Acknowledgments

We thank Irina Suomalainen and Johanna Martikainen for excellent technical assistance. We acknowledge CSC–IT Center for Science Ltd. (Espoo, Finland) for the allocation of computational resources.

This work was supported by the Jane and Aatos Erkko Foundation, Orion Research Foundation, Otto A. Malm Foundation, Jenny and Antti Wihuri Foundation, Sigrid Jusélius Foundation, and Helsinki University Hospital Funds (TYH2016258).

Dr. Kuivanen is a postdoctoral researcher at the Helsinki University Hospital. Her research focus is on neurotropic flavivirus pathogenesis.

References

- Lindquist L, Vapalahti O. Tick-borne encephalitis. Lancet. 2008; 371:1861–71. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60800-4
- Simmonds P, Becher P, Bukh J, Gould EA, Meyers G, Monath T, et al.; ICTV Report Consortium. ICTV virus taxonomy profile: *Flaviviridae*. J Gen Virol. 2017;98:2–3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ jgv.0.000672
- Bogovic P, Strle F. Tick-borne encephalitis: a review of epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and management. World J Clin Cases. 2015;3:430–41. http://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v3.i5.430
- Jääskeläinen A, Tonteri E, Pieninkeroinen I, Sironen T, Voutilainen L, Kuusi M, et al. Siberian subtype tick-borne encephalitis virus in *Ixodes ricinus* in a newly emerged focus, Finland. Ticks Tick Borne Dis. 2016;7:216–23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ttbdis.2015.10.013
- Jääskeläinen AE, Tonteri E, Sironen T, Pakarinen L, Vaheri A, Vapalahti O. European subtype tick-borne encephalitis virus in *Ixodes persulcatus* ticks. Emerg Infect Dis. 2011;17:323–5. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1702.101487

Address for correspondence: Suvi Kuivanen, Department of Virology, University of Helsinki, Haartmaninkatu 3, 00290 Helsinki, Finland; email: suvi.kuivanen@helsinki.fi

Zika Virus IgG in Infants with Microcephaly, Guinea-Bissau, 2016

Maiken Worsøe Rosenstierne, Frederik Schaltz-Buchholzer, Fernanda Bruzadelli, Asson Có, Placido Cardoso, Charlotte Sværke Jørgensen, Johan Michiels, Leo Heyndrickx, Kevin K. Ariën, Thea Kølsen Fischer,¹ Anders Fomsgaard¹ Author affiliations: Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark (M.W. Rosenstierne, F. Schaltz-Buchholzer, C.S. Jørgensen, T.K. Fischer, A. Fomsgaard); Bandim Health Project, Bissau, Guinea-Bissau (F. Schaltz-Buchholzer); Field Epidemiology Training Program, Bissau (F. Bruzadelli, A. Có); Instituto Nacional de Saúde Pública, Bissau (P. Cardoso); Institute of Tropical Medicine Antwerp, Antwerp, Belgium (J. Michiels, L. Heyndrickx, K.K. Ariën); University of Antwerp, Antwerp (K.K. Ariën); University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark (A. Fomsgaard)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2405.180153

We analyzed blood samples from infants born with microcephaly and their mothers in Guinea-Bissau in 2016 for pathogens associated with birth defects. No Zika virus RNA was detected, but Zika virus IgG was highly prevalent. We recommend implementing pathogen screening of infants with congenital defects in Guinea-Bissau.

In 2016, the health authorities in Guinea-Bissau reported 4 cases of Zika virus infection and 5 cases of microcephaly (1) to the World Health Organization. The Zika virus strain detected in Guinea-Bissau was the African strain (1) originally detected in Africa in 1947 and in Portuguese Guinea (now Guinea-Bissau) during 1964–1965 (2). As of March 2018, the Asian strain, which has spread throughout the Americas and Cape Verde (2) and is linked to microcephaly and other congenital abnormalities, has not been reported in Guinea-Bissau (3), and the African Zika virus strain has not been linked with microcephaly.

