recent Asian origin of chytrid fungi causing global amphibian declines. Science. 2018;360:621–7. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar1965
- Clark RW, Marchand MN, Clifford BJ, Stechert R, Stephens S. Decline of an isolated timber rattlesnake (*Crotalus horridus*) population: interactions between climate change, disease, and loss of genetic diversity. Biol Conserv. 2011;144:886–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.12.001
- Allender MC, Dreslik M, Wylie S, Phillips C, Wylie DB, Maddox C, et al. *Chrysosporium* sp. infection in eastern massasauga rattlesnakes. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17:2383–4. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1712.110240
- Sigler L, Hambleton S, Paré JA. Molecular characterization of reptile pathogens currently known as members of the *Chrysosporium* anamorph of *Nannizziopsis vriesii* complex and

relationship with some human-associated isolates. J Clin Microbiol. 2013;51:3338–57. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JCM.01465-13

- McKenzie CM, Oesterle PT, Stevens B, Shirose L, Lillie BN, Davy CM, et al. Pathology associated with ophidiomycosis in wild snakes in Ontario, Canada. Can Vet J. 2020;61:957–62.
- Franklinos LHV, Lorch JM, Bohuski E, Rodriguez-Ramos Fernandez J, Wright ON, Fitzpatrick L, et al. Emerging fungal pathogen *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola* in wild European snakes. Sci Rep. 2017;7:3844. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41598-017-03352-1
- Lorch JM, Knowles S, Lankton JS, Michell K, Edwards JL, Kapfer JM, et al. Snake fungal disease: an emerging threat to wild snakes. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 2016;371:20150457.
- Lorch JM, Lankton J, Werner K, Falendysz EA, McCurley K, Blehert DS. Experimental infection of snakes with Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola causes pathological changes that typify snake fungal disease. mBio, 2015;6:e01534–15. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.01534-15
- Davy CM, Shirose L, Campbell D, Dillon R, McKenzie C, Nemeth N, et al. Revisiting Ophidiomycosis (snake fungal disease) after a decade of targeted research. Front Vet Sci. 2021;8:665805. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.665805
- Lorch JM, Price SJ, Lankton JS, Drayer AN. Confirmed cases of ophidiomycosis in museum specimens from as early as 1945, United States. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27:1986–9. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2707.204864
- Bohuski E, Lorch JM, Griffin KM, Blehert DS. TaqMan real-time polymerase chain reaction for detection of *Ophidiomyces ophiodiicola*, the fungus associated with snake fungal disease. BMC Vet Res. 2015;11:95. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12917-015-0407-8
- Ladner JT, Palmer JM, Ettinger CL, Stajich JE, Farrell TM, Glorioso BM, et al. The population genetics of the causative agent of snake fungal disease indicate recent introductions to the USA. PLoS Biol. 2022;20:e3001676. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pbio.3001676

Address for correspondence: Francesco C. Origgi, Institute of Animal Pathology (ITPA), Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Länggassstrasse 122, CH-3012, Bern, Switzerland; email: francesco.origgi@vetsuisse.unibe.ch