We report an in-depth investigation of pathogens commonly associated with birth defects in 15 infants born with microcephaly in Guinea-Bissau in 2016. Field epidemiologists identified cases of microcephaly through reports from health center personnel across the country and surveillance at Hospital Nacional Simão Mendes in Bissau, Guinea-Bissau (which has 6,000 births/y). Most cases were found in the northern and eastern regions (Gabú, Bafatá, and Oio) of Guinea-Bissau (online Technical Appendix Tables 1, 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/24/5/18-0153-Techapp1.pdf). Blood samples were collected from the mothers (median age 22 years, range 15-31 years) and infants (median age 5 months, range 1 day-9 months) and sent to Statens Serum Institut (Copenhagen, Denmark) for analysis. Three infants died before sampling, and 1 sample was lost during transport; hence, we analyzed blood samples from 11 of the 15 infants with microcephaly. For comparison, we also analyzed blood samples from 10 mothers (from Tantam Cossé, Bafatá region) of infants born without microcephaly (M.W. Rosenstierne, unpub. data). We assayed for Zika virus and TORCH pathogens (*Toxoplasma*

¹These senior authors contributed equally to this article.

gondii, other [*Treponema pallidum*, varicella-zoster virus, parvovirus B19], rubella virus, cytomegalovirus [CMV], and herpes simplex virus) (online Technical Appendix Tables 1, 2) because these pathogens are most commonly associated with congenital anomalies (4,5).

Zika virus IgG immunofluorescence assay and Zika virus neutralization test (6,7) results revealed that 14 (93%) of the 15 mothers of infants with microcephaly had Zika virus neutralizing antibodies (NAbs) (online Technical Appendix Tables 1, 2) versus 5 (50%) of the 10 mothers of healthy infants (data not shown). We tested blood samples from the 11 infants with microcephaly for Zika virus NAbs, and all were positive (presumably maternal antibodies) (online Technical Appendix Tables 1, 2). We did not perform this assay with samples from the healthy infants. No samples were positive for Zika virus RNA or IgM or had cross-neutralizing antibodies to dengue virus. Thus, the Zika virus seroprevalence among Guinea-Bissau women was surprisingly high and significantly higher in the mothers of infants with birth defects (p = 0.02 by Fisher exact test). However, timing of the Zika virus infection and strain could not be determined.

Because of sample volume limitations, we tested only 10 of 15 mothers for TORCH antibodies and all 11 infants with birth defects and available blood samples for TORCH pathogen nucleic acids (online Technical Appendix Tables 1, 2). Four infant blood samples were positive for CMV JDNA and IgG but only 2 were positive for CMV IgM (online Technical Appendix Tables 1, 2). Two of these infants' mothers were CMV IgG positive (the other 2 were not tested), and 1 mother tested positive for CMV IgM. Because sampling of infants was mainly performed 5 months postpartum rather than during the first 2–3 weeks postpartum (5,8), determining whether the CMV infections were congenital or acquired perinatally or postnatally (e.g., through breast milk) was not possible.

The mother whose infant died 5 days after birth was positive for *Toxoplasma* IgG (online Technical Appendix Tables 1, 2). However, samples from this child were not collected for analysis, so we could not determine whether the infant died of severe congenital toxoplasmosis. As expected, almost all mothers were positive for antibodies against parvovirus (70%), varicella-zoster virus (90%), rubella virus (90%), CMV (90%), and herpes simplex virus (100%).

Although we found a high prevalence of Zika virus NAbs and TORCH antibodies in mothers and infants, the late sampling of infants and lack of Zika virus RNA–positive samples precludes determination of the cause of microcephaly in these infants. On the basis of our findings, we propose implementing prospective surveillance in Guinea-Bissau for infants with easily identifiable congenital abnormalities, such as microcephaly (i.e., head circumference 2 standard deviations below average for age and sex) (9), microphthalmia, and hearing loss, and screening these infants for Zika virus and TORCH by using blood, saliva, and urine samples collected immediately or within the first 2–3 weeks after birth. The low prevalence (0.6%) of microcephaly reported in 2015 (10) makes this suggestion feasible in resource-poor countries. If the Asian Zika virus strain is detected in Guinea-Bissau, screening of pregnant women during their first trimester should also be implemented. However, the 2-step surveillance and screening model can be applied in countries without reported detection of the Asian Zika virus strain.

Acknowledgments

We thank Geraldo Chambé, Alfredo Biague, Polunte Marinela Vieira, Agostinho Betunde, Rosa Caetano, Winsan Nandingna, Lucely Cordeiro, Mancel Martinez, Potica Ca, and Sabado Fernandes for their help with this study.

K.K.A. is supported by ZikaPLAN through the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant no. 734584).

About the Author

Dr. Rosenstierne is a senior scientist specializing in infectious disease and molecular diagnostics at Statens Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark. Her research interests are emerging viruses, zoonosis, and diagnostics.

References

- Gulland A. Continued spread of Zika raises many research questions, WHO says. BMJ. 2016;354:i4812. http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1136/bmj.i4812
- Musso D, Gubler DJ. Zika virus. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2016;29:487– 524. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00072-15
- Rasmussen SA, Jamieson DJ, Honein MA, Petersen LR. Zika virus and birth defects—reviewing the evidence for causality. N Engl J Med. 2016;374:1981–7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsr1604338
- Coyne CB, Lazear HM. Zika virus—reigniting the TORCH. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2016;14:707–15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ nrmicro.2016.125
- Devakumar D, Bamford A, Ferreira MU, Broad J, Rosch RE, Groce N, et al. Infectious causes of microcephaly: epidemiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18:e1–13. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30398-5
- De Smet B, Van den Bossche D, van de Werve C, Mairesse J, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Michiels J, et al. Confirmed Zika virus infection in a Belgian traveler returning from Guatemala, and the diagnostic challenges of imported cases into Europe. J Clin Virol. 2016;80:8–11. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2016.04.009
- Van Esbroeck M, Meersman K, Michiels J, Ariën KK, Van den Bossche D. Letter to the editor: specificity of Zika virus ELISA: interference with malaria. Euro Surveill. 2016;21:30237. http://dx.doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2016.21.21.30237
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Identifying congenital cytomegalovirus (CMV) early in life: information for healthcare provider [cited 2018 Jan 30]. https://www.cdc.gov/cmv/downloads/ identifying-cmv.pdf

RESEARCH LETTERS

- World Health Organization. Screening, assessment and management of neonates and infants with complications associated with Zika virus exposure in utero. 2016 Aug 30 [cited 2018 Jan 30]. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/204475/1/WHO_ ZIKV MOC 16.3 eng.pdf?ua=1
- Betunde AG. Casos de microcefalia en Guinea Bissau, 2015–2016.
 2016 [cited 2018 Jan 30]. http://vigisalud.gov.py/muestras/

VIImuestra/Presentaciones_orales/dia1_LUNES_12-12-2016/ PO_tarde_12_12_16/PO11.pdf

Address for correspondence: Maiken Worsøe Rosenstierne, Statens Serum Institut, Department of Microbiological Diagnostics and Virology, Artillerivej 5 Copenhagen S 2300, Denmark; email: mwr@ssi.dk

LETTERS

Heterogeneous and Dynamic Prevalence of Asymptomatic Influenza Virus Infections

Nancy H.L. Leung, Benjamin J. Cowling

Author affiliation: The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2405.160782

To the Editor: We read with interest the article by Furuya-Kanamori et al. on the proportion of influenza virus infections that are asymptomatic or subclinical (1), and we are troubled by a series of fundamental flaws and errors. We were concerned that the authors presented pooled estimates of the asymptomatic fraction, given the massive heterogeneity in estimates (I² values of 97%-98% in Table 1). It is not considered good practice to present pooled estimates in instances of massive heterogeneity (2). We were very surprised that the authors included volunteer challenge studies because it is well known that the severity of these infections can be modulated by the route of administration and possibly the infectious dose. We also were surprised that human infections with avian influenza viruses were included because the epidemiology of these infections differs markedly from that of human influenza viruses. These studies were mistakenly labeled as studies of pandemic influenza in online Technical Appendix 1 Table 1 (https://wwwnc. cdc.gov/EID/article/22/6/15-1080-Techapp1.pdf). When reviewing serologic studies, the authors did not define a specific antibody titer threshold but relied on the choices made in individual studies; studies that inferred influenza virus infections based on low postepidemic hemagglutination-inhibition titers, such as 10 or 20, may lack specificity because some persons could have preexisting antibodies (3). Measurement error can also be a concern. The authors probably should have excluded such studies.

In another systematic review of the asymptomatic fraction of influenza virus infections (4), we found that study designs could explain a great deal of heterogeneity in the asymptomatic fraction in studies such as outbreak investigations that used molecular testing to confirm influenza virus infections rather than serologic studies that used antibody titer measurements to indicate infections. Asymptomatic fractions were higher in general, and much more heterogeneous, in studies that followed the latter approach.

The authors received financial support from the Harvard Center for Communicable Disease Dynamics from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (grant no. U54 GM088558), the Area of Excellence Scheme of the University Grants Committee of Hong Kong (grant no. AoE/M-12/06), and a commissioned grant from the Health and Medical Research Fund from the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region.

References

- Furuya-Kanamori L, Cox M, Milinovich GJ, Magalhaes RJ, Mackay IM, Yakob L. Heterogeneous and dynamic prevalence of asymptomatic influenza virus infections. Emerg Infect Dis. 2016;22:1052–6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2206.151080
- Greenland S, O'Rourke K. Meta-analysis. In: Rothman KJ, Greenland S, Lash TL, editors. Modern epidemiology. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Wolters Kluwer Health/Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2008. p. 652.
- Broberg E, Nicoll A, Amato-Gauci A. Seroprevalence to influenza A(H1N1) 2009 virus—where are we? Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2011;18:1205–12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/CVI.05072-11
- Leung NH, Xu C, Ip DK, Cowling BJ. The fraction of influenza virus infections that are asymptomatic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Epidemiology. 2015;26:862–72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/EDE.00000000000340

Address for correspondence: Benjamin J. Cowling, WHO Collaborating Centre for Infectious Disease Epidemiology and Control, School of Public Health, The University of Hong Kong, 21 Sassoon Rd, Pokfulam, Hong Kong; email: bcowling@hku.hk

Luis Furuya-Kanamori, Laith Yakob

Author affiliations: Qatar University, Doha, Qatar (L. Furuya-Kanamori); Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia (L. Furuya-Kanamori); London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (L. Yakob)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2405.180075

Zika Virus IgG in Infants with Microcephaly, Guinea-Bissau, 2016

Technical Appendix

Technical Appendix Table 1. Epidemiologic characteristics and diagnostics test results of infants with microcephaly and their mothers, cases A-G, Guinea-Bissau, 2016*

	Case														
Category		4	В			С	D		E			F		G	
Patient	Infant†	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant†	Mother	Infant†	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	
Age	1 m‡	<u>18 y</u>	4 m	24 y	5 d‡	18 y	9 m	30 y	3 m	20 y	5 m	30 y	5 m	22 y	
Image of infant															
Region		fatá		ifatá		fatá	-	lio		abú		abú	-	afatá	
Village		Gaulo		n Cossé		itubel		acra		ntuma		ima		m Cossé	
Symptoms of mother during pregnancy	Periodic headache		Weakness		None		None		Periodic headache		Fever		,	headache, stomach pain	
Month of birth	August		September		August		June		May		Ju	ine	May		
Birthweight	Not reported		Not reported		Not reported		2,900 g		2,800 g		Not re	ported	Not reported		
Weight at sampling	2,200 g		3,550 g		Not reported		Not reported		Not reported		Not reported		6,200 g		
Infant sex	M			F	F		F		F		Μ		М		
Infant head circumference (1) at birth Girls: reference range (31.7–36.1 cm) Boys: reference range (32.1–36.9 cm)	25 cm		26 cm		25 cm		Not reported Not repo		ported	Not reported		Not reported			
Infant head circumference at sampling Girls: reference range (39.0–43.9 cm) Boys: reference range (40.3–44.8 cm)	Not reported		Not reported		Not reported		31.5 cm		Not reported		Not reported		3	5 cm	
Clinical symptoms	Microc	ephaly	Microcephaly		Microcephaly		Microcephaly		Microcephaly		Microcephaly		Microcephaly		
Pathogen, diagnostic test															
Zika virus															
RT-qPCR§	NA	_	-	_	NA	_	NA	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
IgM¶	NA	_	_	_	NA	-	NA	-	_	_	_	-	_	_	
IgG¶	NA	+	+	+	NA	_	NA	+	+	+	+	+	+	_	
Nab#	NA	>640	200	>640	NA	>640	NA	480	240	400	>640	538	80	>640	
Dengue virus															
IgM¶	NA	_	_	_	NA	_	NA	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
IgG¶	NA	+	_	+	NA	+	NA	_	+	+	_	_	_	_	
Nab#	NA	NA	_	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	53	NA	NA	NA	_	NA	
Chikungunya virus															

Category Patient								Case						
		Α		В		С		D		E		F		G
	Infant†	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant†	Mother	Infant†	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother
IgM¶	NA	-	-	_	NA	_	NA	-	_	_	_	_	_	-
IgG¶	NA	+	+	+	NA	+	NA	-	+	+	_	-	_	_
Toxoplasma gondii														
IgM**		NA		_		-		-		-		NA		_
IgG**				_		230		-		-				_
Treponema pallidum														
lgG††		NA		_		-		-		-		NA		_
Parvovirus														
qPCR‡‡	NA		-		NA		NA		-		_		-	
IgG¶¶		NA		0.74		0.90		0.64		0.90		NA		0.89
Varicella-zoster virus														
<i>q</i> PCR‡‡	NA		-		NA		NA		-		_		-	
lgG††		NA		INC		800		800		800		NA		200
Rubella virus														
qPCR‡‡	NA		_		NA		NA		_		_		_	
IgG§§		NA		80		102		87		160		NA		INC
Cytomegalovirus														
qPCR‡‡	NA		-		NA		NA		-		_		-	
IgM††		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA		NA
lgG††		NA		>640		40		-		80		NA		5
Herpes simplex virus														
qPCR‡‡	NA		_		NA		NA		_		_		_	
IgG††		NA		1.98		1.85		2.23		1.82		NA		1.65

*INC, inconclusive; NA, not analyzed; Nab, neutralizing antibody; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative PCR; qPCR, quantitative PCR.

†Samples not send to Statens Serum Institut (Copenhagen, Denmark) for analysis.

‡Deceased.

§In-house PCR modified from Faye et al (2).

Arbovirus Fever Mosaic 2 (Zika virus, chikungunya virus, dengue virus) (IgG/IgM) (Euroimmun AG,Luebeck, Germany).

#Neutralization assay with African strain MR766. Positive antibody titers are shown.

**VIDAS TOXO IgG II (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). Positive antibody titers are shown.

††In-house ELISA. Positive antibody titers are shown.

‡‡In-house qPCR. Positive Cq values are shown.

§§ Enzygnost anti-Rubella-Virus IgG (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). Positive antibody titers are shown.
¶¶LIAISON Biotrin Parvovirus B19 IgG (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy). Positive antibody titers are shown.

i		Case																															
Category		Н			J K					L		Μ		Ν	0																		
Patient	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant	Mother	Infant †	Mother																	
Age	5 m	18 y	7 m	30 y	4 m	22 y	8 m	25 y	4 m	15 y	5 m	31 y	5 m	18 y	1 d‡	22 y																	
Image of infant									J.						and the second																		
Region		afatá	Bol	ama	Ba	afatá	Gal			arim		fatá		afatá		abú																	
Village	Те	Tendito Bolama C			nbadju	Da			arim		tole		itole	-	abú																		
Symptoms of mother during	Fe	ever,		dache,	Fe	ever,	Fever, arthral		Head	dache	Wea	kness,	Fe	ever,	N	one																	
pregnancy	head weal	algia, dache, kness, ach pain		ginal harge		dache, kness	headache, anemia (reco transfu	eived blood			stoma	ich pain	wea	dache, kness, ach pain																			
Month of birth		lav	Ma	arch	Jı	une	Febru	Jarv	Ju	une	N	lav	Ν	/lay	Au	gust																	
Birthweight		eported		00 q	Not re	eported	Not rep		2,3	00 g		eported		200 g	Not reported																		
Weight at sampling Infant sex	Not re	eported F		eported F		900 g F	Not rep Not rep		Not re	eported M		00 g F		o info F		, ported M																	
Infant head circumference (1) at birth	Not re	eported	Not re	eported	Not re	eported	Not rep		Not re	eported	Not re	eported	Not r	eported	Not re	eported																	
Girls: reference range (31.7–36.1 cm) Boys: reference range (32.1–36.9 cm) Infant head circumference at sampling Girls: reference range (39.0–43.9 cm) Boys: reference range (40.3–44.8 cm)	34 cm		cm 35 cm		33 cm		36.5 cm		34 cm		34 cm		33 cm		Not reported																		
Clinical symptoms	malf	Microcephaly, malformed umbilicus		abnormal psychomotor		abnormal sychomotor		abnormal		abnormal psychomotor		abnormal psychomotor		abnormal psychomotor		abnormal psychomotor		abnormal psychomotor				Microcephaly		Microcephaly visual problem		y Microcephaly, visual problems				Micro	cephaly	Micro	cephaly
Pathogen, diagnostic test Zika virus																																	
PCR§					_										NA	_																	
IgM¶	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	NA	_																	
IgG¶	+	-	+	+		_	_	-	-	-	-		+	-	NA	-																	
Nab#	+ >640	+ 640	+ >640	120	+ 140	_ 560	_ 18	+ >640	+ >640	+ >640	+ 240	+ >640	+ 50	+ 480	NA	_																	
	>040	040	>040	120	140	500	10	>040	>040	>040	240	>040	50	400	INA	_																	
Dengue virus								_							NA																		
IgM¶	-	_	-	_	_	_	_		-	_	-	-	_	_		_																	
lgG¶	+	_	_	-	-	-	_	+	+	+	+	+	_	+	NA	_																	
Nab#	_	NA	NA	-	-	NA	_	NA	-	NA	-	NA	_	NA	NA	NA																	
Chikungunya virus																																	
IgM¶	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	NA	-																	
lgG¶	_	+	_	_	-	_	-	+	_	_	_	+	-	-	NA	-																	

Technical Appendix Table 2. Epidemiologic characteristics and diagnostics test results of infants with microcephaly and their mothers, cases H-O, Guinea-Bissau, 2016*

	Case															
Category	Н		I J			K		L	М		Ν		0			
Patient	Infant N	Nother	Infant	Mother	Infant †	Mothe										
Toxoplasma gondii																
IgM**		NA		_		_		NA		_		NA		_		_
IgG**		NA		_		_				_		NA		_		_
Treponema pallidum																
İgG††		NA		_		_		NA		_		NA		_		_
Parvovirus																
qPCR‡‡	_		_		_		_		_		_		_		NA	
lgG¶¶		NA		_		0.41		NA		1.76		NA		_		INC
Varicella-zoster virus																
qPCR‡‡	_		_		_		_		_		_		_		NA	
IgG††		NA		200		200		NA		400		NA		400		800
Rubella virus																
qPCR‡‡	_		_		_		_		_		_		_		NA	
lgG§§		NA		131		120		NA		101		NA		>200		178
Cytomegalovirus																
qPCR ⁺ ⁺	36	_	_		31	_	_		_		36	_	34	_	NA	
IgM††	200	_		NA	_	_		NA		NA	_	_	400	200		NA
IgG††	160	NA		160	80	40		NA		320	80	NA	80	40		320
Herpes simplex virus																
qPCR±±	_	_	_		_		_		_		_		_		NA	
lgGtt		NA		2.01		2.03		NA		1.94		NA	_	2.00		2.24

*INC, inconclusive; NA, not analyzed; Nab, neutralizing antibody; RT-qPCR, reverse transcription quantitative PCR; qPCR, quantitative PCR.

†Samples not send to Statens Serum Institut (Copenhagen, Denmark) for analysis.

‡Deceased.

§In-house PCR modified from Faye et al (2).

¶ Arbovirus Fever Mosaic 2 (Zika virus, chikungunya virus, dengue virus) (IgG/IgM) (Euroimmun AG,Luebeck, Germany).

#Neutralization assay with African strain MR766. Positive antibody titers are shown.

**VIDAS TOXO IgG II (BioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France). Positive antibody titers are shown.

††In-house ELISA. Positive antibody titers are shown.

ttln-house qPCR. Positive Cq values are shown.

§§ Enzygnost anti-Rubella-Virus IgG (Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany). Positive antibody titers are shown.

¶LIAISON Biotrin Parvovirus B19 IgG (DiaSorin, Saluggia, Italy). Positive antibody titers are shown.

References

1. World Health Organization. Child growth standards [cited 2018 Jan 30]. http://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/hc_for_age/en/

2. Faye O, Faye O, Diallo D, Diallo M, Weidmann M, Sall AA. Quantitative real-time PCR detection of Zika virus and evaluation with field-

caught mosquitoes. Virol J. 2013;10:311. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-10-311